### Application of the Enhanced Dynamic Causal Digraph Method to Wastewater Treatment Process



Hui Cheng, Michela Mulas, Sirkka-Liisa Jämsä-Jounela

Department of Biotechnology and Chemical Technology

Laboratory of Process Control and Automation

TKK, Finland

# Motivations



Wastewater treatment (WWT) process is a complicated process where sensors and equipment are operated at harsh conditions

Tremendous scope for improvement of fault detection and isolation methods

### **Benefits**

- reduce monitoring costs
- consistent water quality monitoring
- increased consistency by rapid detection and correction of faults
- reduction in human errors

### **Objective**

Apply Enhanced Dynamic Causal Digraph Method to Wastewater Treatment Processes for **Fault Diagnosis** 

2 of 23 ◀ 볼 ▶ ◀ 볼 ▶ 볼 ∽ 익 ୯ ୯

15th Nordic Process Control Workshop, Norway



Process knowledge and simulation software are the only pre-requisites to test the method

**Process Study** 

The proposed method performs the fault detection and isolation as follows:

- 1. Causal Digraph Modeling
- 2. Fault Scenarios Study
  - Process Fault
  - Sensor Fault
- 3. Fault Diagnosis
  - $\circ~$  Generate the global (GR) and local residuals (LR)
  - $\circ~$  Detect a possible abnormality in the residual signals
  - Locate the primary fault and identify its nature by means of the fault isolation and nature rules
- 4. Analysis of Results



Process Study

Causal Digraph Model

Fault Scenarios

Fault Diagnosis

Conclusions





Inside a biological WWT plant, the Activated Sludge Process (ASP) is the most common used technology to remove organic pollutant from wastewater

Benchmark Simulation Model No.1 (BSM1) proposed by the IWA-COST group

Nitrogen and Carbon Compounds Removal



The BSM1 characterizes the plant including plant layout, specific model parameters and a detailed description of the influent flowrate and compositions



The benchmark is based on two internationally accepted process models

### Settler

- Thickening and clarification processes take place here
- It is modelled as a stack of layer by means of the Takacs Model

### Bioreactor

- It consists of two anoxic (Denitrification) followed by three aerobic (Nitrification) zones
- They are modelled with the Activated Sludge Model No.1



The benchmark is based on two internationally accepted process models

### Settler

- Thickening and clarification processes take place here
- It is modelled as a stack of layer by means of the Takacs Model

### Bioreactor

- It consists of two anoxic (Denitrification) followed by three aerobic (Nitrification) zones
- They are modelled with the Activated Sludge Model No.1

Autotrophic Bacteria

Heterotrophic Bacteria



The benchmark is based on two internationally accepted process models

### Settler

- Thickening and clarification processes take place here
- It is modelled as a stack of layer by means of the Takacs Model

### Bioreactor

- It consists of two anoxic (Denitrification) followed by three aerobic (Nitrification) zones
- They are modelled with the Activated Sludge Model No.1

Autotrophic Bacteria

Heterotrophic Bacteria

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = \mu(S) - bX$$



The benchmark is based on two internationally accepted process models

### Settler

- Thickening and clarification processes take place here
- It is modelled as a stack of layer by means of the Takacs Model

#### **Bioreactor**

- It consists of two anoxic (Denitrification) followed by three aerobic (Nitrification) zones
- They are modelled with the Activated Sludge Model No.1

Autotrophic Bacteria

Heterotrophic Bacteria

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = \mu(S) - bX$$

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\frac{1}{Y}\mu(S)X$$



The benchmark is based on two internationally accepted process models

### Settler

6 of 23 <

- Thickening and clarification processes take place here
- It is modelled as a stack of layer by means of the Takacs Model

#### **Bioreactor**

- It consists of two anoxic (Denitrification) followed by three aerobic (Nitrification) zones
- They are modelled with the Activated Sludge Model No.1

### Autotrophic Bacteria

Heterotrophic Bacteria

500

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = \mu(S) - bX$$
  
$$\mu(S) = \hat{\mu} \frac{S}{K_S + S}$$
  
$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\frac{1}{Y} \mu(S) X$$



The ASM1 consists of 13 state variables and 8 process reactions



**Composite variables** 

 $COD = S_{I} + S_{S} + X_{I} + X_{S} + X_{BH} + X_{BA} + X_{P}$  $TSS = 0.75(X_{I} + X_{S} + X_{BH} + X_{BA} + X_{P})$ 



The ASM1 consists of 13 state variables and 8 process reactions



**Composite variables** 

7 of 23 🔨

Sac

 $COD = S_{I} + S_{S} + X_{I} + X_{S} + X_{BH} + X_{BA} + X_{P}$  $TSS = 0.75(X_{I} + X_{S} + X_{BH} + X_{BA} + X_{P})$ 

In the secondary settler model all the particulate

components are lumped together

15th Nordic Process Control Workshop, Norway



Bioreactor and settler are coupled together in Matlab/Simulink

Testing environment for the enhanced dynamic causal digraph method





Process Study

### Causal Digraph Model

Fault Scenarios

Fault Diagnosis

Conclusions





Soluble inert organic matter  $S_{I}$ Ss Readily biodegradable substrate  $X_{I}$ Particulate inert organic matter  $X_{5}$ Slowly biodegradable substrate  $X_{RH}$ Active heterotrophic biomass  $X_{BA}$ Active autotrophic biomass Part. prod. from biomass decay  $X_P$ So Dissolved Oxygen SNO Nitrite and Nitrate Nitrogen SNH Free and Ionized Ammonia  $S_{ND}$ Soluble biodegr. organic N  $X_{ND}$ Part. biodegr. organic N Alkalinity S<sub>ALK</sub>



Soluble inert organic matter  $S_{I}$  $S_S$ Readily biodegradable substrate  $X_{I}$ Particulate inert organic matter  $X_{5}$ Slowly biodegradable substrate  $X_{RH}$ Active heterotrophic biomass  $X_{BA}$ Active autotrophic biomass  $X_P$ Part. prod. from biomass decay So Dissolved Oxygen SNO Nitrite and Nitrate Nitrogen SNH Free and Ionized Ammonia  $S_{ND}$ Soluble biodegr. organic N  $X_{ND}$ Part. biodegr. organic N Alkalinity S<sub>ALK</sub>

- COD
- TSS



Soluble inert organic matter  $S_{I}$  $S_S$ Readily biodegradable substrate  $X_{I}$ Particulate inert organic matter  $X_{5}$ Slowly biodegradable substrate  $X_{RH}$ Active heterotrophic biomass  $X_{BA}$ Active autotrophic biomass  $X_P$ Part. prod. from biomass decay So Dissolved Oxygen SNO Nitrite and Nitrate Nitrogen SNH Free and Ionized Ammonia  $S_{ND}$ Soluble biodegr. organic N  $X_{ND}$ Part. biodegr. organic N Alkalinity S<sub>ALK</sub>

- COD
- TSS



Soluble inert organic matter  $S_{I}$  $S_S$ Readily biodegradable substrate  $X_{I}$ Particulate inert organic matter  $X_{5}$ Slowly biodegradable substrate  $X_{RH}$ Active heterotrophic biomass  $X_{BA}$ Active autotrophic biomass  $X_P$ Part. prod. from biomass decay So Dissolved Oxygen SNO Nitrite and Nitrate Nitrogen SNH Free and Ionized Ammonia  $S_{ND}$ Soluble biodegr. organic N  $X_{ND}$ Part. biodegr. organic N Alkalinity S<sub>ALK</sub>

- COD
- TSS



Soluble inert organic matter  $S_{I}$  $S_S$ Readily biodegradable substrate  $X_{I}$ Particulate inert organic matter  $X_{5}$ Slowly biodegradable substrate  $X_{RH}$ Active heterotrophic biomass  $X_{BA}$ Active autotrophic biomass  $X_P$ Part. prod. from biomass decay So Dissolved Oxygen SNO Nitrite and Nitrate Nitrogen SNH Free and Ionized Ammonia  $S_{ND}$ Soluble biodegr. organic N  $X_{ND}$ Part. biodegr. organic N Alkalinity S<sub>ALK</sub>

- COD
- TSS



Soluble inert organic matter  $S_{I}$  $S_S$ Readily biodegradable substrate  $X_{I}$ Particulate inert organic matter  $X_{5}$ Slowly biodegradable substrate  $X_{RH}$ Active heterotrophic biomass  $X_{BA}$ Active autotrophic biomass  $X_P$ Part. prod. from biomass decay So Dissolved Oxygen SNO Nitrite and Nitrate Nitrogen SNH Free and Ionized Ammonia  $S_{ND}$ Soluble biodegr. organic N  $X_{ND}$ Part. biodegr. organic N Alkalinity SAIK

- COD
- TSS





 $S_{I}$ Soluble inert organic matter  $S_S$ Readily biodegradable substrate  $X_{I}$ Particulate inert organic matter  $X_{5}$ Slowly biodegradable substrate  $X_{RH}$ Active heterotrophic biomass  $X_{BA}$ Active autotrophic biomass  $X_P$ Part. prod. from biomass decay So Dissolved Oxygen SNO Nitrite and Nitrate Nitrogen SNH Free and Ionized Ammonia  $S_{ND}$ Soluble biodegr. organic N  $X_{ND}$ Part. biodegr. organic N Alkalinity SAIK

- COD
- TSS





S <sub>I</sub>	Soluble inert organic matter
$S_S$	Readily biodegradable substrate
$X_{I}$	Particulate inert organic matter
$X_S$	Slowly biodegradable substrate
$X_{BH}$	Active heterotrophic biomass
$X_{BA}$	Active autotrophic biomass
$X_P$	Part. prod. from biomass decay
$S_O$	Dissolved Oxygen
$S_{NO}$	Nitrite and Nitrate Nitrogen
S <sub>NH</sub>	Free and Ionized Ammonia
$S_{ND}$	Soluble biodegr. organic N
$X_{ND}$	Part. biodegr. organic N
$S_{ALK}$	Alkalinity



# Measured VariablesCOD

5900

TSS

10 of 23 4

Estimated Variables

# Causal Digraph Model



11 of 23 < 불 ▶ < 불 ▶ 불 ∽ 의 Q @

15th Nordic Process Control Workshop, Norway



Process Study

### Causal Digraph Model

### Fault Scenarios

Fault Diagnosis

Conclusions

# Fault Scenarios

- 28 days are simulated with the influent flow and load compositions provided in the BSM1
- 2 faulty days are considered (14-16)

Two fault scenarios were selected to study based on the process knowledge

### Scenario I

The change in the biomass growth rate due of high concentration of toxic metal in the influent wastewater is considered

### Scenario II

The fault of the oxygen sensor is represented and analyzed





# Fault Scenarios

- 28 days are simulated with the influent flow and load compositions provided in the BSM1
- 2 faulty days are considered (14-16)

Two fault scenarios were selected to study based on the process knowledge

### Scenario I

The change in the biomass growth rate due of high concentration of toxic metal in the influent wastewater is considered

**Process Fault** 

### Scenario II

The fault of the oxygen sensor is represented and analyzed





# Fault Scenarios

- 28 days are simulated with the influent flow and load compositions provided in the BSM1
- 2 faulty days are considered (14-16)

Two fault scenarios were selected to study based on the process knowledge

### Scenario I

The change in the biomass growth rate due of high concentration of toxic metal in the influent wastewater is considered

**Process Fault** 

### Scenario II

The fault of the oxygen sensor is represented and analyzed **Sensor Fault** 







Process Study

Causal Digraph Model

Fault Scenarios

Fault Diagnosis

Conclusions

# Diagnosis Results for Fault Scenario I





# Diagnosis Results for Fault Scenario I





16 of 23 < 클 ▷ < 클 ▷ 클 ∽ 의 < ເ∾

15th Nordic Process Control Workshop, Norway

### Diagnosis Results for Fault Scenario I





#### 17 of 23 < 콜 ▶ < 틸 ▶ 틸 ∽ 옷 ়

15th Nordic Process Control Workshop, Norway



### **Global Residuals**



Only variable  $S_{0.5}$  is detected



#### Local Residuals



The individual local residual for variable  $S_{Q,W}$  with the input  $S_{Q,5}$  as measurement was detected. This implies that the fault on the variable  $S_{0.5}$  is a measurement fault 5900 19 of 23 4

# Diagnosis Results for Fault Scenario II



From the measurements for variables  $S_{O,5}$ ,  $S_{O,w}$  and  $S_{O,1}$ , it can be seen that during the days 14-16, the variables  $S_{O,w}$ ,  $S_{O,1}$  seem to have the fault, but actually the method is able to find the real fault in on the sensor of the  $S_{O,5}$ 



## Diagnosis Results for Fault Scenario II





21 of 23 ◀ 클 ▶ ◀ 클 ▶ 클 ∽) ९ (아

15th Nordic Process Control Workshop, Norway



Process Study

Causal Digraph Model

Fault Scenarios

Fault Diagnosis

Conclusions

# Conclusions



The enhanced casual digraph reasoning method for fault diagnosis was applied to the activated sludge process Two fault scenarios were tested

> **Process fault** The method was able to handle it

Sensor fault

The correct node was detected

#### Future Development

- The preliminary study is limited by the assumption that the toxic affects only one tank. In future  $\mu$  fault in different tanks can be considered and FTC strategy can be designed
- The estimated size of the sensor fault can be used to design FTC for the aeration controller