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## Motivation

1. Need for quick determination of energy requiremen in complex distillation configurations, e.g for Petlyuk columns.
2. Need for better understanding of how to operate complex column arrangements.

## Main results:

1. Exact analytical solution for minimum energy in directly coupled distillation arrangements
2. Simple graphical visualization in the $V_{\min }$-diagram.
3. Handle N components and M products.
4. Detailed solution for all internal flows is also obtained

## The General Problem



## Some simplifying assumptions:

- constant relative volatilities ( $\alpha$ )
- constant molar flows
- constant pressure
- no internal heat exchange


## Our Contribution:

We can use the behaviour in this standard two-product column - to predict the optimal performance
 of a directly coupled extended Petlyuk arrangement


## $\mathrm{V}_{\text {min }}$ for the 3-product Petlyuk column is found as the highest peak in the $V_{m i n}$-diagram:

$$
\frac{V_{\text {Tmin }}}{F}=\underset{j}{\max }\left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{\alpha_{i} z_{i}}{\alpha_{i}-\theta_{j}}\right)
$$



## Selected references:

## Classical references for multicomponent distillation

- Underwood (1946, 1948a,b), Fractional distillation of multicomponent mixtures
- Shiras (1950), Calculation of Minimum Reflux in Distillation Columns
- Franklin, Forsyth (1953), The interpretation of minimum reflux conditions in multicomponent distillation
- King (1980), Separation Processes.(book)
- Koehler (1995), A review of minimum energy calculations
- Stichlmair (1998), Distillation: Principles and Practice. (book)


## Minimum energy expressions for Petlyuk arrangements:

- Fidkowski, Krolikowski (1986), Thermally Coupled Columns: Optimization proc.
- Carlberg, Westerberg (1989) Temperature-Heat Diagrams for Complex Columns. 3. Underwood's Method for the Petlyuk Column.


## Revisit of Underwood's Equations

## Starting points:

1. Net component flow ( $w$ ) through a stage

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i}=V_{n} y_{i, n}-L_{n+1} x_{i, n+1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

( w is defined positive upwards)
$w_{i}$ is constant in a section:

$$
w_{i, D}=r_{i, D} z_{i} F
$$


2. Vapour liquid equilibrium (VLE): $\quad y_{i}=\frac{\alpha_{i} x_{i}}{\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} x_{i}}$

## Summary of Underwood's Equations for Minimum Energy Calculations

Feed equation
gives common
" $V_{\text {min "-roots } \theta}$

## How to use Underwood's minimum energy results:

Problem: Given 2 specifications, find $\left\{\boldsymbol{V}, \boldsymbol{r}_{1, D}, \boldsymbol{r}_{2, D}, \ldots \boldsymbol{r}_{N, D}\right\}$ ( $\mathrm{N}-1$ unknowns).

1. Compute all the common root $s(N-1)$ from the feed equation (polynomal roots):

$$
(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{q})=\sum_{i} \frac{\alpha_{i} z_{i}}{\left(\alpha_{i}-\theta\right)}
$$

2. Determine the total set $\left(N_{D}\right)$ of the distributed components

There will be $N_{A}=N_{D^{-1}}$ active Underwood roots
3. Apply the set of definition equations (in the top or in the bottom) corresponding to each active root.

This is $N_{A}$ linear equations in $N_{A}$ unknowns
(The non-distributed components have recoveries of either 1 or 0 )

$$
V_{m i n}^{T}=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{c}} \frac{\alpha_{i} r_{i, D} z_{i}}{\left(\alpha_{i}-\theta_{a 1}\right)}
$$

$$
V_{\min }^{T}=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{c}} \frac{\alpha_{i} r_{i, D} z_{i}}{\left(\alpha_{i}-\theta_{a N_{a}}\right)}
$$

This procedure particularly simple for sharp component splits ( $r_{i}=1$ and $r_{j}=0$ )

## Visualisation of minimum energy and component distribution for the ternary example (feed components ABC)



## Visualization of the operation in the D-V plane

- Any feasible point in the 2-dimensional plane spanned by 2 independent DOFs (here $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{V}$ ) determines the operation completely.
- In every polygon region, a particular set of components distribute.
- The "active Underwood roots" are always adjacent, and are in the set laying between the volatilities of the distributed components. Thus each polygon region corresponds to a set of active Underwood roots.
- On the straight line boundaries between the polygon regions, one particular component is at the limit of being distributed to both products.
- The "mountain" tops: Sharp splits between adjacent key components (neighbours i relative volatility)
- Minimum points: "Preferred split", or optimal distribution of intermediate components.

Ternary Example: Possible recoveries in the top product


## 5-Component example:


$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ marks $V_{\min }$ for sharp split of keys $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$.
$V>V_{\min }$ all above the "mountains"

All computations are simple and the solution is exact (infinite number of stages).

## Simple Matlab ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ function prototypes

[日] =UWroots $(\alpha, z, q) \quad$ Compute the common roots from the feed equation
$[\mathrm{Vs}, \mathrm{Ds}, \mathrm{Rs}]=\mathrm{UWmulti}(\alpha, z, q) \quad$ Compute all the polygon points in the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{V}$ plane
$[\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{R}]=\mathrm{UW}$ rspec $(\alpha, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{ri}, \mathrm{rj}) \quad$ Compute an operation point from specification the recoveries (r) of keys $i, j$
$[\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{R}]=\mathrm{UW} x \operatorname{spec}(\alpha, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{xi}, \mathrm{xj})$ Compute an operation point from specification the product composition (x) of keys i,j
[R] =UWvdspec ( $\alpha, z, q, V, D)$ Compute all recoveries $R$ as function of $V$ and $D$
V: Normalized top section vapour flow ( $\mathrm{F}=1$ )
D: Normalized distillate product flow ( $\mathrm{F}=1$ )
$R$ : All component recoveries $R=\left[r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, \ldots . r_{N c}\right]$ (in the distillate product)
$\alpha$ : Relative volatilities $\quad \alpha=\left[\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \ldots . \alpha_{\mathrm{Nc}}\right]$
$z$ : Feed composition $\quad z=\left[z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, \ldots . z_{N c}\right]$
q : Feed liquid fraction
Note that the distillate flow $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{FRz}^{\top}$, and the top composition $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{D}}=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}} /(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{F})$

## Application to directly (fully thermally) coupled columns:



# Underwood roots "carry over" to the next column through the fully thermal (or direct) coupling 



## Proof for the general N -component case



Minimum energy for the N -product Petlyuk column:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\text {Tmin }}^{P \text { Petlyuk }}=\max _{j} \sum_{i=1}^{j}\left(\frac{\alpha_{i} w_{i, T}}{\alpha_{i}-\theta_{j}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the ternary case, our general approach gives the same results as the analytical solution by Fidkowski and Krolikowski (1986) (valid for $\mathrm{q}=1$ and sharp splits):

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\text {Tmin }}^{\text {Petlyuk }}=\max \left(\frac{\alpha_{A} z_{A}}{\alpha_{1}-\theta_{A}},-\frac{\alpha_{C} z_{C}}{\alpha_{C}-\theta_{B}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our contributions can be listed as:

1. Different and more direct deduction
2. Generalize the solution to any liquid fraction and nonsharp splits
3. Handle $\mathrm{N}>3$ components and $\mathrm{M}>3$ products
4. Simple visualization in the $V_{\text {min }}$-diagram: The highest peak!

## Super-simple procedure for the minimum energy requirement for 3 product Petlyuk Column

1. Compute the $V_{\text {min }}$-diagram for the feed:
2. Compute the energy requirement to produce the Petlyuk top product specification in a single column, and plot it into the diagram ( $V_{\text {min }}^{A / B C}$ )
3. Compute the energy requirement to produce the Petlyuk bottom product specification in a single column and plot it into the diagram $\left(V_{\text {min }}^{A B / C}\right)$
4. Operate the prefractioantor at the preferred split: $V_{\text {min }}^{A / C}$
5. The minimum energy requirement for the Petlyuk column is simply the maximum value of 2 and 3 (adjusted for 1-q): $V_{\text {min }}^{\text {Petlyuk }}=\max \left(V_{\text {min }}^{A / B C}, V_{\text {min }}^{A B / C}\right.$ )
6. This also gives us information of the extent of the flat region. If the difference is large, there is a large flat region.

## Example: Application to a 3 product Petlyuk Column:



* Sharp A/BC split
- Sharp AB/C split
- Preferred split (sharp A/C)
${ }_{-} V_{\text {min }}^{S 3}=f\left(D^{S 3}\right)$ for $D^{S 1}=D_{\text {bal }}$ distribution boundaries

Feed:

$$
\alpha=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
4 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
z=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0.33 & 0.33 & 0.33
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
q=1.0
$$

$$
V_{\min }^{\text {Petlyuk }}=1.37
$$

$$
V_{\min }^{\text {Conventional }}=2.03
$$

Petlyuk savings $=\mathbf{3 3} \%$

## Ex.: Application to 3-product Petlyuk arrangement with 5-component feed

We want pure $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ in the top, and pure $\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{D}$ in the side and pure E in the bottom


Solution: Operate the prefractionator between $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Bal}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{BE}}$
The energy requirement to the Petlyuk column is found as $\max \left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{BC}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{DE}}\right)=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{BC}}$

## Ex.: 4-component feed to 4-product "Petlyuk" column

All vapour flows in every Petlyuk column section is found from the $V_{\text {min }}$-diagram


Solution: Operate every "2-product column" at its "preferred split"
The energy requirement to the Petlyuk column: $V_{\min }=\max \left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{AB}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{BC}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CD}}\right)=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CD}}$

## Improved 2nd Law performance



## Double Effect Column Arrangements



## Summary of our Contribution:

The most difficult split in
this standard two-product column.. ..gives is the minimum energy


The $V_{\min }$-diagram given by the behaviour in this simple column ....
 of a directly coupled extended Petlyuk arrangement


## $V_{\min }$-diagram for directly coupled columns



The $V_{\text {min }}$-diagram gives all internal flows


## Example: $\mathbf{N}$ (9) components and $\mathbf{M}$ (4) products



## Direct Coupling Minimize Vapour Flow



|  | Configuration <br> (Ad: AdiabaticNon: Non-ad.) <br> Feed data: $\alpha=[4,2,1], \mathrm{z}=[1 / 3,1 / 3,1 / 3], \mathrm{q}=1$ |  | External <br> Energy $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{m i n}}=\Sigma \Delta Q / \lambda$ | Relative Entropy Production $\Delta S_{\text {total }} /\|\Delta S\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Direct Split, no HE (conventional) | Ad | 2.072 | 0.59 |
| B | Indirect Split, no HE (conventional) | Ad | 2.032 | 1.21 |
| C | Side Rectifier (directly coupled) | Ad | 1.882 | 0.86 |
| D | Side Stripper (directly coupled) | Ad | 1.882 | 1.05 |
| E | Reversible Petlyuk Column | Non | 1.667 | 0.00 |
| F | Conventional prefrac-tionator arrangement | Ad | 1.556 | 0.63 |
| G | Petlyuk Column (typical) | Ad | 1.366 | 0.72 |
| H | Petlyuk Column + side-HE | Ad | 1.366 | 0.54 |
| I | Petlyuk + HE across the dividing wall | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ad+No } \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | 1.222 | 0.54 |
| J | Petlyuk + HE from sidestream to feed | Ad | 1.181 | 0.49 |
| K | Petlyuk + total middle HE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ad+No } \\ & \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ | 1.000 | 0.26 |
| L | Reversible Petlyuk with internal HE | Non | 1.000 | 0.05 |
| M | Reversible process with only two temperature levels | Non | 0.793 | 0.00 |

