

# Stability and Passivity of Complex Spatio-Temporal Switching Networks with Coupling Delays \*

Jing Yao<sup>\*</sup> Hua O. Wang<sup>\*\*</sup> Zhi-Hong Guan<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Weisheng Xu<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>

\* Department of Control Science and Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai, 201804, P. R. China (e-mail: yaojing@mail.tongji.edu.cn).
\*\* Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA (e-mail: wangh@bu.edu).
\*\*\* Department of Control Science and Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430074, P. R. China (e-mail: zhguan@mail.hust.edu.cn).
\*\*\*\* Department of Control Science and Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai, 201804, P. R. China (e-mail: xuweisheng@mail.tongji.edu.cn).

**Abstract:** In this paper, a new model of complex dynamical network is established. It is called a complex spatio-temporal switching network. That is, the subsystem of each node at different time interval corresponds to different switching mode. Based on passivity property, the stability and passivity of this kind of network with time-delay interconnections are addressed. An example and simulation results are included.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Complex networks are currently being studied across many fields of science and engineering Strogatz [2001], stimulated by the fact that many real systems, such as social, biological, and communication systems can be described by models of complex networks. Random networks, smallworld networks and scale-free networks are most noticeable among all kinds of complex networks Bollobás [1985], Strogatz [2001], Wang and Chen [2003], whose nodes represent individuals and links represent the interactions among them. In view of the wide occurrence of complex networks in nature, it is important to study the effects of topological structures and their dynamical processes.

Many complex networks are hybrid in the sense that they have both discrete-state and continuous-state dynamics. In addition, these two aspects of the system behaviors often interact to a significant extent that they cannot be decoupled and must be analyzed simultaneously. Our previous work on complex dynamical networks with switching topology has been studied in Guan, Yang, and Yao [2007], Yao er al. [2006]. Note that dynamical behaviours of complex networks not only depends on their structures but also dynamics of their nodes. If each subsystem is a switching system, that is, switching signal of complex network depends on both instant time t and the index of node i, then we call it as a complex spatio-temporal switching network. In this paper, it has been formulated

for the first time. Furthermore, it is well known that the information flow in complex networks is not instantaneous in general. Hence, the finite speed of signal transmission over a distance gives rise to a finite time delay. The dynamics of networks with delayed coupling have been extensively studied in recent years. For example, in Earl, and Strogatz [2003], Li, Xu et al. [2004], Yeung and Strogatz [1999], the effects of time delay on a specific coupled oscillators network was discussed. The work in Angeli, and Bliman [2005], Li and Chen [2004a,b], Li et al. [2004], Lee and Spong [2006], Yang [2002] focuses on dynamical behaviors in delayed networks.

In this paper, we address the stability and passivity of complex dynamical networks, including the presence of communication time-delays. Based on the Lyapunov functional method, corresponding results are derived in terms of solutions of appropriate algebraic inequalities. The paper is organized as follows: a class of complex spatiotemporal switching networks with time-delay interconnections is presented in Section 2. Its stability and passivity property is addressed in Section 3. Section 4 contains a numerical example. Concluding remarks are collected in Section 5.

## 2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Let  $R_+ = [0, +\infty)$ ,  $J = [t_0, +\infty) (t_0 \ge 0)$ , and  $R^n$ denote the *n*-dimensional Euclidean space. For  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^\top \in R^n$ , the norm of x is  $||x|| := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Correspondingly, for  $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $||A|| := \lambda_{\max}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  $(A^T A)$ . The identity matrix of order n is denoted as  $I_n$  (or simply I if no confusion arises).

<sup>\*</sup> This work was supported by the Program for Young Excellent Talents in Tongji University, the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 60573005 and the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (863 Program) under Project 2006AA05Z211.

Consider a complex spatio-temporal switching network with time-delay interconnections, consisting of N identical coupled nodes, with each node being an n-dimensional dynamical system. This dynamical network is described by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_{i}(t) = A_{\sigma}x_{i}(t) + f_{i}(t, x_{i}(t)) + E_{i}w_{i}(t) \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{N} D_{ij}x_{j}(t - \tau_{i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots N \\ z_{i}(t) = C_{i}x_{i}(t) \end{cases}$$
(1)

where  $t \in J$   $(t_0 \geq 0), f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is a nonlinear vector-valued function with  $f_i(t,0) \equiv 0, t \in J$ .  $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \cdots, x_{in})^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are the state variables of node  $i. w_i \in \mathbb{R}^q$  and  $z_i \in \mathbb{R}^q$  denote the exogenous input vector and the output vector of each subsystem, respectively.  $\tau_i > 0$  represents the delay for each node, and  $C_i$  and  $E_i$  are known matrices with appropriate dimensions.  $D = (D_{ij})_{N \times N}$  is the coupling configuration matrix. If there is a connection between node *i* and node *j*  $(i \neq j)$ , then  $D_{ij} = D_{ji} > 0$ ; otherwise,  $D_{ij} = D_{ji} = 0$   $(i \neq j)$ , and the diagonal elements of matrix *D* are defined by

$$D_{ii} = -\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N} D_{ij} = -\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N} D_{ji} \qquad i = 1, 2, \cdots N.$$

Switching signal  $\sigma = \sigma(i, t)$  is a piecewise constant function. Note that switching signal is dependent on instant time t and the index of node i. So it is called a complex spatio-temporal switching network. In general, the subsystem of each node at different time interval corresponds to different switching mode. That is,  $A_{\sigma}$  takes a constant value on every interval between two consecutive switching times, which gives rise to a finite set  $A_{\sigma} = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$ .

For convenience, we define

$$\xi_l(i,t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{the switching mode } A_l \text{ is active at time} \\ & t_k \text{ of node } i, \\ 0, & \text{others,} \end{cases}$$

with discontinuity points

$$t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k < \dots, \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} t_k = \infty$$

where  $t_1 > t_0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, l = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Let  $A(\xi(i,t)) = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \xi_l(i,t)A_l$ , then the isolate node of the spatio-temporal switching network can be written as

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = \sum_{l=1}^m \xi_l(i,t) A_l x_i(t) + f_i(t, x_i(t)),$$
  
=  $A(\xi(i,t)) x_i(t) + f_i(t, x_i(t)), \quad t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k],$ 

 $i = 1, 2, \cdots N, \qquad k = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

Accordingly, the complex spatio-temporal switching network with time-delay interconnections (1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_{i}(t) = A(\xi(i,t))x_{i}(t) + f_{i}(t,x_{i}(t)) + E_{i}w_{i}(t) \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{N} D_{ij}x_{j}(t-\tau_{i}), \quad t \in (t_{k-1},t_{k}], \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots \\ z_{i}(t) = C_{i}x_{i}(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots N \end{cases}$$

$$(2)$$

In what follows, the stability and passivity of the spatiotemporal switching network (2) is first studied, and then, an example is investigated.

# 3. STABILITY AND PASSIVITY OF SWITCHING NETWORKS WITH DELAY INTERCONNECTIONS

In the subsequent discussion, the following definition will be needed.

Definition 3.1. (Hill and Moylan [1980], Lozano et al. [2000]) A system with input w and output z where  $w(t), z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^q$  is said to be passive if there is a constant  $\beta$  such that

$$\int_0^T w^\top(s) z(s) ds \ge -\beta^2 \tag{3}$$

for all  $T \ge 0$ . If in addition, there are constants  $\varepsilon_1 \ge 0$ and  $\varepsilon_2 \ge 0$  such that

$$\int_{0}^{T} w^{\top}(s)z(s)ds \ge -\beta^{2} + \varepsilon_{1} \int_{0}^{T} w^{\top}(s)w(s)ds + \varepsilon_{2} \int_{0}^{T} z^{\top}(s)z(s)ds \quad (4)$$

for all  $T \ge 0$ , then the system is input strictly passive if  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ , output strictly passive if  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ .

Let us note that (2) will be (strictly) passive if it satisfies the above inequalities (3) or (4). Several sufficient conditions for stability and passivity of the spatio-temporal switching network (2) can be stated as follows.

For system (2), assume that, for  $t \in J, x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exist continuous functions  $\varphi_i(t) \geq 0$  and positive definite matrices  $P_i$ , such that

 $f_i^{\top}(t, x_i) P_i x_i \leq \varphi_i(t) x_i^{\top} P_i x_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, N.$  (5) Remark 3.1. For nonlinear function  $f_i(t, x_i)$ , the inequality (5) is less conservative than the Lipschitz condition which is usually assumed in literature, see Remark 3.1 in Guan, Hill, and Shen [2005].

Theorem 3.1. If there exist continuous functions  $\varphi_i(t) \geq 0$ , positive-definite matrices  $P_i > 0$ ,  $Q_i > 0$  and a scalar  $\lambda \leq 0$  such that

$$\Omega(t, P_i) := A_l^\top P_i + P_i A_l + 2\varphi_i(t) P_i + NQ_i$$
$$+ \sum_{j=1}^N P_i D_{ij} Q_j^{-1} D_{ij} P_i \le \lambda C_i^\top C_i,$$
$$i = 1, 2, \cdots N, l = 1, 2, \cdots m$$
(6)

where  $C_i = E_i^{\top} P_i$ , then the complex spatio-temporal switching network with time-delay interconnections (2) is stable and passive under arbitrary switching.

**Proof.** Select a Lyapunov candidate function as

$$v(x_t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Big\{ x_i^{\top}(t) P_i x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{t-\tau_i}^{t} x_j^{\top}(s) Q_j x_j(s) ds \Big\}.$$

For  $t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k]$ , the differentiation of  $v(x_t)$  along with the state trajectory of system (2) is

$$\begin{split} \dot{v}(x_t) \Big|_{(2)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Big\{ x_i^{\top}(t) (A^{\top}(\xi(i,t)) P_i + P_i A(\xi(i,t))) x_i(t) \\ &+ 2f_i^{\top}(t,x_i) P_i x_i + 2x_i^{\top}(t) P_i E_i w_i(t) \end{split}$$

$$+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( x_{i}^{\top}(t) P_{i} D_{ij} x_{j}(t-\tau_{i}) + x_{j}^{\top}(t-\tau_{i}) D_{ij} P_{i} x_{i}(t) + x_{j}^{\top}(t) Q_{j} x_{j}(t) - x_{j}^{\top}(t-\tau_{i}) Q_{j} x_{j}(t-\tau_{i}) \right) \right\}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ x_{i}^{\top}(t) \left( A^{\top}(\xi(i,t)) P_{i} + P_{i} A(\xi(i,t)) + N Q_{i} + 2\varphi_{i}(t) P_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} P_{i} D_{ij} Q_{j}^{-1} D_{ij} P_{i} \right) x_{i}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{i}(t), x_{j}(t-\tau_{i})) + 2x_{i}^{\top}(t) P_{i} E_{i} w_{i}(t) \right\}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\varphi(x_i(t), x_j(t-\tau_i)) = \left[Q_j^{-1} D_{ij} P_i x_i(t) - x_j(t-\tau_i)\right]^\top Q_j$$
$$\times \left[Q_j^{-1} D_{ij} P_i x_i(t) - x_j(t-\tau_i)\right].$$

Since only one subsystem of each node at every instant time is active, let  $\xi_{i_k}(i,t) = 1, t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k]$ , then from (7) we have

$$\dot{v}(x_t) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ x_i^\top(t) \Omega(t, P_i) x_i(t) - \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi(x_i(t), x_j(t-\tau_i)) + 2x_i^\top(t) P_i E_i w_i(t) \right\},\tag{8}$$

Note that  $\Omega(t, P_i) \leq \lambda C_i^{\top} C_i \leq 0$  and  $\varphi(x_i(t), x_j(t - \tau_i)) \geq 0$ . Thus, from (6) and (8), it follows that  $\dot{v}(x_t) \leq 0$ , when  $w_i(t) = 0$ . Then internal stable of the switching network (2) is verified.

Moreover, for any given  $T \ge 0$ , we have

$$\begin{split} & 2\int_{0}^{T} w^{\top}(s)z(s)ds \\ &= 2\int_{0}^{T}\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}^{\top}(s)z_{i}(s)ds = 2\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{0}^{T} w_{i}^{\top}(s)C_{i}x_{i}(s)ds \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\int_{0}^{T} w_{i}^{\top}(s)E_{i}^{\top}P_{i}x_{i}(s)ds + \int_{0}^{T} x_{i}^{\top}(s)P_{i}E_{i}w_{i}(s)ds\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{0}^{T} \left\{ \left(\frac{dx_{i}(s)}{ds} - A_{i_{k}}x_{i}(s) - f_{i}(s,x_{i}(s)) \right. \\ &\left. - \sum_{j=1}^{N} D_{ij}x_{j}(s-\tau_{i}) \right)^{\top}P_{i}x_{i}(s) + x_{i}^{\top}(s)P_{i}\left(\frac{dx_{i}(s)}{ds} - A_{i_{k}}x_{i}(s) - f_{i}(s,\tau_{i}(s)) \right) \\ &\left. - A_{i_{k}}x_{i}(s) - f_{i}(s,x_{i}(s)) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} D_{ij}x_{j}(s-\tau_{i}) \right) \right\} ds \end{split}$$

$$\geq \int_0^T \frac{dv(x(s))}{ds} ds + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^T \left( -x_i^\top(s)\Omega(s, P_i)x_i(s) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi(x_i(s), x_j(s - \tau_i)) \right) ds$$
$$\geq v(x(T)) - v(x(0)) - \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda x_i^\top(s)C_i^\top C_i x_i(s) ds$$
$$\geq -v(x(0)) - \lambda \int_0^T z^\top(s)z(s) ds.$$

That is,

$$\int_0^T w^\top(s)z(s)ds \ge -\frac{1}{2}v(x(0)) - \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_0^T z^\top(s)z(s)ds.$$

If  $\lambda = 0$ , then the system is passive. For  $\lambda < 0$ , it gives strictly passive property of the spatio-temporal switching network (2). This immediately completes the proof.  $\diamond$ 

#### 4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

In this section, we give an example to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed methods.

We consider a complex spatio-temporal switching network (2) with 10 coupled nodes in which the dynamics of each node is periodically switched between three modes. They are

$$A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -220 & -18 & -2 \\ 0 & -77.2 & 0 \\ 7 & 0 & -182.7 \end{bmatrix}, A_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -175 & 10 & 2 \\ 28 & -80 & 0 \\ -8 & 0 & -96.6 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$A_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} -180.9 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & -120.9 & 0 \\ -7.9 & -30.99 & -80.5 \end{bmatrix}, f(t, x_{i}) = (2sin(t), 0, 0)^{\top},$$

where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . All the coupling strengths are chosen to be  $D_{ij} = 0.28$ ,  $(i \neq j)$ , and

$$D = 0.28 \times \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -5 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -5 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -5 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -5 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -5 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -5 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -4 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since different node maybe has different coupling delays, let  $\tau_1 = 0.4$ ,  $\tau_2 = 0.2$ ,  $\tau_3 = 0.12$ ,  $\tau_4 = 0.18$ ,  $\tau_5 = 0.08$ ,  $\tau_6 = 0.02$ ,  $\tau_7 = 0.5$ ,  $\tau_8 = 0.01$ ,  $\tau_9 = 0.06$ ,  $\tau_{10} = 0.11$ .

Thus, in (5), 
$$\varphi_i(t) = 0$$
. Let  $\lambda = 0$ . There exist  

$$P_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0327 & 0.0094 & -0.0003 \\ 0.0094 & 0.1270 & -0.0480 \\ -0.0003 & -0.0480 & 0.0908 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$Q_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0114 & -0.0117 & 0.0082 \\ -0.0117 & 0.9835 & 0.0226 \\ 0.0082 & 0.0226 & 0.9818 \end{bmatrix}$$

such that the inequality (6) holds, which implies, from Theorem 3.1, that the complex spatio-temporal switching



Fig. 1. The trajectories of the switched dynamical network

network with time-delay interconnections (2) is stable and passive under arbitrary switching. The trajectories of the switched dynamical network (2) are depicted in Fig.1.

### 5. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has formulated a class of complex spatiotemporal switching networks with coupling delays. The problem of stability and passivity for the dynamical networks are taken into account. Sufficient conditions have been derived in terms of solutions of some algebraic inequalities. An example is provided to verify the effectiveness of the proposed stability analysis.

### REFERENCES

- D. Angeli and P. -A. Bliman. Extension of a result by Moreau on stability of leaderless multi-agent systems. *Proc. 44th IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control & European Control Conference*, Seville, Spain, December 12-15, pages 759–764, 2005.
- B. Bollobás. Random Graphs. HLondon: Academic, 1985.
- M. G. Earl and S. H. Strogatz. Synchronization in oscillator networks with delayed coupling: a stability criterion. *Phys. Rev. E*, 67, 036204, 2003.
- Z. H. Guan, D. J. Hill and X. (S.) Shen. On hybrid impulsive and switching systems and application to nonlinear control. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, 50: 1058–1062, 2005.
- Z. -H. Guan, S. -H. Yang and J. Yao. Stability analysis and  $H_{\infty}$  control for hybrid complex dynamical networks with coupling delays. *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I.*,to be appeared.
- D. J. Hill and P. J. Moylan. Dissipative dynamical systems: basic input-output and state properties. J. Franklin Institute, 309(5):327–357, 1980.
- R. Lozano, B. Brogliato, O. Egeland and B. Maschke. Dissipative Systems Analysis and Control. Theory and Applications. London, U.K.: CES, Springer, 2000.
- C. Li and G. Chen. Local stability and Hopf bifurcation in small-world delayed networks. *Chaos, Solitons Fract.*, 20:353–361, 2004.
- C. Li and G. Chen. Synchronization in general complex dynamical networks with coupling delays. *Physica A*, 343:263–278, 2004.

- J. Lü, G. Chen, D. Cheng and S. Celikovsky. Bridge the gap between the Lorenz system and the Chen system. *Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos*, 12(12):2917–2926, 2002.
- C. Li, S. Li, X. Liao and J. Yu. Synchronization in coupled map lattices with small-world delayed interactions. *Physica A*, 335:365–370, 2004.
- D. J. Lee and M. W. Spong. Agreement with non-uniform information delays. Proc. 2006 American Control Conference, Minneapolis, MN, USA, pages 756–761, 2006.
- J. Lü and G. Chen. A new chaotic attractor coined. Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos, 12:659–661, 2002.
- C. Li, H. Xu, X. Liao and J. Yu. Synchronization in smallworld oscillator networks with coupling delays. *Physica* A, 335:359–364, 2004.
- S. H. Strogatz. Exploring complex networks. Nature, 410: 268–276, 2001.
- S. Čelikovský and G. Chen. On a generalized Lorenz canonical form of chaotic systems. Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos, 12:1789–1812, 2002.
- X. F. Wang and G. Chen. Complex networks: smallworld world, scale-free and beyond. *IEEE Circuits and* Systems Magazine, 1:6–20, 2003.
- X. S. Yang. Fractals in small-world networks with timedelay. Chaos, Solitons Fract., 13:215–219, 2002.
- J. Yao, D. J. Hill, Z. -H. Guan and H. O. Wang. Synchronization of Complex Dynamical Networks with Switching Topology via Adaptive Control. Proc. the 45th IEEE Conference on Decision & Control, San Diego, CA, USA, December 13-15, pages 2819-2824, 2006.
- M. K. S. Yeung and S. H. Strogatz. Time delay in the Kuramoto model of coupled oscillators. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 82(3):648–651, 1999.