# Approaches to the optimization of batch processes in the presence of model uncertainty

Ramprasad Yelchuru Department of Chemical Engineering Norwegian University of Science and Technology



NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology

Ramprasad Yelchuru, Optimization of batch processes under model uncertainty, 1/34



indicates upcoming campuses.

**Bachelors** 

**REC Warangal** 

One among Top 20 technical universities in India

Chemical Engineering 1998 - 2002





#### National University of Singapore

Masters

#### Chemical Engineering 2002 - 2004

**Process Control** 





Honeywell Technology Solutions Lab Pvt Ltd Bangalore Automation Team, Research & Technology Group Sep 2004 - Jan 2008 (3 year 5 month)

Job

Senior Research Scientist

Started PhD in Jan 2008 in

### NTNU

Norwegian University of Science & Technology Trondheim, Norway Department of Chemical Engineering



NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology

PhD

- Batch process challenges
- ✤ Modeling
- Uncertainty and its characterization
- Batch process optimization problem
- Nominal optimization approaches
- Optimization with uncertain models
  - Stochastic optimization
  - Measurement-based optimization
- Conclusions



- Batch process challenges
- ✤ Modeling
- Uncertainty and its characterization
- Batch process optimization problem
- Nominal optimization
- Optimization with uncertain models
  - Stochastic optimization
  - Measurement-based optimization
- Conclusions



# Batch process challenges

#### Process

- Low volume and high value products
- Unsteady-state operation
- Inter and Intra batch variation

#### Instrumentation

No or Infrequent or less accurate measurements

#### Modeling

- Good kinetic models are rare
- Poor models
- Reproducibility less than 5 %

#### **Optimization objectives**

- Economic objective 
   —>Modeling and engineering effort should pay off
- Guarantee high reproducibility and high yield despite of the uncertainties
- Incorporate safety constraints without losing significant optimization potential



NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology

Terwiesch et al., 1994

Batch process challenges

#### Modeling

- Uncertainty and its characterization
- Batch process optimization problem
- Nominal optimization approaches
- Optimization with uncertain models
  - Stochastic optimization
  - Measurement-based optimization
- Conclusions



# Modeling

- First principle modeling
  - Mass, energy & momentum balance equations
    - Require physical insight
    - Valid over a wide range with better predictability
    - > Modeling and maintenance are both time and resource intensive
- Emperical modeling
  - input output data
    - Valid over a short range
    - Poor predictability
- Hybrid Modeling
  - Combination of both modeling methods
    - Minimum modeling effort
    - $\succ$  Covers a range of operation



- Batch process challenges
- ✤ Modeling
- Uncertainty and its characterization
- Batch process optimization problem
- Nominal optimization approaches
- Optimization with uncertain models
  - Stochastic optimization
  - Measurement-based optimization
- Conclusions



## **Uncertainties and their characterization**

Simplifications and inaccuracies in modeling and disturbances result in variations from the real plant

Mathematically these variations are treated as uncertainties

Uncertainties are treated as random variables that follow a specific probability distribution

Characterization: involves the selection of probability distribution function and their associated parameters, for example, for a normally distributed random variable, mean and variance are the parameters



NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology

Terwiesch et al., 1994

- Batch process challenges
- ✤ Modeling
- Uncertainty and its characterization
- Batch process optimization problem
- Nominal optimization approaches
- Optimization with uncertain models
  - Stochastic optimization
  - Measurement-based optimization
- Conclusions





## **Batch process optimization : Problem formulation**

$$\min_{t_f, u(t)} J = \phi(x(t_f))$$
  
s.t.  $\dot{x} = F(x, u)$   $x(0) = x_0$   
 $S(x, u) \le 0$   $T(x(t_f)) \le 0$ 





NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology

Srinivasan et al., 2003 Feehery and Barton, 1998

#### Batch process optimization : Pontryagin Maximum Principle

$$\min_{t_f, u(t)} J = \phi(x(t_f))$$
  
s.t.  $\dot{x} = F(x, u)$   $x(0) = x_0$   
 $S(x, u) \le 0$   $T(x(t_f)) \le 0$ 

Reformulation using Pontryagin Maximum Principle with adjoint variables  $\lambda$  and Lagrange multipliers  $\mu$ , v

$$\min_{f_{f},u(t)} H(t) = \lambda^{T} F(x,u) + \mu^{T} S(x,u)$$
  
s.t.  $\dot{x} = F(x,u)$   $x(0) = x_{0}$   
 $\dot{\lambda}^{T} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}$   $\lambda^{T}(t_{f}) = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\Big|_{t_{f}} + v^{T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\Big|_{t_{f}}$   
 $\mu^{T} S = 0$   $v^{T} T = 0$ 

require solving Two Point Boundary Value Problem



NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology

Srinivasan et al., 2003

- Batch process challenges
- ✤ Modeling
- Uncertainty and its characterization
- Batch optimization problem
- Nominal optimization approaches
- Optimization with uncertain models
  - Stochastic optimization
  - Measurement-based optimization
- Conclusions



## Nominal optimization: Numerical solution approaches



decision variables : polynominal coefficients of both x and u

Bryson and Ho, 1969; Hicks and Ray, 1971; Ray, 1981; Biegler, 1984; Vassiliadis et al., 1994





## Nominal optimization: Numerical solution approaches

Piecewise constant Piecewise polynomial x(t) and Piecewise linear with continuity **u(t)** decision variables: polynominal coefficients of x and u Piecewise linear without continuity in each stage Control Variable Discrete charges u<sub>i</sub> decision variables: stage end times, discrete jumps in u Piecewise quadratic with continuity Stage 1 Stage 2 Stage NS t1 t(NS-1) t(NS) Time

Path constraints cannot be handled with-in the stages

In addition to parameterization, numerical integration is also replaced with polynominal approximations to reduce computational burden

Bryson and Ho, 1969; Hicks and Ray, 1971; Ray, 1981; Biegler, 1984; Vassiliadis et al., 1994

www.ntnu.no



## Nominal optimization: Numerical solution approaches

State and input handling	Problem formulation	
	Direct	PMP
States—parameterized Inputs—parameterized	Simultaneous approach (NLP)	State and adjoint parameterization (NR, QL)
States—continuous Inputs—parameterized	Sequential approach (CVP)	Gradient method (CVI)
States—continuous Inputs—continuous	Analytical parameterization approach	Shooting method (BCI)
PMP : pontryagin ma BCI : boundary condi NR : newton -raphso	ximum principle ition iteration n	
QL: quasi-linearizat	ion	NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology
ww.ntnu.no	Ramprasad Yelchuru, O	otimization of batch processes under model uncertainty, 18/

## Summary of numerical solution approaches

Numerical approaches accuracy  $\alpha$  # of stages, # of coefficients

Adaptive control vector parameterization techniques are developed by including stage times also as decision variables in optimization

Terwiesch et al., 1994 Schlegel, 2005



## Interpretation optimal solution

Path Terminal Constraints  $\mu^{T} S(x, u) = 0$   $\nu^{T} T(x(t_{f})) = 0$ Sensitivities  $\lambda^{T} (\partial F/\partial u) + \mu^{T} (\partial S/\partial u) = 0$   $\lambda^{T} (t_{f}) - (\partial \phi/\partial x)|_{t_{f}} - \nu^{T} (\partial T/\partial x)|_{t_{f}} = 0$ ,  $H(t_{f}) = 0$ 

Lagrange multipliers  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  capture the cost deviations in not meeting the active constraints

$$\delta J = \int_{0}^{t_{f}} \mu^{T} \delta S \, dt + \nu^{T} \delta T$$

The cost in not meeting sensitivity constraints  $\delta J = H_u S_u = 0$  as  $H_u = 0$ 

Uncertainties are inevitable in the modeling and optimization, methods that handle uncertainties are needed





Srinivasan et al., 2003

### Classification of Batch process optimization approaches





NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology

Ramprasad Yelchuru, Optimization of batch processes under model uncertainty, 21/34

www.ntnu.no

Srinivasan et al., 2002

- Batch process challenges
- ✤ Modeling
- Uncertainty and its characterization
- Batch process optimization problem
- Nominal optimization approaches
- Optimization with uncertain models
  - Stochastic optimization
  - Measurement-based optimization
- Research opportunities
- Conclusions



## Optimization with uncertain models

Stochastic optimization

## Offline optimization

Given uncertain parameters  $\theta$ 

- M model with uncertain parameters  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$
- Q specified product quality
- g product quality requirements
- $\alpha$  specified level of confidence for quality

Best expected value Minimum variance Threshold Variable threshold Best worst case Best best case

 $\max_{u} E(J(M))$   $\min_{u} E\{[Q - \overline{Q}]^{2}\}$   $\max_{u} P(g(u, \theta)) \leq 0$   $\max_{u} P(g(u, \theta)) \leq \alpha$   $\max_{u} \min_{M} J$  $\max_{u} \max_{M} J$ 

No need for measurements Typically conservative

NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology

Terwiesch et al., 1994

- Batch process challenges
- ✤ Modeling
- Uncertainty and its characterization
- Batch process optimization problem
- Nominal optimization approaches
- Optimization with uncertain models
  - Stochastic optimization
  - Measurement-based optimization
- Conclusions





## Optimization with uncertain models in parameters $\theta$

Online optimization approaches

# Dealing uncertainty through feedback - Measurements are used to estimate x and $\theta$

- 1. Online reoptimization
- 2. Optimal singular feedback control
- 3. Optimal nonsingular feedback control
- 4. Necessary conditions of optimality tracking

Explicit uncertainty accounting - Measurements are used to estimate x,  $\theta$ , P( $\theta$ )

1. Minimum effort for specified confidence of feasible operation

- Final state uncertainty is predicted by propogating the covariance of uncertain parameters through system equations and αconfidence ellipsoid is constructed
- u(t) is optimized to locate the desired x<sub>set</sub> at the centre of confidence ellipsoid
- does not account for future parameter estimation accuracy
- 2. Dual control
  - also accounts for future parameter estimation accuracy
- 3. Differential Game

Two player game

- Engineer vs Nature
- lu[u(t),v(t)] lv[u(t),v(t)]

Super structure of stochastic optimization

Reduces conservatism and improves performance

Bryson and Ho, 1969; Palanki et al., 1993; Terwiesch et al., 1994 Astroom and Wittenmark, 1989; Meadows and Rawlings, 1991; Gupta and Leondes, 1981



# **Optimization approach 1**

Dealing uncertainty through feedback

Online reoptimization



- model is updated at each sampling instant
- re-optimization is performed at each sampling instant
- computationally intensive and require high effort in modeling



NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology

Welz et al., 2008 Würth et al., 2009

#### **Optimization approach 2** Dealing uncertainty through feedback



- Optimal control problem is singular when Hamiltonian is linear in control u.
- In singular feedback control u(x,λ,t) is a function of adjoint variables λ on singular arcs, which are solutions of TPBVP
- > For practical purpose  $\lambda$  is replaced with  $\lambda_{nom}$
- It is shown to work well practically but cannot always guarantee better performance with uncertainties



# Optimization approach 3

Dealing uncertainty through feedback



- It requires online computation effort of a P controller
- time-variant corrector gains K(t) is performed offline
- dynamic feedback can also be used
- guarantees optimality in case of small uncertainties
- large uncertainties are handled with multiple nominal profiles and timevariant corrector gains



#### Optimization approach 4: Necessary conditions of optimality tracking



www.ntnu.no

## NCO illustration on a fed-batch bioreactor

Reactions

$$\begin{array}{ll} S \to X \\ S \to P \\ & & \\ & & \\ S \to P \end{array} \qquad J = \max_{u(t)} P(t_f) \end{array}$$

 $\dot{X} = \mu X - \frac{u}{V}X, \quad X(0) = X_0,$  $\dot{S} = -\frac{\mu X}{V} - \frac{vX}{V} + \frac{u}{V}(S_{in} - S), \quad S(0) = S_0,$ 

S - substrate concentration

X - biomass concentration

P - product concentration

u - feed rate

$$\mu_m, v_m, K_m, K_i, K_0$$

- Kinetic parameters Yx, Yp -yield coefficients

Model equations

$$\dot{P} = vX - \frac{u}{V}P, \quad P(0) = P_0,$$
  
$$\dot{V} = u, \quad V(0) = V_0,$$

with

$$\mu(S) = \frac{\mu_m S}{K_m + S + \frac{S^2}{K_i}}$$
$$\nu(S) = \frac{\nu_m S}{S + K_0},$$



Kadam et al., 2007







Path and terminal controllers can be either decentralized PI or Multivariable controllers



# Conclusions

- Batch process challenges and numerical solution approaches for nominal optimization are discussed
- > Stochastic methods for optimization under uncertainty are presented
- > Measurement based optimization approaches are described
- > NCO tracking is illustrated on an example

#### **Research opportunities**

- Better technique for estimation of states and parameters for a given parameter probability distribution is vital as extended kalman filter is sub-optimal for non-gausian random variables
- > Developing methods that quantify the lost economic value with various approaches



## **Thank You**

