

Automatic Differentiation for Optimization problems with Complementarity Constraints

Saket Adhau

Department of Chemical Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU).

Friday, 26 January 2024

 QQ

4 ロト 4 何 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

Aim of Talk

1 [What are Complementarity Constraints?](#page-2-0)

2 [Motivation for Studying Complementarity Constraints in Optimization](#page-5-0)

3 [Automatic Differentiation \(AD\)](#page-13-0)

4 [How to use them ?](#page-46-0)

4 0 8

 2990

÷.

Classic Example : The Door Dilemma

Imagine two people, Alice and Bob, trying to go through a door, but only one person can pass at a time due to limited space. The decision variables are binary:

- $A = 1$ if Alice goes through the door.
- $B = 1$ if Bob goes through the door.

The complementarity constraint in this scenario is straightforward:

 $A + B = 1$ or $A \cdot B = 0$.

They are used to model situations where two or more variables must satisfy a certain relationship.

Karush-Kuhn–Tucker (KKT) Conditions

The early motivation for studying the Linear Complementarity Problem (LCP) was because the KKT optimality conditions for Linear and Quadratic Programs (QP) constitute of Complementarity Problems.

The complementarity condition in KKT often involves the product of a Lagrange multiplier and the corresponding constraint.

Karush Kuhn Tucker (KKT) Conditions

Inequality-constrained optimization problem

$$
\min_{x} f(x)
$$

s.t $g_i(x) \le 0, \forall i \in \{1, ..., m\}$

the Lagrangian is defined as,

$$
\mathcal{L}(x,\lambda) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i g_i(x^*)
$$

and the KKT conditions are given by,

$$
\nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x^*, \lambda^*) = 0
$$

$$
g_i(x^*) \le 0, \forall i \in \mathcal{I}
$$

$$
\lambda_i^* \ge 0, \forall i \in \mathcal{I}
$$

$$
\lambda_i^* g_i(x^*) = 0, \forall i \in \mathcal{I}
$$

- $\blacklozenge \; \lambda_i^{\star} g_i(x^{\star}) = 0$ is a complementary slackness condition.
- strict complementarity holds if $\lambda_i^* \geq 0$ for all $i \in \mathcal{A}(x^*)$

 2990

1 [What are Complementarity Constraints?](#page-2-0)

2 [Motivation for Studying Complementarity Constraints in Optimization](#page-5-0)

[Automatic Differentiation \(AD\)](#page-13-0)

How to use them?

 2990 ÷.

 $(0,1)$ $(0,1)$ $(0,1)$ $(1,1)$ $(1,1)$ $(1,1)$ $(1,1)$ $(1,1)$ $(1,1)$ $(1,1)$ $(1,1)$ $(1,1)$ $(1,1)$ $(1,1)$

Motivation for Studying Complementarity Constraints in Optimization

Complementarity conditions often introduce non-smoothness to an optimization problem due to the presence of non-differentiable functions, discontinuities, or piecewise-defined relationships within the constraints or the complementarity terms themselves.

The lack of smoothness in this scenario presents difficulties for conventional optimization algorithms that heavily depend on gradients.

What is a derivative ?

Slope of the tangent line.

÷

40034

 299

Þ

What is a Gradient ?

Direction along which the function increases at the fastest rate

 $(0,1)$ $(0,1)$ $(0,1)$ $(1,1)$ $(1,1)$ $(1,1)$

 $na\alpha$ \equiv

Manual Differentiation

It will help if we first contrast AutoDiff with some other methods.

• Manual Differentiation

$$
f(x) = e^{2x} - x^3
$$
 \longrightarrow $f'(x) = 2e^{2x} - 3x^2$

- Differentiate using basic derivatives rules.
- We could simply code up the result and call it a day
- But this can be a fairly tedious process for more complicated functions.

 QQ

Numerical Differentiation

Approximating derivatives through finite differences involves utilizing the values of the original function computed at specific sample points.

For a scalar valued function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$

Approximate Gradient ∇f is given by:

$$
\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i} \approx \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + h\mathbf{e}_i) - f(\mathbf{x})}{h},
$$

where \mathbf{e}_i is the i^{th} unit vector and $h>0$ is a small step size, e.g. $10^{-5}.$

Numerical differentiation inherently suffers from ill-conditioning and instability, giving rise to truncation and rounding errors.

 QQ

Carefull Consideration of Step size h

Truncation error due to non-zero h Rounding error due to limited precision of floating point arithmetic

Needs $O(n)$ evaluations of f for a gradient in n dimensions.

 Ω

Symbolic Differentiation: Automated Version of Manual Differentiation

Automatic manipulation of expressions for obtaining derivative expressions, carried out by applying transformations representing rules of differentiation.

Iterations of the logistic map $l_{n+1} = 4l_n(1 - l_n), l_1 = x$ and the corresponding derivatives of l_n with respect to x

When functions become increasingly complex, a phenomenon called "expression swell" occurs.

Automatic Differentiation (AD): Efficiently Calculating Derivatives of Mathematical Functions

 $2Q$

Automatic Differentiation (AD): Enhancing Precision in Derivative Computation

AD provides accurate and exact derivatives, making it a powerful tool in optimization, machine learning, and scientific computing.

$$
f(x_1, x_2) = \left[\sin\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \frac{x_1}{x_2} - e^{x_2}\right] \times \left[\frac{x_1}{x_2} - e^{x_2}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{def } f(x1, x2): \\ a &= x1 \;/\; x2 \\ b &= np.\text{exp}(x2) \\ \text{return } (np.\text{sin}(a) + a - b) * (a - b) \end{aligned}
$$

 $+$ $+$ $+$ $-$

Automatic Differentiation (AD): Enhancing Precision in Derivative Computation

• Bypasses symbolic inefficiency by leveraging intermediate variables present in the original functions implementations.

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{def } f(x1, x2): \\ \underline{a} &= x1 \;/ \; x2 \\ \underline{b} &= \text{np}.\text{exp}(x2) \\ \text{return } (\text{np}.\text{sin}(a) + a - b) * (a - b) \end{aligned}
$$

 \equiv

 2990

 $A \cup B \cup A \cup B \cup A \cup B \cup A \cup B \cup B$

Automatic Differentiation (AD): Enhancing Precision in Derivative Computation

- Implemented differential functions are composed of underlying primitive operations whose derivatives we know.
- The chain rule allows us to compose this together.

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{def } f(x1, x2): \\ a & = x1 \mathop / \limits_x x2 \\ b & = np.\overline{\text{exp}[(x2)} \\ \text{return } (np.\overline{\text{sin}[(a) + a - b)]*[(a - b)]} \end{aligned}
$$

Automatic Differentiation (AD)

Forward Mode Reverse Mode

4 0 8 4 与 ÷. ¥. \equiv

• Augment each individual variable during evaluation of a function with its derivative. $\nu_i \longrightarrow (\nu_i, \dot{\nu}_i)$

÷. ¥.

← ロ ▶ + 何

 \equiv

$$
f(x_1, x_2) = \left[\sin\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \frac{x_1}{x_2} - e^{x_2}\right] \times \left[\frac{x_1}{x_2} - e^{x_2}\right]
$$

We have two scalar input x_1, x_2 and a single scalar output. We also see repeated use of some sub expressions.

 x_1

 x_2

1 notation from Griewank and Walther, 2008

K ロ ト K 伊 ト K ヨ

 2990

Þ

$$
f(x_1, x_2) = \left[\sin\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \frac{x_1}{x_2} - e^{x_2}\right] \times \left[\frac{x_1}{x_2} - e^{x_2}\right]
$$

1 notation from Griewank and Walther, 2008

 \equiv

 299

 $A \equiv \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} + \math$

$$
f(x_1, x_2) = \left[\sin\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \frac{x_1}{x_2} - e^{x_2}\right] \times \left[\frac{x_1}{x_2} - e^{x_2}\right]
$$

1 notation from Griewank and Walther, 2008

目. 299

メロトメ 倒 トメ きょメ きょ

$$
f(x_1, x_2) = \left[\sin\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \frac{x_1}{x_2} - e^{x_2}\right] \times \left[\frac{x_1}{x_2} - e^{x_2}\right]
$$

As we proceed, we evaluate intermediate variables some of which will be used later in the computation.

1 notation from Griewank and Walther, 2008

$$
f(x_1, x_2) = \left[\sin\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \frac{x_1}{x_2} - e^{x_2}\right] \times \left[\frac{x_1}{x_2} - e^{x_2}\right]
$$

We eventually reach the final function output.

1 notation from Griewank and Walther, 2008

÷

←ロト ← 何

 $\leftarrow \mathbb{B}$

 \equiv

A single pass through the function now produces not only the original output, but partial derivative of the interest.

1 notation from Griewank and Walther, 2008

4 0 8

 2990

E

What about multiple outputs ?

A separate forward pass is required for each input variable of interest.

 QQ

Each pass produces one column of the corresponding jacobian. Forward mode is ideal/preferred when $n \ll m$ (few inputs and many outputs) for e.g. , in "Sensitivity analysis"

Saket Adhau (NTNU) [PhD Defense - Trial Lecture](#page-0-0) Friday, 26 January 2024 18/31

Jacobian Vector Product

More generally we can compute the jacobian vector products without ever computing the jacobian matrix itself.

$$
J_{f}r = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{n}} \\ \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{n}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{1} \\ \vdots \\ r_{n} \end{bmatrix}
$$

We set $\dot{x} = r$ to the vector if interest, and proceed with forward mode AutoDiff.

Now, this seems a little bit weird, after all we just said that forward mode requires one pass through the function for each input.

We can basically think of the jacobian vector product as just the jacobian of a different function.

Jacobian Vector Product

The composition of our original function and one with a single scalar input whose jacobian is the column vector r.

$$
J_h = J_f r = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
h = f \cdot g
$$

$$
J_g = r
$$

4 0 8

 QQ

Jacobian Vector Product

Because, the overall jacobian of this composed function, now only has one column. Therefore a single pass is sufficient.

$$
J_h = J_f r = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}
$$

$$
h = f \cdot g
$$

$$
J_g = r
$$

4 0 8

 QQ

Automatic Differentiation (AD)

Forward Mode **Reverse Mode**

←ロト ← 何

÷. ¥. \equiv

Rather than propagating derivatives forward, they will be propagated backwards, from the output.

$$
f(x_1, x_2) = \left[\sin\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \frac{x_1}{x_2} - e^{x_2}\right] \times \left[\frac{x_1}{x_2} - e^{x_2}\right]
$$

Two part process, let's start with forward pass.

Forward Pass

 x_1

 x_2

 \equiv

 299

KO KK 4 @ K K B

 \equiv

 2990

$$
f(x_1, x_2) = \left[\sin\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \frac{x_1}{x_2} - e^{x_2}\right] \times \left[\frac{x_1}{x_2} - e^{x_2}\right]
$$

\n
$$
x_1
$$

\n
$$
x_1
$$

\n
$$
y_{-1}/v_0
$$

\n
$$
x_2
$$

\n
$$
v_0
$$

\n
$$
v_3
$$

\n
$$
y_2
$$

\n
$$
v_4
$$

\n
$$
v_5
$$

\n
$$
v_6
$$

\n
$$
v_7
$$

\n
$$
v_8
$$

\n
$$
v_9
$$

\n
$$
v_1
$$

■ 2990

イロト 不優 トメ ミトメ ミト

$$
f(x_1, x_2) = \left[\sin\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) + \frac{x_1}{x_2} - e^{x_2}\right] \times \left[\frac{x_1}{x_2} - e^{x_2}\right]
$$

evaluating intermediate variables as we did before.

÷. \mathbf{p}

4 0 8 4 与 \equiv

However, instead of simultaneously computing derivatives, we store the dependencies of the expression tree in memory.

4 0 8

 2990

E

After completion of the forward pass, we compute partial derivatives of the output with respect to the intermediate variable quantities known as adjoints.

To obtain the adjoint $\bar{\nu}_i$ for a particular node, we look at each of the nodes children. Further we multiply the adjoint with the partial derivative of the child w.r.t. ν_i

So ν_i 's contribution to the final output is determined both by how it's children affect the output and how it affects each of it's children.

'Adjoint"
$$
\overline{\nu}_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu_i} = \sum_{j:\text{child of } i} \overline{\nu}_j = \frac{\partial \nu_j}{\partial \nu_i}
$$

4 0 8

E

At the end we obtain partial derivatives w.r.t each input. So the gradient is computed with just a single execution of Reverse mode AutoDiff

For general vector valued function,

 $f:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^m$

Reverse mode produces one row of the jacobian at a time, when we have few outputs compared to inputs.

Computational cost of one sweep forward or reverse is roughly equivalent, but reverse mode requires access to intermediate variables, requiring more memory.

$$
J_f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}
$$

 QQ

Automatic Differentiation (AD)

Forward Mode **Reverse** Mode

- Forward and Reverse mode are the two extremes of automatic differentiation, however, in some settings a hybrid approach is preferred.
- For example, in second order optimization, where information on objective's curvature is taken into account, a hessian vector product is sometimes required.

 QQ

What about Hessian ?

Reverse-on-Forward Version of AutoDiff allows efficient computation of this product.

 $f:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^m$ Goal : Compute $H_f\nu$

First we use forward mode to compute directional $\nabla f \cdot \nu$ (set $\dot{x} = \nu$) as we did before,

then reverse mode is used to differentiate resulting in $\nabla^2 f \cdot \nu = H_f \nu$ without the explicit computation of hessian matrix itself.

¹see Pearlmutter, 1994

The Logarithmic Barrier Method

Inequality-constrained optimization problem

 $\min_{w} f(w)$ s.t $g_i(w) \leq 0, \forall i \in \{1, ..., m\}$

When converted into an unconstrained optimization problem,

$$
\min_{w,\mu^{k}} f(w) - \mu^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log(-g_{i}(w)),
$$

4 0 8

 QQ

The Fischer-Burmeister Method

Consider complementarity constraints of the form.

$$
x_i \ge 0, \ y_i \ge 0, \ x_i \cdot y_i = 0
$$

where x_i and y_i are variables.

Fischer-Burmeister function:

$$
FB(x, y) = x + y - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}
$$

This function is designed to capture the essence of the complementarity condition $x \cdot y = 0$

4 0 8

 QQ

Implementation Example

1 Example from Autograd Github Library

イロト イ母 トイラ トイラト

Implementation Example

1 Example from Autograd Github Library

 \mathcal{A} ÷. \mathbf{p} .

÷,

 299

← ロ ▶ + 母

Computational Efficiency

Helmholtz free energy function of a mixed fluid

$$
f(x) = RT \sum_{i=0}^{n} \log \frac{x_i}{1 - b^{\top} x} - \frac{x^{\top} A x}{\sqrt{8} b^{\top} x} \log \frac{1 + (1 + \sqrt{2} b^{\top} x)}{1 + (1 - \sqrt{2} b^{\top} x)}
$$

¹Baydin et. all, 2018, Peng and Robinson, 1976

 \mathbf{p}

Overview

- Automatic differentiation (AutoDiff) refers to a general way of taking a program which computes a value, and automatically constructing a procedure for computing derivatives of that value.
- Back-propagation is the special case of AutoDiff applied to neural nets
- Autodiff is both efficient (linear in the cost of computing the value) and numerically stable

What is Autodiff not ?

- Autodiff is not symbolic differentiation (e.g. Mathematica)
- The goal of AutoDiff is not a formula, but a procedure for computing derivatives.

Applications of AutoDiff

- Newton's method for solving nonlinear equations
- Optimization (utilizing gradients/Hessian)
- Inverse problems/data assimilation
- Neural networks
- Solving stiff ODEs

D-1 QQ

References

- Baydin, A.G., Pearlmutter, B.A., Radul, A.A. and Siskind, J.M., 2017. Automatic differentiation in machine learning: a survey. Journal of Machine Learning Research (JMLR), 18(153), pp.1-153.
- Baydin, Atılım Gunes, Barak A. Pearlmutter, and Jeffrey Mark Siskind. 2016. "Tricks from Deep Learning." In 7th International Conference on Algorithmic Differentiation, Christ Church Oxford, UK, September 12-15, 2016.
- Baydin, Atılım Gunes, Barak A. Pearlmutter, and Jeffrey Mark Siskind. 2016. "DiffSharp: An AD Library for .NET Languages." In 7th International Conference on Algorithmic Differentiation, Christ Church Oxford, UK, September 12-15, 2016.
- Griewank, A. and Walther, A., 2008. Evaluating derivatives: principles and techniques of algorithmic differentiation (Vol. 105). SIAM.

Thank you.

