

# DEMAILLY APPROXIMATION AND HÖRMANDER THEORY WITH SINGULAR WEIGHTS

XU WANG

ABSTRACT. This part is a sequel to [5] and was based on a private communication with Prof. Bo-Yong Chen [1]. We shall use Demailly's approximation theory of quasi-plurisubharmonic function [2] to prove a quasi-complete version of Demailly's theorem.

## CONTENTS

1. A generalization of Demailly's theorem	1
2. Demailly approximation, a weak version	3
2.1. Proof of Fact 2	3
3. Proof of Demailly's theorem	6
4. Proof of Theorem 1.3	7
References	7

## 1. A GENERALIZATION OF DEMAILLY'S THEOREM

Let us recall the following definition in [5].

**Definition 1.1.** A Kähler metric  $\omega$  on a complex manifold  $X$  is said to be quasi-complete if there exists a family of Kähler manifolds  $\{(X_j, \omega_j, \chi_j)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  such that

- 1) Each  $X_j$  is an open set in  $X$ ,  $X_j \subset X_{j+1}$  and  $X = \cup X_j$ ;
- 2) For each  $j$  we have  $\omega_j \geq \omega$  on  $X_j$  and for every compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ ,

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_K |\omega_j - \omega|_{\omega} = 0;$$

- 3) Each  $\chi_j$  is smooth with compact support in  $X_j$  such that  $0 \leq \chi_j \leq 1$  on  $X_j$ ,

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{X_j} |\bar{\partial} \chi_j|_{\omega_j} = 0$$

and for every compact subset  $K$  in  $X$  we have  $\chi_j \equiv 1$  on  $K$  for all  $j \geq j(K)$ .

The main theorem in [5] is the following:

---

Date: February 1, 2021.

**Theorem 1.1.** [Theorem 8.2 in [5]] Let  $(L, e^{-\phi})$  be a holomorphic line bundle on an  $n$ -dimensional quasi-complete Kähler manifold  $(X, \omega)$ . Assume that

$$i\Theta = i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi \geq i\bar{b} \wedge b$$

for a smooth  $(0, 1)$ -form  $b$  on  $X$ . Then for every  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed  $L$ -valued  $(n, q)$ -form ( $q \geq 1$ )  $c$  such that  $c = b \wedge a$  on  $X$  and  $\|a\| < \infty$ , we can find an  $L$ -valued  $(n, q - 1)$ -form  $u$  on  $X$  such that

$$\bar{\partial}u = c$$

on  $X$  and  $\|u\| \leq \|a\|$ .

In this note, we shall use the above theorem together with Demailly's approximation theorem to prove the following theorem that is essentially due to Demailly.

**Theorem 1.2.** [Demailly's theorem] Let  $(L, e^{-\phi})$  ( $\phi$  can be singular) be a holomorphic line bundle on an  $n$ -dimensional weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifold  $(X, \omega)$ . Assume that

$$i\Theta = i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi \geq i\bar{b} \wedge b$$

for a smooth  $(0, 1)$ -form  $b$  on  $X$ . Then for every  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed  $L$ -valued  $(n, q)$ -form ( $q \geq 1$ )  $c$  such that  $c = b \wedge a$  on  $X$  and  $\|a\| < \infty$ , we can find an  $L$ -valued  $(n, q - 1)$ -form  $u$  on  $X$  such that

$$\bar{\partial}u = c$$

on  $X$  and  $\|u\| \leq \|a\|$ .

**Remark:** Our method can also be used to prove the following slightly generalized version of Demailly's theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $(L, e^{-\phi})$  ( $\phi$  can be singular) be a holomorphic line bundle on an  $n$ -dimensional quasi-complete Kähler manifold  $(X, \omega)$ . Assume that

$$i\Theta = i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi \geq i\bar{b} \wedge b$$

for a smooth  $(0, 1)$ -form  $b$  on  $X$ . Then for every  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed  $L$ -valued  $(n, q)$ -form ( $q \geq 1$ )  $c$  such that  $c = b \wedge a$  on  $X$  and  $\|a\| < \infty$ , we can find an  $L$ -valued  $(n, q - 1)$ -form  $u$  on  $X$  such that

$$\bar{\partial}u = c$$

on  $X$  and  $\|u\| \leq \|a\|$ .

**Remark:** It is known that a Kähler manifold  $(X, \omega)$  is quasi-complete if  $X$  possesses a complete Kähler metric. In particular, by Proposition 2.1 below, the above theorem applies to  $(X, \omega)$ , where  $\omega$  is a Kähler metric on  $Y$ ,  $Y$  possesses a complete Kähler metric and

$$X = Y \setminus Z,$$

where  $Z$  is the zero set of a holomorphic section of some vector bundle over  $Y$ .

## 2. DEMAILLY APPROXIMATION, A WEAK VERSION

Assume that  $(X, \omega)$  is weakly pseudoconvex Kähler, we shall show that Demailly approximation theory implies the followings.

**Fact 1:**  $X = \cup X^j$ , each  $(X^j, \omega)$  is weakly pseudoconvex Kähler,  $X^j \Subset X^{j+1} \Subset X$ ;

**Fact 2:** There exists a decreasing sequence of metrics  $\{\phi_\nu\}$  on  $X^j$  such that

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \phi_\nu = \phi + \mathcal{E}_j, \quad i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_\nu \geq i\bar{b} \wedge b - 2^{-j}\omega \quad \text{on } X^j,$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_j$  denotes a smooth function on  $X^j$  such that

$$0 < \mathcal{E}_j < 2^{-j}$$

on  $X^j$  and each  $\phi_\nu$  is smooth outside an analytic subset, say  $Z_\nu$ , of  $X^j$  and  $\phi_\nu = -\infty$  on  $Z_\nu$ .

**Fact 3:** Each  $(X^j \setminus Z_\nu, \omega)$  is quasi-complete.

*Remark:* **Fact 1** is trivial. Since weakly pseudoconvex Kähler implies quasi-complete, **Fact 3** follows from the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a quasi-complete Kähler manifold. Let  $\psi$  be a  $\theta$ -psh function on  $X$  for some real smooth  $(1,1)$ -form  $\theta$ . Put  $S := \psi^{-1}(-\infty)$ . Assume that  $\psi \in C^\infty(X \setminus S)$ . Then  $(X \setminus S, \omega)$  is quasi-complete Kähler.*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\psi < -1$  on  $X$ . By a direct computation, we have

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}(-\log -\psi) \geq -|\theta| + i\partial\log(-\psi) \wedge \bar{\partial}\log(-\psi), \quad |\theta| := \max\{0, \theta\}.$$

Thus one may choose a decreasing sequence of sufficiently small positive numbers  $a_j$  such that

$$2^j a_j^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad 5^j a_j^2 |\theta| < \omega,$$

on  $X_j$  (notice that one may assume that  $X_j$  is relatively compact). Take  $\kappa \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$  such that  $\kappa \equiv 1$  on  $(-\infty, 1/2)$  and  $\kappa \equiv 0$  on  $(1, \infty)$ . Put

$$w_{j,k} := \omega_j + 2^{-k}\omega + 2^k a_k^2 \cdot i\partial\bar{\partial}(-\log -\psi)$$

and

$$\chi_{j,k} := \chi_j \cdot \kappa(a_k \log -\psi).$$

Then  $(X_j \setminus S, w_{j,j}, \chi_{j,j})$  fits our need. □

## 2.1. Proof of Fact 2.

**Definition 2.1.** *A function  $\psi$  on an  $n$  dimensional complex manifold  $X$  is said to have Bergman singularity if for every  $x \in X$  there exists a positive integer  $N$  and local holomorphic coordinates, say  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ , such that  $x \in B := \{|z| < 1\}$ ,*

$$\psi = \frac{1}{N} \log K + \varphi$$

on  $B$ , where  $\varphi$  is smooth and  $K$  is a weighted Bergman kernel

$$K(z) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{O}(B)} \frac{|f(z)|^2}{\int_B |f|^2 e^{-\rho} (i\partial\bar{\partial}|z|^2)^n}$$

for some plurisubharmonic function  $\rho$  on  $B$ .

**Fact 2** follows from the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2** (weak version of Theorem 16.3, page 164 in [4]). *Let  $(X_0, \omega)$  be a complex manifold with a smooth Hermitian metric  $\omega$ . Let  $\phi$  be a quasi-psh function on  $X_0$  such that*

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi \geq \gamma$$

*on  $X_0$  for some real smooth  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\gamma$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a relatively compact open subset, say  $X$ , of  $X_0$ . Then there exists a decreasing sequence of functions,  $\{\phi_\nu\}$ , with Bergman singularity on  $X$  such that*

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \phi_\nu = \phi + \mathcal{E}, \quad i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_\nu \geq \gamma - \varepsilon \cdot \omega,$$

where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a smooth function on  $X$  such that  $0 < \mathcal{E} < \varepsilon$ .

*Proof—*from page 165–169 in [4]. Choose  $0 < r < \delta < \varepsilon$  and cover  $\bar{X}$  by finite open balls  $\{B_j''\}$ :

$$B_j'' = \frac{1}{2}B_j' = \frac{1}{4}B_j, \quad B_j := \{|z^{(j)}| < r\},$$

where  $\{z^{(j)}\}$  are chosen such that

$$(1 - \delta) \cdot i\partial\bar{\partial}|z^{(j)}|^2 \leq \omega \leq (1 + \delta) \cdot i\partial\bar{\partial}|z^{(j)}|^2$$

on  $B_j$  and there exist Hermitian forms  $Q_j$  with

$$0 \leq \gamma + i\partial\bar{\partial}Q_j(z^{(j)}) \leq \delta \cdot \omega$$

on  $B_j$ . Then when  $\delta$  and  $r$  are small, we have for every  $z_0 \in B_j' \cap B_k'$ ,

$$\{|z^{(j)} - z_0| < r/4\} \subset B_\omega(z_0, r/3) \subset \{|z^{(k)} - z_0| < r/2\} \subset B_k,$$

where  $B_\omega(z_0, r/3)$  denotes the  $\omega$  ball centered at  $z_0$  with radius  $r/3$ . Denote by  $K_{\nu,j}$  the Bergman kernel on  $B_j$  with respect to the weight

$$2\nu(\phi + Q_j(z^{(j)})).$$

Put

$$\psi_{\nu,j} := \frac{1}{2\nu} \log K_{\nu,j} - Q_j(z^{(j)}).$$

Then

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_{\nu,j} \geq \gamma - \delta \cdot \omega$$

on  $B_j$  and the Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem gives (when  $r$  is smooth enough)

$$\psi_{\nu,j} \geq \phi$$

on  $B_j$ . Moreover, the sub-mean inequality gives, for every  $z \in B_j'$ ,

$$\psi_{\nu,j}(z) \leq \sup_{|\zeta - z| \leq t} (\phi(\zeta) + Q_j(\zeta)) - Q_j(z) + \frac{1}{2\nu} \log \frac{n!}{\pi^n t^{2n}}, \quad \forall 0 < t < r/2.$$

The Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension from the diagonal to  $B_j \times B_j$  implies that

$$\psi_{2\nu,j}$$

is decreasing with respect to  $\nu$  on  $B_j$  when  $r_j$  is small. Demailly further proved that

$$(2.1) \quad |\psi_{\nu,j} - \psi_{\nu,k}| \leq \frac{1}{\nu} \log \frac{17 \cdot 6^n}{r^{(n+1)}} + 2\delta r^2$$

on  $B'_j \cap B'_k$ . Choose  $\nu_0$  such that for all  $\nu \geq \nu_0$  we have

$$\frac{1}{\nu} \log \frac{17 \cdot 6^n}{r^{(n+1)}} < 2\delta r^2,$$

then it is enough to take

$$\phi_\nu(z) := \max_{j, B'_j \ni z} \{\psi_{2\nu,j}(z) + 12\delta(r^2/4 - |z^{(j)}|^2)\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}(z) := \max_{j, B'_j \ni z} \{12\delta(r^2/4 - |z^{(j)}|^2)\},$$

where max denotes the regularized maximum function. It is clear that

$$0 < \mathcal{E}(z) < 3\delta r^2 < \varepsilon$$

and

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_\nu \geq \gamma - \delta \cdot \omega - \frac{12\delta}{1-\delta}\omega \geq \gamma - \varepsilon\omega$$

when  $\delta$  is smooth enough. □

*Proof of (2.1).* Fix  $z_0 \in B'_j \cap B'_k$ , the extremal property of the Bergman kernel gives

$$f \in \mathcal{O}(B_j)$$

with  $\|f\| = 1$  such that

$$K_{\nu,j}(z_0) = |f(z_0)|^2.$$

Let  $\chi$  be a smooth function on  $[0, \infty)$  such that

$$\chi = \begin{cases} 1 & [0, 1] \\ 0 & [2, \infty], \end{cases}$$

with  $|\chi| \leq 1$ ,  $|\chi'| \leq 2$ . Let us define  $\theta$  on  $B_k$  such that

$$\theta(z^{(k)}) = \chi \left( \frac{32 \cdot |z^{(k)} - z_0|^2}{r^2} \right),$$

then the support of  $\theta$  lies in  $B_j \cap B_k$ . Let us solve

$$\bar{\partial}(u) = \bar{\partial}(\theta f e^{2\nu g})$$

on  $B_j$  wrt  $\Phi := 2\nu(\phi + Q_k) + 2n \log |z^{(k)} - z_0| + |z^{(k)}|^2$ , where

$$g = Q_k(z - z_0, z_0) - Q_j(z - z_0, z_0).$$

Notice that when  $r$  is small enough we have

$$|Q_k(z - z_0) - Q_j(z - z_0)| \leq 2\delta r^2$$

for every  $z \in B_j \cap B_k$ . Thus Hörmander's theorem gives

$$\int_{B_k} |u|^2 e^{-\Phi} \leq \int_{B_k} |\bar{\partial}\theta|_{i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}|z^{(k)}|^2}^2 |f|^2 e^{2\nu(g+\bar{g})-\Phi}.$$

Notice that

$$Q_k - Q_j - g - \bar{g} = Q_k(z_0) - Q_j(z_0) + Q_k(z - z_0) - Q_j(z - z_0),$$

thus we get (when  $r$  is small enough)  $u(z_0) = 0$  and

$$\|u\|^2 e^{-r^2} \leq \int_{B_k} |u|^2 e^{-\Phi} \leq \frac{256 \cdot 6^{2n}}{r^{2(n+1)}} e^{-2\nu(Q_k(z_0)-Q_j(z_0))} e^{4\nu\delta r^2}$$

thus

$$\|u\| \leq \frac{16 \cdot 6^n}{r^{(n+1)}} e^{r^2/2} e^{-\nu(Q_k(z_0)-Q_j(z_0))} e^{2\nu\delta r^2}.$$

Together with

$$\|\theta f e^{2vg}\| \leq e^{-\nu(Q_k(z_0)-Q_j(z_0))} e^{2\nu\delta r^2}.$$

Put

$$f' = \theta f e^{2vg} - u,$$

we get

$$f'(z_0) = f(z_0), \quad \|f'\| \leq \left( \frac{16 \cdot 6^n}{r^{(n+1)}} e^{r^2/2} + 1 \right) e^{-\nu(Q_k(z_0)-Q_j(z_0))} e^{2\nu\delta r^2}$$

When  $r$  is small we have

$$\frac{16 \cdot 6^n}{r^{(n+1)}} e^{r^2/2} + 1 \leq \frac{17 \cdot 6^n}{r^{(n+1)}}.$$

Thus the extremal property of the Bergman kernel gives

$$\psi_{\nu,k}(z_0) \geq \psi_{\nu,j}(z_0) - \frac{1}{\nu} \log \frac{17 \cdot 6^n}{r^{(n+1)}} - 2\delta r^2.$$

Exchanging  $j$  and  $k$  gives (2.1). □

### 3. PROOF OF DEMAILLY'S THEOREM

Since each  $(X^j \setminus Z_\nu, \omega)$  is quasi-complete, by our definition, we can find the associated approximation family  $(X_l, \omega_l, \chi_l)$ . Denote by  $\square_\nu$  the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Laplacian with respect to  $\phi_\nu$ . Since

$$i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\phi_\nu \geq i\bar{b} \wedge b - 2^{-j}\omega \geq i\bar{b} \wedge b - 2^{-j}\omega_l,$$

we can solve

$$(\square_\nu + 2^{-j} \cdot q)v_{j,\nu,l} = c$$

on  $X_l$  such that

$$\|\bar{\partial}v_{j,\nu,l}\|_{l,\nu}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^*v_{j,\nu,l}\|_{l,\nu}^2 + 2^{-j} \cdot q \cdot \|v_{j,\nu,l}\|_{l,\nu}^2 \leq \|a\|^2,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{l,\nu}$  the  $(\omega_l, \phi_\nu)$  norm on  $X_l$ . The proof of Theorem 6.5 in [5] gives

$$\|\chi_l \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} v_{j,\nu,l}\|_{l,\nu} \leq \varepsilon_l \cdot \|a\|.$$

Let  $l$  go to infinity, we get weak limits  $u_{j,\nu}$  and  $v_{j,\nu}$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \bar{\partial}u_{j,\nu} + v_{j,\nu} = c$$

on  $X^j \setminus Z_\nu$  and

$$\|u_{j,\nu}\|_\nu \leq \|a\|, \quad \|v_{j,\nu}\|_\nu \leq \sqrt{2^{-j}q} \cdot \|a\|.$$

By Lemma 7.3 (see page 382 of [3]), in the sense of distribution, (3.1) is true on  $X^j$ . Thus first let  $\nu$  go to infinity and then let  $j$  go to infinity, we get weak limits  $u$  such that  $\bar{\partial}u = c$  on  $X$  and  $\|u\| \leq \|a\|$ .

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Let  $(X_l, \omega_l, \chi_l)$  be the approximation family for  $(X, \omega)$ . Assume each  $X_l$  is relatively compact in  $X$ . Then we can use Demailly approximation to each  $X_l$  and obtain approximation sequence  $\phi_\nu^l$  with singularity  $Z_\nu^l$ . As in the proof of Proposition 2.1, one may assume  $\phi_\nu^l < -1$  and consider

$$\omega_{l,\nu,k} := \omega_l + 2^{-k}\omega + 2^k a_k^2 \cdot i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}(-\log -\phi_\nu^l)$$

and

$$\chi_{l,\nu,k} := \chi_l \cdot \kappa(a_k \log -\phi_\nu^l).$$

Solve

$$(\square_{l,\nu,k} + 2^{-l} \cdot q)v_{l,\nu,k} = c$$

on  $(X_l \setminus Z_\nu^l, \omega_{l,\nu,k})$  such that

$$\|\bar{\partial}v_{l,\nu,k}\|_{l,\nu,k}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^*v_{l,\nu,k}\|_{l,\nu,k}^2 + 2^{-l} \cdot q \cdot \|v_{l,\nu,k}\|_{l,\nu,k}^2 \leq \|a\|^2.$$

The proof of Theorem 6.5 in [5] gives

$$\|\chi_{l,\nu,k} \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial}v_{l,\nu,k}\|_{l,\nu,k} \leq \varepsilon_{l,k} \cdot \|a\|.$$

First let  $k$  go to infinity, we get

$$\|\chi_l \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial}v_{l,\nu}\|_{l,\nu} \leq \varepsilon_l \cdot \|a\|.$$

By Lemma 7.3 (see page 382 of [3]), in the sense of distribution, we get

$$(\square_{l,\nu} + 2^{-l} \cdot q)v_{l,\nu} = c$$

on an open set where  $\chi_l \equiv 1$ . Let  $\nu, l$  go to infinity, our result follows.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Y. Chen, *Private communication*, around 2013.
- [2] J. P. Demailly, *Regularization of closed positive currents and Intersection Theory*, J. Alg. Geom. **1** (1992) 361–409.
- [3] J. P. Demailly, *Complex analytic and differential geometry*. Book available from the author's homepage.
- [4] J. P. Demailly, *Analytic Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, can be downloaded from: [https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/analmeth\\_book.pdf](https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/analmeth_book.pdf)
- [5] X. Wang, *Hörmander  $\bar{\partial}$  theory on quasi-complete Kähler manifold*, course notes.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND  
TECHNOLOGY, NO-7491 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY

*E-mail address:* `xu.wang@ntnu.no`