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#### Abstract

In this paper, parameter identification of two-dimensional (2-D) linear differential systems via two-dimensional modulating functions is proposed. In this method, a partial differential equation on the finite time intervals converts into an algebraic equation linear in parameters. Then the parameters of the system can be estimated using the least squares algorithm. The underlying computations utilize a $2-\mathrm{D}$ fast Fourier transform algorithm on polynomials of the data without the need for estimating unknown initial or/and boundary conditions at the beginning of each finite time interval. Numerical simulations are presented to confirm the theoretical results.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

The identification of continuous-time systems is a problem of considerable importance that has applications in various areas, such as astrophysics, economics, control, and signal processing (Garnier et al., 2004, Larsson et al., 2002, 2004, 2006). The most obvious reason for working with continuous-time models is that most physical systems are inherently continuous in time. Therefore, the parameters in the models often have a physical interpretation (Larsson et al., 2004).

There exist a number of alternative approaches for identification of continuous-time dynamic systems. Some methods avoid differentiation by identifying a discrete model and converting to continuous-time models using the bilinear transformation (Garnier et al., 2004). In these cases, sampling times play an important role. Direct continuous-time identification can be done either in time domain and frequency domain (Garnier et al., 2004).

Two-dimensional system identification is a difficult task. During the last three decades, although several new methods and algorithms have been proposed for one dimensional (1D) system identification (Garnier et al., 2004, Larsson et al., 2002, 2004, 2006, Unbenhauen et al., 1988, 1998), but 2-D identification has not received so much attention.

In this paper, the system identification method proposed by Pearson et al. (Pearson et al., 1985) is evaluated and extended to 2-D continuous-time systems that governed by partial differential equations (PDE).

The main motivation for the development of these techniques and our study is that a large number of two-dimensional control system synthesis tasks are the most natural and the easiest to perform by using continuous-time models; therefore, it is advantageous to develop identification techniques that directly give the continuous-time representation.

In the proposed method, trigonometric functions are used as modulating functions. In this case, two-dimensional (2-D) fast Fourier transform in evaluating numerical integrations can be used. Thus, this method is provided a fast algorithm for the identification of two-dimensional continuous-time systems.

This correspondence is organized as follows: The problem formulation, basic algorithm, and computational considerations are presented in section 2 . Section 3 provides numerical simulations in order to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method. Finally, section 4 contains conclusions.

## 2. TWO-DIMENSIONAL LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION

### 2.1 Problem Formulation

Consider a 2-D linear continuous-time system defined by partial differential equations as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i_{1}=0 i_{2}=0}^{n_{1}} \sum_{i_{2}}^{n_{2}} a_{n_{1}-i_{1}, n_{2}-i_{2}} p_{1}^{i_{1}} p_{2}^{i_{2}} y\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=  \tag{1}\\
& \quad \sum_{i_{1}=0 i_{2}=0}^{n_{1}^{\prime}} \sum_{n_{1}-i_{1}, n_{2}^{\prime}-i_{2}}^{n_{2}^{\prime}} p_{1}^{i_{1}} p_{2}^{i_{2}} u\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \quad ; \quad a_{0,0}=1
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(y\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right), u\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)$ is an input-output pair of twodimensional system. $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ and $\left(n_{1}^{\prime}, n_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ are order of system; $n_{1} \geq n_{1}^{\prime}, n_{2}^{\prime}, \quad n_{2} \geq n_{1}^{\prime}, n_{2}^{\prime} . p_{1}, p_{2}$ are denoted the differential operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{1}}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{2}}$, respectively.

The objective is to estimate the unknown parameter coefficient ( $a_{i_{1}, i_{2}}, b_{j_{1}, j_{2}}$ ) using finite time-series of input and output data ranging from $t_{1}=0$ to $t_{1}=T_{1}$ and $t_{2}=0$ to

$$
t_{2}=T_{2}
$$

In this paper, the order of the linear differential equation is assumed to be known; however, in general the model order is not known and must be estimated.

### 2.2 Modulating Functions

$\phi\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ is a 2-D modulating function of order $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ relative to a fixed time interval $\left[0, T_{1}\right] \times\left[0, T_{2}\right]$ if it sufficiently smooth and possesses the property that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\phi^{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|_{t_{2}=0}=0 \quad,\left.\quad \phi^{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|_{t_{2}=T_{2}}=0 \\
& \left.\phi^{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|_{t_{1}=0}=0 \quad,\left.\quad \phi^{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|_{t_{1}=T_{1}}=0  \tag{2}\\
& i_{1}=0,1, \ldots, n_{1}, i_{2}=0,1, \ldots, n_{2} ;\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \neq\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi^{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\frac{\partial^{\left(i_{1}+i_{2}\right)} \phi}{\partial t_{1}^{i_{1}} \partial t_{2}^{i_{2}}}$. The multiplication or modulation of both sides of (1) with $\phi\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$, integration both sides of the assumed system model equation (with unknown coefficients) over the time windows $\left[0, T_{1}\right]$ and $\left[0, T_{2}\right]$, and utilizing integration-by-parts, while noting (2), leads to the relation,
$\sum_{i_{1}=0}^{n_{1}} \sum_{i_{2}=0}^{n_{2}}(-1)^{\left(i_{1}+i_{2}\right)} a_{n_{1}-i_{1}, n_{2}-i_{2}} \int_{0}^{T_{1}} \int_{0}^{T_{2}} \phi^{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) y\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) d t_{1} d t_{2}=$
$\sum_{i_{1}=0}^{n_{1}^{\prime}} \sum_{i_{2}=0}^{n_{2}^{\prime}}(-1)^{\left(i_{1}+i_{2}\right)} b_{n_{1}^{\prime}-i_{1}, n_{2}^{\prime}-i_{2}} \int_{0}^{T_{1}} \int_{0}^{T_{2}} \phi^{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) u\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) d t_{1} d t_{2}$

With the conditions given in (2), the differential equation (1) has been transformed into an integral equation (3). The roles of $y$ and $\phi$ within the integrals are interchanged. It is noted that the prime reasons for using such modulating functions are to avoid differentiating the data and to avoid estimating unknown initial conditions for time limited data; in the other words, modulating function methods allow for arbitrary initial conditions (Pearson et al., 1985, Co et al., 1990).

The simplest approach in building two-dimensional modulating functions is Kronecker product of two modulating functions in 1-D, one for the $t_{1}$ direction, one for the $t_{2}$ direction. In mathematics, the Kronecker product, denoted by $\otimes$, is an operation on two matrices of arbitrary size resulting in a block matrix. So if $\phi_{1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}\left(t_{1}\right)$ and $\phi_{2}^{\left(i_{2}\right)}\left(t_{2}\right)$ are one-dimensional modulating functions for $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ directions, then $\phi^{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\left(\phi_{1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}\left(t_{1}\right)\right) \otimes\left(\phi_{2}^{\left(i_{2}\right)}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)^{T}$ is a two-dimensional modulating function.

The one-dimensional modulating functions can be chosen to satisfy the following properties (Pearson et al., 1985, Co et
al., 1990):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}(0)=\phi_{1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}\left(T_{1}\right)=0 & ; \quad i_{1}=0,1, \ldots, n_{1} \\
\phi_{2}^{\left(i_{2}\right)}(0)=\phi_{2}^{\left(i_{2}\right)}\left(T_{2}\right)=0 & ; \quad i_{2}=0,1, \ldots, n_{2} \tag{4b}
\end{array}
$$

There are many functions to satisfy these properties. In this work, trigonometric functions are used as modulating functions, because these functions are sufficiently smooth and the use of fast Fourier transform in the evaluating numerical integrations can be allowed (Pearson et al., 1985).

### 2.3 Parameter Identification of Two-Dimensional Linear Differential Systems via Trigonometric Functions

Consider the set of 1-D commensurable sinusoids as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
f(t)= & \left\{1, \cos \left(-m_{1} w_{o} t\right), \sin \left(-m_{1} w_{0} t\right), \cos \left(-m_{2} w_{o} t\right)\right.  \tag{5}\\
& \left.\sin \left(-m_{2} w_{0} t\right), \ldots, \cos \left(-m_{M} w_{o} t\right), \sin \left(-m_{M} w_{0} t\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $w_{0}=\frac{2 \pi}{T}$ and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{M}\right)$ are selected positive integers satisfying $m_{1}<m_{2}<\ldots<m_{M}$ (Pearson et al., 1985). Within the $(2 M+1)$-dimensional function space spanned by the set in (5), there exist a $(2 M+1-n)$-dimensional subspace of modulating functions of order $n$ represented by the vector function $\phi(t)$ as follows (Pearson et al., 1985).
$\phi(t)=C f(t)$

The matrix C in the above equation has rank $(2 M+1-n)$ and it is determined by the solution to Vandermonde type matrix equations (Pearson et al., 1985). Now, consider the $f_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)$ and $f_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)=\left[1, \cos \left(-m_{1} w_{o} t_{1}\right), \sin \left(-m_{1} w_{0} t_{1}\right), \ldots,\right. \\
\left.\cos \left(-m_{M} w_{o} t_{1}\right), \sin \left(-m_{M} w_{0} t_{1}\right)\right]^{T}  \tag{7a}\\
m_{1}<m_{2}<\ldots<m_{M}, w_{0}=\frac{2 \pi}{T_{1}} \\
f_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)=\left[1, \cos \left(-m_{1}^{\prime} w_{o}^{\prime} t_{2}\right), \sin \left(-m_{1}^{\prime} w_{0}^{\prime} t_{2}\right), \ldots,\right. \\
\left.\cos \left(-m_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime} w_{o}^{\prime} t_{2}\right), \sin \left(-m_{M}^{\prime} w_{0}^{\prime} t_{2}\right)\right]^{T}  \tag{7b}\\
m_{1}^{\prime}<m_{2}^{\prime}<\ldots<m_{M^{\prime}}^{\prime}, w_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{2 \pi}{T_{2}}
\end{gather*}
$$

The one-dimensional modulating functions represented by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)=C_{1} f_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)  \tag{8a}\\
& \phi_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)=C_{2} f_{2}\left(t_{2}\right) \tag{8b}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the 2-D modulating function is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) & =\left(\phi_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)\right) \otimes\left(\phi_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)^{T} \\
& =C_{1} f_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) f_{2}^{T}\left(t_{2}\right) C_{2}^{T} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be seen from (7) and (9) that the time derivatives of $\phi_{1}\left(t_{1}\right), \phi_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)$ have the representation as follow (Pearson et al., 1985):

$$
\begin{align*}
& (-1)^{\left(i_{1}\right)} \phi_{1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}\left(t_{1}\right)=C_{1} D_{1}^{i_{1}} f_{1}\left(t_{1}\right), \quad i_{1}=0,1,2, \ldots  \tag{10a}\\
& (-1)^{\left(i_{2}\right)} \phi_{2}^{\left(i_{2}\right)}\left(t_{2}\right)=C_{2} D_{2}^{i_{2}} f_{2}\left(t_{2}\right), \quad i_{2}=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{10b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D_{1}, D_{2}$ are operational matrixes defined by the block diagonal structure (Pearson et al., 1985):
$D_{1}=-w_{0} \operatorname{diag}\left[0,\left[\begin{array}{rr}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{rr}0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0\end{array}\right], \ldots,\left[\begin{array}{rr}0 & M \\ -M & 0\end{array}\right]\right]$
$D_{2}=-w_{0}^{\prime} \operatorname{diag}\left[0,\left[\begin{array}{rr}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{rr}0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0\end{array}\right], \ldots,\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & M^{\prime} \\ -M^{\prime} & 0\end{array}\right]\right]$
Then
$(-1)^{\left(i_{1}+i_{2}\right)} \phi^{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=C_{1} D_{1}^{i_{1}} f_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) f_{2}^{T}\left(t_{2}\right)\left(D_{2}^{i_{2}}\right)^{T} C_{2}^{T}$

Using the above equation, the equation (3) can be rewritten as
$\sum_{i_{1}=0}^{n_{1}} \sum_{i_{2}=0}^{n_{2}} a_{n_{1}-i_{1}, n_{2}-i_{2}} C_{1} D_{1}^{i_{1}}\left(\int_{0}^{T_{1} T_{2}} \int_{0} f_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) f_{2}^{T}\left(t_{2}\right) y\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) d t_{1} d t_{2}\right)\left(D_{2}^{i_{2}}\right)^{T} C_{2}^{T}=$
$\sum_{i_{1}=0}^{n_{1}^{\prime}} \sum_{i_{2}=0}^{n_{2}^{\prime}} b_{n_{1}^{\prime}-i_{1}, n_{2}^{\prime}-i_{2}} C_{1} D_{1}^{i_{1}}\left(\int_{0}^{T_{1} T_{2}} \int_{0} f_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) f_{2}^{T}\left(t_{2}\right) u\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) d t_{1} d t_{2}\right)\left(D_{2}^{i_{2}}\right)^{T} C_{2}^{T}$
$(U, Y)$ are defined as
$Y=\int_{0}^{T_{1}} \int_{0}^{T_{2}} f_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) f_{2}^{T}\left(t_{2}\right) y\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) d t_{1} d t_{2}$
$U=\int_{0}^{T_{1}} \int_{0}^{T_{2}} f_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) f_{2}^{T}\left(t_{2}\right) u\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) d t_{1} d t_{2}$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i_{1}=0}^{n_{1}} \sum_{i_{2}=0}^{n_{2}} a_{n_{1}-i_{1}, n_{2}-i_{2}} C_{1} D_{1}^{i_{1}} Y\left(D_{2}^{i_{2}}\right)^{T} C_{2}^{T}=  \tag{15}\\
& \sum_{i_{1}=0}^{n_{1}^{\prime}} \sum_{i_{2}=0}^{n_{2}^{\prime}} b_{n_{1}^{\prime}-i_{1}, n_{2}^{\prime}-i_{2}} C_{1} D_{1}^{i_{1}} U\left(D_{2}^{i_{2}}\right)^{T} C_{2}^{T}
\end{align*}
$$

The above equation can be converted into vector format as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i_{1}=0}^{n_{1}} \sum_{i_{2}=0}^{n_{2}} a_{n_{1}-i_{1}, n_{2}-i_{2}} \operatorname{vec}\left(C_{1} D_{1}^{i_{1}} Y\left(D_{2}^{i_{2}}\right)^{T} C_{2}^{T}\right)= \\
& \sum_{i_{1}=0}^{n_{1}^{\prime}} \sum_{i_{2}=0}^{n_{2}^{\prime}} b_{n_{1}^{\prime}-i_{1}, n_{2}^{\prime}-i_{2}} \operatorname{vec}\left(C_{1} D_{1}^{i_{1}} U\left(D_{2}^{i_{2}}\right)^{T} C_{2}^{T}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where vec is an operator that converts a matrix into a vector. The above equation can be rewritten as linear regression equation in the standard form
$\Gamma \theta=\operatorname{vec}\left(C_{1} D_{1}^{n_{1}} Y\left(D_{2}^{n_{2}}\right)^{T} C_{2}^{T}\right)$
where, the parameter vector $\theta$ and the partitioned matrix $\Gamma$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta= & {\left[-a_{0,1} \ldots-a_{0, n_{2}} \ldots-a_{n_{1}, 0} \ldots-a_{n_{1}, n_{2}} b_{0,0} \ldots b_{n_{1}^{\prime}, n_{2}^{\prime}}\right]^{T} }  \tag{18}\\
\Gamma= & {\left[\operatorname{vec}\left(C_{1} D_{1}^{n_{1}} Y\left(D_{2}^{n_{2}-1}\right)^{T} C_{2}^{T}\right) \ldots \operatorname{vec}\left(C_{1} D_{1}^{n_{1}} Y C_{2}^{T}\right)\right.}  \tag{19}\\
& \ldots \operatorname{vec}\left(C_{1} Y\left(D_{2}^{n_{2}}\right)^{T} C_{2}^{T}\right) \ldots \operatorname{vec}\left(C_{1} Y C_{2}^{T}\right) \\
& \left.\ldots \operatorname{vec}\left(C_{1} D_{1}^{n_{1}^{\prime}} U\left(D_{2}^{n_{2}^{\prime}}\right)^{T} C_{2}^{T}\right) \ldots \operatorname{vec}\left(C_{1} U C_{2}^{T}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Now consider the linear regression equation (17) and assume the matrix $\Gamma$ has full column rank; then the one-shot least squares estimation is given by (Ljung 1999)
$\hat{\theta}=\left[(\Gamma)^{T} \Gamma\right]^{-1}(\Gamma)^{T} \operatorname{vec}\left(C_{1} D_{1}^{n_{1}} Y\left(D_{2}^{n_{2}}\right)^{T} C_{2}^{T}\right)$
Hence, it is assumed that a sufficient number of onedimensional modulating functions have been chosen so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(2 M+1-n_{1}\right)\left(2 M^{\prime}+1-n_{2}\right) \geq  \tag{21}\\
& \quad\left(n_{1}+1\right)\left(n_{2}+1\right)+\left(n_{1}^{\prime}+1\right)\left(n_{2}^{\prime}+1\right)-1
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.4 Computational Considerations

In this method, the choice of $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, M, M^{\prime}\right)$ is very important. The frequencies retained in the pair $(U, Y)$ should cover the system bandwidth while excluding higher frequency noise, it is clear that the highest frequencies in the modulating functions, $\left(M w_{0}, M^{\prime} w_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, should be comparable to the system bandwidth ( $W_{c}, W_{c}^{\prime}$ ) (Pearson et al., 1985). If ( $W_{c}, W_{c}^{\prime}$ ) is approximately known, a quantitative statement of this is
$M w_{0} \cong 1.25 W_{c} \quad, \quad M^{\prime} w_{0}^{\prime} \cong 1.25 W_{c}^{\prime}$
In the case of one-shot estimation, the equation (21) implies another limitation. The value of $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ should be chosen sufficiently large so as to assure reasonable
resolution in distinguishing system modes of the 2-D transfer function (Pearson et al., 1985).

The most important computational aspect of this method is the direct frequency domain interpretation afforded by the vectors $(U, Y)$ and the efficiency with which these vectors can be computed by a FFT algorithm. In order to clarify this point, let $z\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ denote a 2-D data function on $\left[0, T_{1}\right] \times\left[0, T_{2}\right]$ and assume uniform sampling in generation the discrete samples as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{i_{1}, i_{2}}=z\left(i_{1} h_{1}, i_{2} h_{2}\right) ; h_{1}=\frac{T_{1}}{N_{1}} \quad h_{2}=\frac{T_{2}}{N_{2}}  \tag{23}\\
& i_{1}=0,1, \ldots, N_{1} i_{2}=0,1, \ldots, N_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Then equation (7) and (14) imply determination the following integrals (complex form)
$Z_{1}=\int_{0}^{T_{1} T_{2}} \int_{0} z\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) e^{-j m w_{0} t_{1}} e^{j m^{\prime} w_{0}^{\prime} t_{2}} d t_{1} d t_{2}$
$Z_{2}=\int_{0}^{T_{1} T_{2}} \int_{0} z\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) e^{-j m w_{0} t_{1}} e^{-j m^{\prime} w_{0}^{\prime} t_{2}} d t_{1} d t_{2}$
where $m=0,1, \ldots, M, m^{\prime}=0,1, \ldots, M^{\prime}$. The above numerical integrations can be evaluated by using well known digital approximation. For example, the twodimensional Simpson's rule yields (Bregains et al., 2004)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T_{1} T_{2}} \int_{0} z\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) e^{j m \eta_{0} t_{1}} e^{j m^{\prime} w_{0} t_{2}} d t_{1} d t_{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{9} h_{1} h_{2}\left[z_{0,0}+z_{0, N_{2}}+z_{N_{1}, 0}+z_{N_{1}, N_{2}}+4 \sum_{i_{2}=1,3, \ldots}^{N_{2}-1} z_{0, i_{2}} W_{2}^{m^{\prime} i_{2}}\right. \\
& +2 \sum_{i_{2}=2,4, \ldots}^{N_{2}-2} z_{0, i_{2}} W_{2}^{m^{\prime} i_{2}}+4 \sum_{i_{2}=1,3, \ldots}^{N_{2}-1} z_{N_{1}, i_{2}} W_{2}^{m^{\prime} i_{2}}+2 \sum_{i_{2}=2,4, \ldots}^{N_{2}-2} z_{N_{1}, i_{2}} W_{2}^{m^{\prime} i_{2}} \\
& +4 \sum_{i_{1}=1,3, \ldots}^{N_{1}-1} z_{i_{1}, 0} W_{1}^{m i_{1}}+2 \sum_{i_{1}=2,4, \ldots}^{N_{1}-2} z_{i_{1}, 0} W_{1}^{m i_{1}}+4 \sum_{i_{1}=1,3, \ldots}^{N_{1}-1} z_{i_{1}, N_{2}} W_{1}^{m i_{1}} \\
& +2 \sum_{i_{1}=2,4, \ldots}^{N_{1}-2} z_{i_{1}, N_{2}} W_{1}^{m i_{1}}+16 \sum_{i_{2}=1,3, \ldots}^{N_{2}-1} \sum_{i_{1}=1,3, \ldots}^{N_{1}-1} z_{i_{1}, i_{2}} W_{1}^{m i_{1}} W_{2}^{m^{\prime} i_{2}} \\
& +8 \sum_{i_{2}=2,4, \ldots, i_{1}=1,3, \ldots}^{N_{2}-2} \sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}}^{N_{1}-1} W_{1}^{m i_{1}} W_{2}^{m i_{2}}+8 \sum_{i_{2}=1,3, \ldots}^{N_{2}-1} \sum_{i_{1}=2,4, \ldots}^{N_{1}-2} z_{i_{1}, i_{2}} W_{1}^{m i_{1}} W_{2}^{m i_{2}} \\
& \left.+4 \sum_{i_{2}=2,4, \ldots, i_{1}=2,4, \ldots}^{N_{2}-2} z_{i_{1}, i_{2}}^{N_{1}-2} W_{1}^{m i_{1}} W_{2}^{m^{\prime} i_{2}}\right]+o\left(h_{1}^{4}\right)+o\left(h_{2}^{4}\right) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $W_{1}=e^{-j \frac{2 \pi}{N_{1}}}, W_{2}=e^{-j \frac{2 \pi}{N_{2}}}$ and $o\left(h_{1}^{4}\right), o\left(h_{2}^{4}\right)$ are the order of the error as functions of the sampling interval $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$. Simpson's rule is a Newton-Cotes formula for
approximating the integral of a function using quadratic polynomials (Bregains et al., 2004).

Assuming $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ is power of 2, the usual 2-D FFT algorithm can be used to evaluate the DFT of the sum on the RHS of the above yielding the Fourier series coefficients for $m=0,1, \ldots, N_{1}-1$ and $m^{\prime}=0,1, \ldots, N_{2}-1$, i.e. ,

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z_{1}=\frac{1}{9} h_{1} h_{2} F F T\left[\left(z_{0,0}+z_{0, N_{2}}+z_{N_{1}, 0}+z_{N_{1}, N_{2}}\right)\right. \\
4 z_{0,1}, 2 z_{0,2}, \ldots, 4 z_{0, N_{2}-1} \\
4 z_{N_{1}, 1}, 2 z_{N_{1}, 2}, \ldots, 4 z_{N_{1}, N_{2}-1} \\
4 z_{1,0}, 2 z_{2,0}, \ldots, 4 z_{N_{1}-1,0} \\
4 z_{1, N_{2}}, 2 z_{2, N_{2}}, \ldots, 4 z_{N_{1}-1, N_{2}}  \tag{26}\\
16 z_{1,1}, 8 z_{1,3}, \ldots, 16 z_{1, N_{2}-1} \\
8 z_{2,1}, 4 z_{2,2}, \ldots, 8 z_{2, N_{2}-1}, \ldots \\
\left.16 z_{N_{1}-1,1}, 8 z_{N_{1}-1,2}, \ldots, 16 z_{N_{1}-1, N_{2}-1}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

The computational saving of this algorithm for large $N_{1}$ or/and $N_{2}$ are well known.

## 3. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

In this section, a number of simulated examples are presented to provide verification of the theoretical results. In these simulations, the unknown parameters of two-dimensional linear continuous-time systems by using trigonometric functions as modulating functions are estimated. Analysis and simulation results demonstrate the applicability of the proposed method in parameter identification of twodimensional linear differential systems.

The normalized error criterion for the estimated parameters is defined by
$\|\Delta \theta\|=\left[\frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K}\left[\frac{\hat{\theta}_{i}-\theta_{i}^{*}}{\theta_{i}^{*}}\right]^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times 100$
where $\theta_{i}^{*}$ is the actual parameter value and $K$ is the number of unknown parameters.

In these examples, the data length is $N_{1} \times N_{2}$; $N_{1}=100, N_{2}=100$.

Example 1: Consider the 2-D linear continuous-time system that governed by transfer function as

$$
H_{1}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)=\frac{b_{0}}{s_{1} s_{2}+a_{1} s_{1}+a_{2} s_{2}+a_{3}}
$$

where $a_{1}=3, a_{2}=2, a_{3}=6, b_{0}=6$.
The initial or/and boundary conditions are arbitrary and input signal is a two-dimensional white Gaussian noise. The objective of continuous-time system identification is to estimate the parameter coefficients $\theta=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} ; b_{0}\right\}$ using finite time-series of input data $u\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ and output data $y\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ ranging from $t_{1}=0$ to $t_{1}=2 \pi$ and $t_{2}=0$ to $t_{2}=2 \pi$.

In these simulations, a minimum error is reached around $M=2$ and $M^{\prime}=3$. Note that if the system bandwidth ( $W_{c}, W_{c}^{\prime}$ ) is approximately known, the values of $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ can be determined by equation (22). In the case of one-shot estimation, the equation (21) implies another limitation on $M$ and $M^{\prime}$.

Example 2: Consider as a second example a twodimensional system with order $n_{1}=2, n_{2}=2$ and $n_{1}^{\prime}=0, n_{2}^{\prime}=0$ defined as follows:
$H_{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)=$
$\frac{b_{0}}{s_{1}^{2} s_{2}^{2}+a_{1} s_{1}^{2} s_{2}+a_{2} s_{1}^{2}+a_{3} s_{1} s_{2}^{3}+a_{4} s_{1} s_{2}+a_{5} s_{1}+a_{6} s_{2}^{2}+a_{7} s_{2}+a_{8}}$
where
$a_{1}=2, a_{2}=4, a_{3}=2, a_{4}=4, a_{5}=8, a_{6}=4, a_{7}=8, a_{8}=16$, and $b_{0}=16$.

In this example, the initial or/and boundary conditions are arbitrary and input signal is a two-dimensional white Gaussian noise.

Results of the identification using sine-cosine functions as modulating functions are summarized in figures 1-4 and Tables 1-2. Since the system output and the model output are not distinguishable in figures 1-4, the error signals are shown in figures 5 and 6.

Analysis and simulation results demonstrate the applicability of Fourier based modulation in parameter identification of two-dimensional linear continuous-time systems.


Fig. 2. Estimated output (Example 1)


Fig. 3. Actual output in Example 2


Fig. 4. Estimated output (Example 2)


Fig. 5. The error signal of Fig. 2.


Fig. 6. The error signal of Fig. 4.

Table 1. Estimated parameters using trigonometric functions as modulating functions (Example 1)

| Unknown parameters | Estimated parameters |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\theta_{i}^{*}$ | $\hat{\theta}_{i}$ |
| $a_{1}$ | 2.9887 |
| $a_{2}$ | 1.9840 |
| $a_{3}$ | 5.9316 |
| $b_{0}$ | 5.9268 |
| $\\|\Delta \theta\\|$ | $\% 0.9449$ |

Table 2. Estimated parameters using trigonometric functions as modulating functions (Example 2)

| Unknown parameters | Estimated parameters |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\theta_{i}^{*}$ | $\hat{\theta}_{i}$ |
| $a_{1}$ | 2.0155 |
| $a_{2}$ | 4.0048 |
| $a_{3}$ | 2.0040 |
| $a_{4}$ | 4.0391 |
| $a_{5}$ | 8.0257 |
| $a_{6}$ | 4.0025 |
| $a_{7}$ | 8.0669 |
| $a_{8}$ | 16.0294 |
| $b_{0}$ | 16.0416 |
| $\\| \Delta \theta$ | $\% 0.5290$ |

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, parameter identification of two-dimensional linear differential systems using Fourier based modulation function is proposed. In this method, a linear differential equation on the finite time intervals converts into an algebraic equation in the parameters. This equation can be solved using the least squares algorithm. Analysis and simulation results demonstrate the applicability of the proposed method in parameter identification of two-dimensional (2-D) linear continuous-time systems.
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