# A NONLINEAR TRANSFORMATION APPROACH TO GLOBAL ADAPTIVE OUTPUT FEEDBACK CONTROL OF 3RD-ORDER UNCERTAIN NONLINEAR SYSTEMS

Choon-Ki Ahn, Beom-Soo Kim and Myo-Taeg Lim

School of Electrical Engineering, Korea University, Seoul, Korea 136-701 Email: {hironaka, soo, mlim}@elec.korea.ac.kr

Abstract: In this paper, we present a global adaptive output feedback control scheme for a class of 3rd-order uncertain nonlinear systems to which adaptive observer backstepping method may not be applicable directly. In contrast to the existing output feedback form, the allowed extended output feedback structure includes quadratic and multiplicative dependency of unmeasured states. Our novel design technique employs a change of coordinates and adaptive backstepping. With these proposed tools, we can remove linear and quadratic dependence on the unmeasured states in the state equation. Also, the multiplication of the two unmeasured states can be eliminated. From the transformed systems, a state observer can be constructed in a very easy way. The overall scheme achieves globally exponential convergence of the tracking error to zero while maintaining global boundedness of all the signals and states.

Keywords: Output feedback, a change of coordinates, adaptive backstepping, unmeasured states, state observer

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Adaptive output feedback nonlinear control problems have been given a lot of attention in control community during the recent years. Detailed discussions in such a direction can be found in (Marino and Tomei, 1995; M. Krstic, I. Kanellakopoulos, and P.V. Kokotovic, 1995). Under the assumption of full-states measurement, adaptive backstepping scheme can achieve a global stabilization for a class of parametric strict-feedback systems (I. Kanellakopoulos, P.V. Kokotovic, and A. S. Morse, 1991; M. Krstic, I. Kanellakopoulos, and P.V. Kokotovic, 1992). Several authors have developed the design methods for a wider class of nonlinear systems under full-state feedback (Yao and Tomizuka, 1997; Yao, 1997; R.A. Freeman, M. Krstic, P. V. Kokotovic, 1998).

In case of only a single output measurement, the existing works show semiglobal results for a class of systems whose nonlinearities depend on the unmeasured variables (H.K.Khalil, 1996; Jankovic, 1997). If the nonlinearities depend on output measurement, current works can achieve global results only for a class of parametric output-feedback systems. With adaptive observer backstepping technique (M. Krstic, I. Kanellakopoulos, and P.V. Kokotovic, 1995), an adaptive output-feedback controller that guarantees asymptotic tracking of the reference signal  $y_r$  by the output while keeping all the signals bounded can be designed for a class of parametric output-feedback form. As the first step to extend a class of output-feedback nonlinear systems that can be globally stabilized, adaptive controller was constructed for a class of nonlinear systems where the unmeasured states are appearing linearly with regard to nonlinear functions (Freeman and Kokotovic, 1996). Under the assumption that the unmeasured states are generated by pre-stabilized subsystems, Freeman and Kokotovic presented a global stabilization result for a class of extended strict feedback systems. In (Y. Tan, I. Kanellakopoulos, and Z. Jiang, 1998), the assumption of the pre-stabilization of the subsystem represented by Freeman and Kokotovic was removed. More recently, with novel state estimation technique, a global adaptive output feedback controller was designed for a class of uncertain nonlinear systems in (Choon-Ki Ahn, Beom-Soo Kim, and Myo-Taeg Lim, 2001).

In this paper, we consider a class of output feedback nonlinear systems which is a more extended output feedback structure than a class of nonlinear system studied in our previous work (Choon-Ki Ahn, Beom-Soo Kim, and Myo-Taeg Lim, 2001) as one of the recent efforts to extend a class of output-feedback nonlinear systems which can be controlled to guarantee a global stabilization. In contrast to the existing output feedback structure, our extended class of nonlinear systems has quadratic and multiplicative dependency of the unmeasured states. Since a class of introduced systems is not the parametric output-feedback form, the well-known adaptive observer backstepping technique (M. Krstic, I. Kanellakopoulos, and P.V. Kokotovic, 1995) can not be applicable directly. The major difficulty is that the system equations depend quadratically and multiplicatively on the unmeasured states. In addition, our extended class allows output-dependent nonlinearities to appear not only additively, but also multiplicatively. However, for this extended structure, we can construct a global adaptive outputfeedback tracking controller based on a change of coordinates and adaptive backstepping methodology. By a change of coordinates, the quadratic and multiplicative dependency of the unmeasured states in the state equations can be eliminated from the transformed systems. In addition, with the introduction of  $\varpi$  function, we can remove the effect of parametric uncertainties in observer design. Consequently, the proposed novel state estimation technique and the adaptive backstepping scheme achieve a global exponential tracking of the output to the given reference signal while maintaining the global boundedness of all the signals.

The class of nonlinear systems considered in this paper is described in Section 2. In Section 3, state estimation technique based on a change of coordinates is proposed. With this estimation technique, adaptive backstepping scheme is presented in Section 4. The conclusion is given in Section 5.

#### 2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

The class of nonlinear systems to be controlled in this paper is the following three dimensional output-feedback form:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= \phi_1(x_1)x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= \phi_2(x_1)x_3 + \chi_2(x_1) + \theta^T \varphi_2(x_1) + \Phi_2(x_1)x_2 + \psi_2(x_1)x_2^2 \\ \dot{x}_3 &= \phi_3(x_1)u + \chi_3(x_1) + \theta^T \varphi_3(x_1) + \Phi_3(x_1)x_2 + \psi_3(x_1)x_2x_3 \\ y &= x_1 \end{aligned}$$
(1)

where  $u \in R$ , and  $y \in R$  are the control input and the output, respectively and  $x_1$  is the measured state while  $x_2, x_3$  represent the unmeasured states.  $\varphi_2 \in R^p, \varphi_3 \in R^p$  are vectors of known smooth functions. Also,  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \chi_2, \chi_3, \Phi_2, \Phi_3, \psi_2, \psi_3$ are known smooth functions.  $\theta \in R^p$  is a vector of unknown constant parameters.  $\phi_i(x_1) \neq 0, 1 \leq i \leq 3$ , for all  $x_1 \in R$ . Throughout this paper, we assume that the reference signal  $y_r$  and the derivatives of  $y_r$  up to the 3rd-order are bounded and piecewise continuous.

The control objective in this paper is to construct an adaptive output feedback nonlinear control law so that the output y tracks a given reference signal  $y_r$  while maintaining global boundedness of all the signals.

**Remark 1.** As seen in (1), it is difficult to design state observer directly since the unmeasured states appear multiplicatively in the outputdependent nonlinear functions. In addition, the major difficulty is that the system equations depend quadratically and multiplicatively on the unmeasured state. However, with the introduction of a change of coordinates, the quadratic and multiplicative dependency of the unmeasured states can be removed from the transformed systems. Therefore, after transformation, we can design state observer very easily from the transformed systems.

**Remark 2.** In this paper, we consider only the 3rd-order extended output feedback structure. Therefore, it is a further research topic to generalize our result to the n-dimensional extended output feedback form. In addition, it is a topic of another research to determine coordinate-free geometric conditions that are necessary and sufficient for the existence of a global state-space diffeomorphism that transforms uncertain input-affine nonlinear system into the n-dimensional extended output feedback structure.

# 3. STATE ESTIMATION TECHNIQUE

In this section, we propose state estimation technique based on a change of coordinates. With the introduction of this tool, the quadratic and multiplicative dependency on the unmeasured states can be eliminated from the transformed system. First of all, we introduce the following transformation

$$\xi_2 = x_2 + \theta^T \varpi_2 - l_2(x_1) x_2 - w_2(x_1)$$
 (2)

$$\xi_3 = x_3 + \theta^T \varpi_3 - l_3(x_1)x_3 - w_3(x_1)$$
(3)

where  $\varpi_2$ ,  $l_2$ ,  $l_3$ ,  $w_2$ ,  $\varpi_3$  and  $w_3$  are smooth design functions of the measured state  $x_1$ .  $l_2(x_1) \neq 1$  and  $l_3(x_1) \neq 1$ .

From

$$x_2 = \frac{\xi_2 - \theta^T \varpi_2 + w_2}{1 - l_2} \tag{4}$$

$$x_3 = \frac{\xi_3 - \theta^T \varpi_3 + w_3}{1 - l_3} \tag{5}$$

the time derivative of  $\xi_2$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\xi}_2 = x_2^2 \left[ \psi_2 - \frac{\partial l_2}{\partial x_1} \phi_1 - l_2 \psi_2 \right] + \theta^T \left[ \varphi_2 - l_2 \varphi_2 + \dot{\varpi}_2 \\ &- \varpi_3 \frac{1 - l_2}{1 - l_3} \phi_2 - \frac{\varpi_2}{1 - l_2} \left( \Phi_2 - l_2 \Phi_2 - \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial x_1} \phi_1 \right) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1 - l_2}{1 - l_3} (\xi_3 + w_3) \phi_2 + \left[ \frac{\Phi_2 - l_2 \Phi_2 - \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial x_1} \phi_1}{1 - l_2} \right] \\ &\quad (\xi_2 + w_2) + \chi_2 (1 - l_2) \end{aligned}$$
(6)

If we choose  $l_2$ ,  $\varpi_2$ ,  $\varpi_3$  and  $w_2$  functions to satisfy the following equalities

$$\psi_2 - \frac{\partial l_2}{\partial x_1} \phi_1 - l_2 \psi_2 = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$\varphi_{2} - l_{2}\varphi_{2} + \dot{\varpi}_{2} - \varpi_{3}\phi_{2}\frac{1 - l_{2}}{1 - l_{3}} - \frac{\varpi_{2}}{-\frac{\omega_{2$$

$$-\frac{\omega_2}{1-l_2} \left( \Phi_2 - l_2 \Phi_2 - \frac{\partial \omega_2}{\partial x_1} \phi_1 \right) = 0 \qquad (8)$$
$$\Phi_2 - l_2 \Phi_2 - \frac{\partial \omega_2}{\partial x_1} = 0$$

$$\frac{\Phi_2 - l_2 \Phi_2 - \frac{\sigma w_2}{\partial x_1}}{1 - l_2} = -k_2^2 (1 - l_2)^2 \phi_1^2 \tag{9}$$

where  $k_2$  is a positive design constant, then (6) becomes

$$\dot{\xi}_2 = -k_2^2(1-l_2)^2\phi_1^2(\xi_2+w_2) + \frac{1-l_2}{1-l_3}\phi_2(\xi_3+w_3) + \chi_2(1-l_2)$$
(10)

It should be noted that, by the introduction of  $l_2$  function, we can remove the quadratic dependency of unmeasured state  $x_2$  from  $\xi$  system. Also, it is interesting to note that, with the introduction of  $\varpi$  function, the effect of parametric uncertainties was eliminated from the transformed system in observer design.

Therefore, we introduce the following observer

$$\dot{\hat{\xi}}_{2} = -k_{2}^{2}(1-l_{2})^{2}\phi_{1}^{2}(\hat{\xi}_{2}+w_{2}) + \frac{1-l_{2}}{1-l_{3}}\phi_{2}(\hat{\xi}_{3}+w_{3}) + \chi_{2}(1-l_{2})$$
(11)

Denoting the state estimation error by  $\tilde{\xi}_2 = \hat{\xi}_2 - \xi_2$ and  $\tilde{\xi}_3 = \hat{\xi}_3 - \xi_3$ , we can obtain the error equation

$$\dot{\tilde{\xi}}_2 = -k_2^2 (1-l_2)^2 \phi_1^2 \tilde{\xi}_2 + \frac{1-l_2}{1-l_3} \phi_2 \tilde{\xi}_3 \qquad (12)$$

By the same method, the time derivative of  $\xi_3$  is given by

$$\dot{\xi}_3 = \phi_3[1 - l_3]u + \theta^T[\varphi_3 + \dot{\varpi}_3 - l_3\phi_3] + \left[\psi_3 - l_3\psi_3\right]$$

$$-\frac{\partial l_3}{\partial x_1}\phi_1\Big]x_2x_3 + \Big[\Phi_3 - \frac{\partial w_3}{\partial x_1}\phi_1 - l_3\Phi_3\Big]$$

$$\frac{\xi_2 - \theta^T \overline{\omega}_2 + w_2}{1 - l_2} + \chi_3[1 - l_3] \tag{13}$$

If we select  $w_3$  function,  $\varpi$  function and  $l_3$  function to satisfy the following

$$\Phi_3 - \frac{\partial w_3}{\partial x_1}\phi_1 - l_3\Phi_3 = -k_3^2 \frac{(1-l_2)^2}{1-l_3}\phi_2 \qquad (14)$$

$$\varphi_3 + \dot{\varpi}_3 - l_3\phi_3 + k_3^2 \varpi_2 \frac{1 - l_2}{1 - l_3} \phi_2 = 0 \tag{15}$$

$$\psi_3 - l_3\psi_3 - \frac{\partial l_3}{\partial x_1}\phi_1 = 0 \tag{16}$$

where  $k_3$  is a positive design constant, then we have

$$\dot{\xi}_3 = \phi_3 [1 - l_3] u - k_3^2 \frac{1 - l_2}{1 - l_3} (\xi_2 + w_2) \phi_2 + \chi_3 [1 - l_3]$$
(17)

It is noted that, with the introduction of  $l_3$  function, the mutiplicative dependency of the unmeasured states was eliminated from  $\xi_3$ -system (17).

Therefore, we introduce the following observer

$$\dot{\hat{\xi}}_3 = \phi_3 [1 - l_3] u - k_3^2 \frac{1 - l_2}{1 - l_3} (\hat{\xi}_2 + w_2) \phi_2 + \chi_3 [1 - l_3]$$
(18)

From the definition of the state estimation error, we have

$$\dot{\tilde{\xi}}_3 = -k_3^2 \frac{1-l_2}{1-l_3} \tilde{\xi}_2 \phi_2 \tag{19}$$

With the error equations (12) and (19), consider the following observer Lyapunov function candidate

$$V_o = \frac{k_3^2}{2}\tilde{\xi}_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\xi}_3^2 \tag{20}$$

The time derivative of  $V_o$  is given by

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}_{o} &= k_{3}^{2} \tilde{\xi}_{2} \dot{\tilde{\xi}}_{2} + \tilde{\xi}_{3} \dot{\tilde{\xi}}_{3} \\ &= k_{3}^{2} \tilde{\xi}_{2} \left[ \frac{1 - l_{2}}{1 - l_{3}} \phi_{2} \tilde{\xi}_{3} - k_{2}^{2} (1 - l_{2})^{2} \phi_{1}^{2} \tilde{\xi}_{2} \right] \\ &+ \tilde{\xi}_{3} \left[ -k_{3}^{2} \frac{1 - l_{2}}{1 - l_{3}} \phi_{2} \tilde{\xi}_{2} \right] \\ &= -k_{2}^{2} k_{3}^{2} (1 - l_{2})^{2} \phi_{1}^{2} \tilde{\xi}_{2}^{2} \leq 0 \end{split}$$
(21)

Therefore, we can conclude that the estimation errors  $\tilde{\xi}_2$ ,  $\tilde{\xi}_3$  converge to zero.

**Remark 3.** From (7) and (16), a nonlinear design functions  $l_2$  and  $l_3$  can be constructed by solving the following linear differential equations

$$\frac{\partial l_2}{\partial x_1}\phi_1 + l_2\psi_2 = \psi_2 \tag{22}$$
$$\frac{\partial l_3}{\partial l_3} = 0 \tag{22}$$

$$\frac{\partial l_3}{\partial x_1}\phi_1 + l_3\psi_3 = \psi_3 \tag{23}$$

Refer to the basic differential equation text (W.E. Boyce and R. C. Diprima, 1997) for the general solution of these linear differential equations.

**Remark 4.** From (9) and (14), nonlinear design functions  $w_2$  and  $w_3$  can be explicitly obtained as follows:

$$w_2(y) = \int \frac{1}{\phi_1} [\Phi_2(y)(1 - l_2(y)) + k_2^2 (1 - l_2(y))^3 \phi_1^2] dy$$
(24)

$$w_3(y) = \int \frac{1}{\phi_1} \left[ \Phi_3(y)(1 - l_3(y)) + k_3^2 \frac{(1 - l_2(y))^2}{1 - l_3(y)} \phi_2 \right] dy$$
(25)

**Remark 5.** From (8), (15) and (9), functions  $\varpi_2$  and  $\varpi_3$  are the outputs of the following filters

$$\dot{\varpi}_2 = -k_2^2 (1-l_2)^2 \varpi_2 \phi_1^2 + \phi_2 \frac{1-l_2}{1-l_3} \varpi_3 - \varphi_2 (1-l_2)$$
(26)

$$l_{2} = l_{2}$$
 (1 ) (20)

$$\dot{\varpi}_3 = -k_3^2 \frac{1-\ell_2}{1-l_3} \phi_2 \varpi_2 - \varphi_3 (1-l_3) \tag{27}$$

# 4. ADAPTIVE CONTROLLER DESIGN

In this section, with the state estimation technique proposed in the previous section, we employ adaptive backstepping method and design a nonlinear controller that guarantees exponential tracking and the boundedness of all the signals

Let  $z_1 = y - y_r$ ,  $z_2 = \hat{\xi}_2 - \alpha_1$ . With these notations, we can obtain the time derivative of  $z_1$  as

$$\dot{z}_1 = \phi_1 \frac{z_2 + \alpha_1 - \theta^T \varpi_2 + w_2 - \tilde{\xi}_2}{1 - l_2} - \dot{y}_r$$
(28)

If we select  $\alpha_1$  function and the tuning function as

$$\alpha_{1} = \frac{1}{\phi_{1}} \left[ -c_{1}(1-l_{2})z_{1} - w_{2} + \hat{\theta}^{T} \varpi_{1} + (1-l_{2})\dot{y}_{r} - \frac{3z_{1}}{4k_{2}^{2}k_{2}^{2}(1-l_{2})^{3}} \right]$$
(29)

$$\tau_1 = -\Gamma z_1 \left(\frac{\varpi_2}{1 - l_2}\right) \phi_1 \tag{30}$$

where  $c_1$  is a positive constant for design, then it can be shown that the time derivative of the Lyapunov function candidate

$$V_{1} = \frac{1}{2}z_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\theta}^{T}\Gamma^{-1}\tilde{\theta}$$
 (31)

satisfies

$$\dot{V}_{1} = -c_{1}z_{1}^{2} + \frac{z_{1}z_{2}}{1-l_{2}}\phi_{1} + \tilde{\theta}^{T}\Gamma^{-1}(\dot{\theta} - \tau_{1}) - z_{1}\phi_{1}\frac{\dot{\xi}_{2}}{1-l_{2}} - \frac{3z_{1}^{2}}{4k_{2}^{2}k_{2}^{2}(1-l_{2})^{4}}$$
(32)

where  $\Gamma$  is the positive definite matrix for design,  $\tilde{\theta} = \hat{\theta} - \theta$ , and  $z_1$  subsystem is

$$\dot{z}_1 = -c_1 z_1 + \frac{\phi_1 z_2}{1 - l_2} + \phi_1 \tilde{\theta}^T \left(\frac{\varpi_2}{1 - l_2}\right) - \frac{\phi_1 \tilde{\xi}_2}{1 - l_2} - \frac{3 z_1}{4 k_2^2 k_3^2 (1 - l_2)^4}$$
(33)

4.2 Step 2

Let  $z_3 = \hat{\xi}_3 - \alpha_2$ . From the defined notations and (11), we can obtain the time derivative of  $z_2$  as

$$\dot{z}_2 = -k_2^2(1-l_2)\hat{\xi}_2 + (1-l_2)(z_3 + \alpha_2)\phi_2 + w_3(1-l_2)\phi_2 - k_2^2(1-l_2)w_2 - \dot{\alpha}_1$$
(34)

where

$$\dot{\alpha}_{1} = \frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial y} \frac{\hat{\xi}_{2} + w_{2}}{1 - l_{2}} \phi_{1} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \dot{\hat{\theta}} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial y_{r}} \dot{y}_{r} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial \dot{y}_{r}} \ddot{y}_{r} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial \varpi_{2}} \dot{\varpi}_{2}$$
$$- \theta^{T} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial y} \phi_{1} \frac{\varpi_{2}}{1 - l_{2}} \right) - \frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial y} \frac{\tilde{\xi}_{2}}{1 - l_{2}} \phi_{1}$$
(35)

If we select  $\alpha_2$  function and the tuning function as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{2} &= \frac{1}{\phi_{2}} \left[ -c_{2} \frac{z_{2}}{1-l_{2}} - \frac{z_{1}\phi_{1}}{(1-l_{2})^{2}} + k_{2}^{2}(\hat{\xi}_{2}+w_{2}) - w_{3}\phi_{2} \right. \\ &- \frac{3z_{2}}{4k_{2}^{2}k_{3}^{2}(1-l_{2})^{5}} \left( \frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial y} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{1-l_{2}} \left( \frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \tau_{2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{1-l_{2}} \left[ \frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial y} \frac{\hat{\xi}_{2}+w_{2}}{1-l_{2}} \phi_{1} + \frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial y_{r}} \dot{y}_{r} + \frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial \dot{y}_{r}} \ddot{y}_{r} + \frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial\varpi_{2}} \dot{\varpi}_{2} \\ &- \hat{\theta}^{T} \left( \frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial y} \frac{\varpi_{2}}{1-l_{2}} \phi_{1} \right) \right] \right] \\ &\tau_{2} &= \tau_{1} + \Gamma z_{2} \frac{\varpi_{2}}{1-l_{2}} \left( \frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial y} \right) \phi_{1} \end{aligned}$$
(36)

where  $c_2$  is a positive design constant then, it can be shown that the time derivative of the Lyapunov function candidate

$$V_2 = V_1 + \frac{1}{2}z_2^2 \tag{37}$$

satisfies

$$\dot{V}_{2} = -\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i} z_{i}^{2} + (1 - l_{2}) z_{2} z_{3} \phi_{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{z_{i}}{1 - l_{2}} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right) \tilde{\xi}_{2} \phi_{1}$$
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{3}{4k_{2}^{2} k_{3}^{2}} \frac{z_{i}^{2}}{(1 - l_{2})^{4}} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right)^{2}$$
$$+ (\dot{\theta} - \tau_{2}) \left[ \tilde{\theta}^{T} \Gamma^{-1} - z_{2} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_{1}}{\partial \theta} \right) \right]$$
(38)

where for notational convenience we have introduced  $\frac{\partial \alpha_0}{\partial y} \triangleq -1$  and  $z_2$  subsystem is

$$\dot{z}_{2} = \phi_{2}(1-l_{2})z_{3} - c_{2}z_{2} - \frac{z_{1}}{1-l_{2}}\phi_{1} - \frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial\hat{\theta}}\dot{\hat{\theta}} - \tilde{\theta}^{T} \left(\frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial y}\frac{\varpi_{2}}{1-l_{2}}\phi_{1}\right) \\ + \frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial y}\frac{\tilde{\xi}_{2}}{1-l_{2}}\phi_{1} - \frac{3z_{2}}{4k_{2}^{2}k_{3}^{2}(1-l_{2})^{4}} \left(\frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial y}\right)^{2} + \frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial\hat{\theta}}\tau_{2}$$

$$(39)$$

4.3 Step 3

From the above defined notations and (18), we can obtain the time derivative of  $z_3$  as

$$\dot{z}_3 = \phi_3 u - k_3^2 (1 - l_2) \phi_2 \hat{\xi}_2 - k_3^2 (1 - l_2) w_2 \phi_2 - \dot{\alpha}_2 \quad (40)$$

where

$$\dot{\alpha}_{2} = \frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial y} \frac{\hat{\xi}_{2} + w_{2}}{1 - l_{2}} \phi_{1} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \dot{\theta} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial y_{r}} \dot{y}_{r} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial \dot{y}_{r}} \ddot{y}_{r} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial \ddot{y}_{r}} y_{r}^{(3)} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial \hat{\xi}_{2}} \dot{\xi}_{2}^{2} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial \varpi_{2}} \dot{\varpi}_{2} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial \varpi_{3}} \dot{\varpi}_{3} - \theta^{T} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial y} \frac{\varpi_{2}}{1 - l_{2}} \phi_{1} \right) - \frac{\partial \alpha_{2}}{\partial y} \frac{\tilde{\xi}_{2}}{1 - l_{2}} \phi_{1}$$

$$(41)$$

If we select the control input u as

$$\begin{split} u &= \frac{1}{\phi_3} \left[ -c_3 z_3 - (1-l_2)\phi_2 z_2 + k_3^2 (1-l_2)(\hat{\xi}_2 + w_2)\phi_2 + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \hat{\theta}}\tau_3 \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{3 z_3}{4k_2^2 k_3^2 (1-l_2)^4} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial y}\right)^2 + z_2 \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial \hat{\theta}}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial y}\right) \frac{\varpi_2}{1-l_2}\phi_1 \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial y} \frac{\hat{\xi}_2 + w_2}{1-l_2}\phi_1 + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial y_r} \dot{y}_r + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \dot{y}_r} \ddot{y}_r + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \ddot{y}_r} y_r^{(3)} + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \hat{\xi}_2} \dot{\hat{\xi}}_2 \end{split}$$

$$+ \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \overline{\omega}_2} \dot{\overline{\omega}}_2 + \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \overline{\omega}_3} \dot{\overline{\omega}}_3 - \hat{\theta}^T \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial y} \frac{\overline{\omega}_2}{1 - l_2} \phi_1 \right) \right]$$
(42)

where  $c_3$  is a positive design constant and the tuning function satisfies the following equation

$$\tau_3 = \tau_2 + \Gamma z_3 \frac{\varpi_2}{1 - l_2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial y}\right) \phi_1 \tag{43}$$

then, it can be shown that the time derivative of the Lyapunov function candidate

$$V_3 = V_2 + \frac{1}{2}z_3^2 \tag{44}$$

satisfies

i

where  $z_3$  subsystem is

$$\dot{z}_{3} = -(1-l_{2})\phi_{2}z_{2} - c_{3}z_{3} - \frac{\partial\alpha_{2}}{\partial\hat{\theta}}\dot{\hat{\theta}} - \tilde{\theta}^{T} \left(\frac{\partial\alpha_{2}}{\partial y}\frac{\varpi_{2}}{1-l_{2}}\right)\phi_{1} + \frac{\partial\alpha_{2}}{\partial y}\frac{\tilde{\xi}_{2}}{1-l_{2}}\phi_{1} - \frac{3z_{3}}{4k_{2}^{2}k_{3}^{2}(1-l_{2})^{4}} \left(\frac{\partial\alpha_{2}}{\partial y}\right)^{2} + \frac{\partial\alpha_{2}}{\partial\hat{\theta}}\tau_{3} + z_{2}\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_{1}}{\partial\hat{\theta}}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\partial\alpha_{2}}{\partial y}\right)\frac{\varpi_{2}}{1-l_{2}}\phi_{1}$$
(46)

In this case, selecting the parameter update law as

$$\dot{\hat{\theta}} = \tau_3 \tag{47}$$

yields

$$\dot{V}_{3} = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{i} z_{i}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{z_{i}}{1 - l_{2}} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y}\right) \phi_{1} \tilde{\xi}_{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{3}{4k_{2}^{2}k_{3}^{2}} \frac{z_{i}^{2}}{(1 - l_{2})^{4}} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y}\right)^{2}$$
(48)

We are ready to state and prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Consider the system (1). If we apply the control input (42), the parameter update law (47) and the design procedure in the previous subsections, then

(1) all signals are globally bounded.(2)

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} [y(t) - y_r(t)] = 0 \tag{49}$$

**Proof.** Consider the following Lyapunov function candidate

$$V = V_3 + V_o = V_3 + \frac{k_3^2}{2}\tilde{\xi}_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\xi}_3^2$$
(50)

The time derivative of V can be calculated as

$$\dot{V} = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} c_i z_1^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{z_i}{1 - l_2} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y}\right) \tilde{\xi}_2$$
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{(\sqrt{3})^2}{4k_2^2 k_3^2} \frac{z_i^2}{(1 - l_2)^4} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y}\right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{k_2^2 k_3^2}{(\sqrt{3})^2} (1 - l_2)^2 \tilde{\xi}_2^2$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{3} c_i z_1^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2k_2 k_3} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial y} \right) \frac{z_i}{(1-l_2)^2} - \frac{k_2 k_3}{\sqrt{3}} (1-l_2) \tilde{\xi}_2 \right]^2$$
  
$$\leq -\sum_{i=1}^{3} c_i z_1^2 \tag{51}$$

From the above result, we know that  $z, \tilde{\xi}$  and  $\tilde{\theta}$  are globally uniformly bounded. Since  $y_r$  is bounded, from  $z_1 = y - y_r$ , the output y remains globally bounded. Therefore, from (26) and (27),  $\varpi$  function is also bounded. With these informations of boundedness, we can conclude that  $\alpha_1$  function is also globally bounded. By  $z_2 = \hat{\xi}_2 - \alpha_1$ , the global boundedness of  $\hat{\xi}_2$  holds. By the same method, we can obtain the global boundedness of  $\alpha_2$ , u,  $\hat{\xi}_3$ ,  $\xi_2$ ,  $\xi_3$  and the other signals. Thus, the property (1) of Theorem 1 follows from some observations. From (33), (39) and (46), we have  $\dot{z} \in L_{\infty}$ . Since  $z \in L_{\infty}$ , we can obtain  $z, \dot{z} \in L_{\infty}$ . From the definition of V and (51), we see that  $z \in L_2$ . According to Barbalat lemma (Marino and Tomei, 1995; M. Krstic, I. Kanellakopoulos, and P.V. Kokotovic, 1995), we can get the property (2) of Theorem 1.  $\blacksquare$ 

## 5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we presented a global adaptive output-feedback control scheme for a class of 3rdorder uncertain nonlinear systems. In contrast to the existing output feedback structure, our extended class of nonlinear systems has quadratic and multiplicative dependency of unmeasured states. As one of the recent efforts to extend a class of output-feedback nonlinear systems which can be controlled to guarantee a global stabilization, we constructed a global adaptive output-feedback controller based on a change of coordinates and adaptive backstepping. With the introduction of a change of coordinates, the quadratic and multiplicative dependency of the unmeasured states can be removed from the transformed systems. Therefore, we could design state observer very easily from the transformed systems. For a class of output-feedback systems to which adaptive observer backstepping technique can not be applicable directly, the proposed schemes achieve globally exponential convergence of the tracking error to zero while maintaining global boundedness of all the signals and states.

## REFERENCES

Choon-Ki Ahn, Beom-Soo Kim, and Myo-Taeg Lim (2001). Global adaptive output feedback control of uncertain nonlinear systems with linear and quadratic dependency of the unmeasured states. *accepted in Inter. Conf. on*  Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems.

- Freeman, R. A. and P. V. Kokotovic (1996). Tracking controllers for system linear in the unmeasured states. *Automatica* 32, 735–746.
- H.K.Khalil (1996). Adaptive output feedback control of nonlinear systems represented by input-output models. *IEEE Trans. on Au*tom. Cont. 41, 177–188.
- I. Kanellakopoulos, P.V. Kokotovic, and A. S. Morse (1991). Systematic design of adaptive controllers for feedback linearizable systems. *IEEE Trans. on Autom. Cont.* 36, 1241–1253.
- Jankovic, M. (1997). Adaptive nonlinear output feedback tracking with a partial high-gain observer and backstepping. *IEEE Trans. on Autom. Cont.* 42, 106–113.
- M. Krstic, I. Kanellakopoulos, and P.V. Kokotovic (1992). Adaptive nonlinear control without overparametrization. System & Control Letters 19, 177–185.
- M. Krstic, I. Kanellakopoulos, and P.V. Kokotovic (1995). Nonlinear and Adaptive Control Design. New York: Wiley.
- Marino, R. and P. Tomei (1995). Nonlinear Control Design: Geometric, Adaptive, and Robust. London, U.K.: Prentice-Hall.
- R.A. Freeman, M. Krstic, P. V. Kokotovic (1998). Robustness of adaptive nonlinear control to bounded uncertainties. *Automatica* 34, 1227– 1230.
- W.E. Boyce and R. C. Diprima (1997). Elementary differential equations and boundary value problems. 6th ed.. John Wiley & Sons.
- Y. Tan, I. Kanellakopoulos, and Z. Jiang (1998). Nonlinear observer/controller design for a class of nonlinear systems. *in Proc. of IEEE CDC* pp. 2503–2508.
- Yao, B. (1997). High performance adaptive robust control of nonlinear systems: a general framework and new schemes. *in Proc. of IEEE CDC* pp. 2489–2494.
- Yao, B. and M. Tomizuka (1997). Adaptive robust control of SISO nonlinear systems in a semistrict feedback form. *Automatica* 33, 839– 900.