

OTU-CFB boiler control design with the dynamic relative gain array and partial relative gain

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Matias Hultgren^{,†,‡}, Enso Ikonen[†], Jenő Kovács[†]*

[†]Systems Engineering, University of Oulu, POB 4300, FI-90014 Oulun yliopisto, Finland.

E-mail: ^{*,†}hultgrenmatias@gmail.com, [†]enso.ikonen@oulu.fi, [†]jeno.kovacs@oulu.fi.

Contents

Table S1. Steady-state gain effects in the OTU-CFB, normalized with the input maxima.

Table S2. The steady-state RGA matrix of the case 2 system, 5 input MVs and 5 output CVs.

Table S3. The steady-state RGA matrix of the case 3 system, 6 input MVs and 6 output CVs.

Table S4. The steady-state RGA matrix of the case 4 system, 8 input MVs and 8 output CVs.

Table S5. Time domain behavior of the main MVs from the APROS dynamic model. Results are normalized for each CV with respect to the largest MV (smaller percentage, shorter time).

[‡]Outotec, Kuparitie 10, PO Box 69, FI-28101 Pori, Finland, matias.hultgren@outotec.com.

Table S1. Steady-state gain effects in the OTU-CFB, normalized with the input maxima.

	T.valve	FW	Fuel	Prim air	Sec air	Tot DSH	DSH 1	DSH 2	DSH 3	RHvalve	Firing power	Boiler load
Steam p	-1.0	0.04	0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.1	0.2	0.2
Steam T	-0.2	-1.0	1.0	-0.4	-0.4	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	0.8	1.0	0.02
Evap. T	-0.4	-0.2	0.3	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.3	0.3	0.1
FG O₂	0.0	0.0	-0.0002	0.001	0.002	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
FG T	-0.1	-0.3	0.6	-1.0	-1.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	0.1	0.4	0.1
SH2 T	-0.3	-0.8	0.8	-0.3	0.04	-0.5	-0.8	-0.4	-0.3	0.8	0.8	0.02
SH3 T	-0.2	-1.0	1.0	-0.6	-0.2	-0.8	-1.0	-1.0	-0.4	0.9	1.0	-0.02
RH T	-0.1	-0.7	0.8	0.002	-0.3	-0.7	-0.7	-0.7	-0.6	-1.0	0.8	0.1
Tot MW_e	0.01	0.1	1.0	-0.2	-0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.3	1.0	1.0

Table S2. The steady-state RGA matrix of the case 2 system, 5 input MVs and 5 output CVs.

RGA	MV →	T.valve	DSH1	DSH2	DSH3	Boiler load
CV ↓	INDEX	1	2	3	4	5
Steam p	1	0.989	0.025	-0.011	-0.002	-0.002
Steam T	2	0.0006	-0.661	0.008	1.651	0.001
SH2 T	3	0.005	1.797	-0.796	-0.007	0.0007
SH3 T	4	0.002	-0.153	1.800	-0.649	-0.0001
Tot MW_e	5	0.003	-0.008	-0.001	0.006	1.000

Table S3. The steady-state RGA matrix of the case 3 system, 6 input MVs and 6 output CVs.

RGA	MV →	T.valve	FW	Fuel	Prim air	Sec air	Tot DSH
CV ↓	INDEX	1	2	3	4	5	6
Steam p	1	0.983	0.127	-0.012	0.025	-0.014	-0.109
Steam T	2	0.008	-0.643	0.055	-0.210	0.119	1.671
Evap T	3	0.017	1.806	0.040	-0.186	0.078	-0.756
FG O₂	4	0.000	0.000	-0.058	-1.205	2.263	0.000
FG T	5	-0.012	-0.349	0.028	2.664	-1.507	0.176
Tot MW_e	6	0.003	0.059	0.947	-0.088	0.062	0.018

Table S4. The steady-state RGA matrix of the case 4 system, 8 input MVs and 8 output CVs.

RGA	MV →	T.valve	FW	Sec air	DSH1	DSH2	DSH3	RHvalve	Firing
CV ↓	INDEX	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Steam p	1	1.049	0.122	0.000	-0.118	-0.018	0.005	-0.025	-0.015
Steam T	2	-0.014	-1.102	-0.0002	-0.065	0.175	1.629	0.270	0.108
Evap T	3	-0.050	1.764	0.0002	-0.832	-0.052	0.051	0.077	0.042
FG O₂	4	0.000	0.000	1.001	0.0002	-0.0001	0.000	0.000	-0.001
SH2 T	5	0.001	-0.212	0.000	1.934	-0.785	-0.01	0.043	0.028
SH3 T	6	0.0005	-0.147	-0.0001	-0.053	1.819	-0.651	0.026	0.006
RH T	7	0.01	0.490	0.0001	0.130	-0.136	-0.031	0.629	-0.091
Tot MW_e	8	0.004	0.086	-0.001	0.004	-0.002	0.006	-0.020	0.924

Table S5. Time domain behavior of the main MVs from the APROS dynamic model. Results are normalized for each CV with respect to the largest MV (smaller percentage, shorter time).

Normalized times	Turbine valve			Firing power			Feedwater flow		
	Rise time	Settling time	Time delay	Rise time	Settling time	Time delay	Rise time	Settling time	Time delay
Main steam p	5	26	0	100	100	67	0.4	89	100
Total MW_e	0.01	33	0	100	100	0	0.1	90	0
Main steam T	100	100	0	74	82	60	83	94	100
Evaporator T	17	60	0	100	100	100	96	95	50