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Abstract: We prove that in order to communicate independent sources between various users over an unknown medium to within various distortion levels, it is sufficient to consider source-channel separation based architectures: architectures which first compress the sources to within the corresponding distortion levels followed by reliable communication over the unknown medium.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Architecture, defined as organization of distributed algorithms in software and hardware, plays a fundamental role in communications, control and computer science. The Von Neumann architecture of a stored program computer still today provides the model of computation. The separation theorem for source and channel coding in Shannon’s theory of information provides an architecture for point-to-point communication.

Consider a controlled finite-state Markoff process \((X_t(u(\cdot)))_{t \geq 0}\) where \(X_t\) is the state of the Markoff process at time \(t\). The control at time \(t\) is \(u_t\). Let \(Z_t\) represent a “partial” observation of the state at time \(t\). It is required to choose the control function \(u_t\) at time \(t\) based on the past observation \((Z_{s}, 0 \leq s \leq t)\) in order to minimize the expected cost \(J(u(\cdot)) = \int_{0}^{T} c(X_t, u_t)dt\).

An important theorem states that the control separates into an estimation part, namely, computing the conditional distribution \(\pi^u_t(X_t|Z_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)\) and then computing the optimal control \(u^*_t\) by minimizing \(J\) considered as a function of the information state \(\pi^u_t\). Again, this leads to an architecture where the controller separates into an estimator and a controller. These are all examples of “layered” architectures.

In this paper, we consider the question: how does one accomplish communication of various sources with a fidelity criterion, that is, to within particular distortion levels, over a common, unknown medium, optimally. This question arises in various contexts. A classic example is wireless: various users need to communicate via voice with each other over the unknown wireless medium and voice admits distortion.

We answer this question under the following 3 assumptions:

- Distortion measures are additive
- Sources that need to be communicated between various users are independent of each other. More precisely, for \((i,j) \neq (i',j')\), the source that needs to be communicated from user \(i\) to user \(j\) is independent of the source that needs to be communicated from user \(i'\) to user \(j'\). That is, the setting is unicast
- There is a shared source of randomness or common randomness at various users. Thus, random-coding is permitted

We prove that digital communication is optimal to solve this problem. Digital communication is optimal is the same as saying that source-channel separation based architectures, that is, architectures where each user first compresses the source to within the corresponding distortion levels, followed by universal reliable communication of the resulting compressed source over the unknown medium, are optimal. There is optimality in the sense that if an architecture exists to accomplish this communication, a separation based architecture exists too. Digital communication need not be optimal if there are other requirements (for example, some kind of robustness) in addition to the communication of the sources to within required distortion levels.

The source-channel separation theorem that we prove is universal and holds for networks. Universality is over the medium of communication and not the source. By universality, we mean that we do not need to know the exact operation of the medium: the medium is unknown. When modeled information theoretically, we mean that we do not know the precise operation of the network as a transition probability.

We do not provide any answers for the problem of reliable communication of bits over a network. This is the classical problem of network information theory. Our view is a reductionist view. We reduce the problem of rate-distortion communication over networks to the classical network information theory problem of reliable communication of bits by showing the optimality of digital communication/source-channel separation architectures.

Section 2 discusses the previous work on and related to this problem. Section 4 discusses the system model. The view that we will take to solve the problem described above is discussed in Section 5. This view is described behaviorally in Section 6. Section 7 defines various forms of communication. Section
We use a different definition of distortion. Tian et al. (2010) contains interesting results for approximate optimality of separation architectures in the multi-cast setting: as the examples in Gastpar (2002) show, in general, one cannot hope for optimality of separation architectures in this setting.

Separation is talked about in network-coding literature in the sense of separation of channel-coding and network-coding. See for example, Koetter et al. (2009). However, whenever we mention separation, we would mean the separation of source and channel coding.

3. OUR CONTRIBUTION

Tian et al. (2010) provides general separation results for rate-distortion in the unicast setting in networks. Our work is performed simultaneously and independently of Tian et al. (2010). Our main contribution over Tian et al. (2010) is the universality over the medium. Universality is important because in order to make any kind of results for practical networks, for example, wireless or internet, the results have to be universal. Another contribution over Tian et al. (2010) is that Tian et al. (2010) requires networks with finite memory whereas we do not require any assumptions on the network.

4. MODEL OF THE GIVEN SYSTEM

There are various users. The users communicate sources among each other. As shown in Figure 1, the system consists of “architecture boxes” interconnected to a medium. The architecture boxes which will be referred to as modulators-demodulators or modems can be thought of as system protocol and aid communication.

More concretely:

There are $N$ users. $N$ might change with time. For $i \neq j$, user $i$ communicates source $X_{ij}(\cdot)$ to user $j$ over the system. The reproduction of $X_{ij}(\cdot)$ at user $j$ is $Y_{ij}(\cdot)$. \forall t, X_{ij}(t) \in X_{ij}(t)$ and $Y_{ij}(t) \in Y_{ij}$.

Note: Above, when we mention $X_{ij}(\cdot)$, we mean the whole trajectory taken by the process over time $-\infty < t < \infty$. When we mention $X_{ij}(t)$, we mean the value at time $t$. Note the ordering of $i$ and $j$ in $Y_{ij}(\cdot)$.
m denotes the medium. \( h_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) is the modem at user \( i \).

Modem \( h_i \) at user \( i \) takes source inputs \( X_{i1}(\cdot), X_{i2}(\cdot), \ldots, X_{ij}(\cdot), \ldots, X_{iN}(\cdot) \). It is known to all users that sources \( X_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq N, X'_s r \) are all independent of each other.

The medium also takes inputs \( y_{i1}(\cdot), y_{i2}(\cdot), \ldots, y_{ij}(\cdot), \ldots, y_{iN}(\cdot) \) and produces outputs \( O_{i1}(\cdot), O_{i2}(\cdot), \ldots, O_{iN}(\cdot) \).

The modem \( h_i \) encodes information into input \( I_i(\cdot) \). \( I_i(\cdot) \) contains information about

1. Sources \( X_{ij}(\cdot), 1 \leq j \leq N \) that user \( i \) wants to communicate to other users.
2. Sources \( X_{ij'}(\cdot), i' \neq i \). Modem \( h_i \) has knowledge of other source sources \( X_{ij'}(\cdot) \) which are not inputs at user \( i \) through the medium output \( O_{ij}(\cdot) \). In this case, information about \( X_{ij'}(\cdot) \) is being relayed through user \( i \).

Particular realizations of the random source processes and their reproductions, and inputs and outputs to the medium will be denoted by \( x_{ij}(\cdot), y_{ij}(\cdot), x_{ij'}(\cdot), y_{ij'}(\cdot) \). To avoid mathematical technicalities, it is assumed that the system evolves in discrete time, say, at every integer time. For the same reason, it is assumed that the source alphabet, the source reproduction alphabet, and the medium input and output alphabet is finite.

Mathematically, the modem \( h_i \) is a transition probability

\[
\begin{align*}
\Pr(h_{i,\tau}(y_{ij}(\cdot), 1 \leq j \leq N, i(\cdot) | x_{ij}(\cdot, \cdots, t - 1), 1 \leq j \leq N, o_i(-\infty, \tau - 1), c, y_{ij}(\cdot, \cdots, t - 1), 1 \leq j \leq N, i(\cdot, -\infty, \tau - 1))
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

denoting the probability that the

1. source reproduction output of modem \( i \) at time \( \tau \) are \( y_{ij}(\cdot), 1 \leq j \leq N \),
2. output produced by \( h_i \) into the medium at time \( \tau \) is \( i(\cdot) \)

given

1. past source inputs are \( x_{ij}(\cdot), -\infty < t \leq \tau - 1, 1 \leq j \leq N \),
2. past input from medium is \( o_i(\cdot), -\infty < t \leq \tau - 1 \),
3. common randomness input is \( c \),
4. past source reproduction outputs are \( y_{ij}(\cdot), 1 \leq j \leq N, 0 < t \leq \tau - 1 \),
5. past output into the medium is \( i(\cdot, t), 0 < t \leq \tau - 1 \).

Mathematically, the medium is a transition probability

\[
\begin{align*}
m_{t,\tau}(o_i(\cdot), 1 \leq i \leq N, i(\cdot, -\infty, \tau - 1), 1 \leq i \leq N, o_i(-\infty, \tau - 1), 1 \leq i \leq N, S)
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

denoting the probability that the medium outputs at time \( \tau \) are \( o_i(\cdot), 1 \leq i \leq N \) given that

1. past inputs into the medium were \( i(\cdot, t), -\infty < t \leq \tau - 1, 1 \leq i \leq N \),
2. past outputs produced by the medium were \( o_i(\cdot, t), -\infty < t \leq \tau - 1, 1 \leq i \leq N \),
3. and that the initial medium state was \( s \).

The behavior of the medium \( m \) may be complex. The interaction of medium \( m \) and the modems \( h_i \) and the resulting flow of information may be complex. The users may be co-operating. There may be multiple-hopping and feedback.

The sources \( X_{ij}(\cdot) \) should be thought of as primitive in the sense that system behavior, that is, the behavior of the modems \( h_i \) and the medium \( m \) do not affect the sources. This is a causality assumption.

The source waveform \( x_{ij}(\cdot) \) is reproduced at a later time, \( y_{ij}(t_m) \) is the reproduction of \( x_{ij}(m) \) for some \( t_m > m \). We define the process \( y_{ij}(m) \) for integer \( m \), denoted with square brackets by \( y_{ij}[m] \). We also define \( x_{ij}[m] = x_{ij}(m) \). In this notation, \( y_{ij}[m] \) is the reproduction of \( x_{ij}[m] \).

5. SPIRIT OF THE QUESTION: HIGH LEVEL

We ask a question in the following spirit.

Given a system as above. That is, a system which is known to communicate random sources \( X_{ij}(\cdot) \) from user \( i \) to user \( j \), \( 1 \leq i, j \leq N \) over a medium. See Figure 2.

Let \( s \) and \( r \) be two particular users. It is known that source \( X_{sr}(\cdot) \) is communicated from user \( s \) to user \( r \) over the system with some guarantee. Denote the guarantee by \( G \). \( X_{sr}(\cdot) \) is received as \( Y_{sr}(\cdot) \). An example of a guarantee and the one we will use is that \( X_{sr}(\cdot) \) is communicated to within some distortion level.

We ask a question about the communication of another random source \( X_{r'(s)}(\cdot) \) evolving in time in place of source \( X_{sr}(\cdot) \) from user \( s \) to user \( r \). The source \( X_{r'(s)}(\cdot) \) should be received with some guarantee \( G' \) depending on \( G \). The guarantee \( G' \) that we will use will be that \( X_{r'(s)}(\cdot) \) needs to be communicated to the destination to within some distortion level.

We will assume that the sources \( X_{ij}(\cdot) \) are independent of each other \( i, j \). This assumption is crucial.

We will also assume that the source \( X_{r'(s)}(\cdot) \) is independent of sources \( X_{ij}(\cdot) \)\( i, j \). In order to prove the result concerning optimality of digital communication as stated in Section 1, it is okay to make this assumption. \( X_{r'(s)}(\cdot) \) is primitive in the sense discussed in Section 4.

The changes made in the system for the desired communication of \( X_{r'(s)}(\cdot) \) from user \( s \) to user \( r \) should not change the communication of \( X_{ij}(\cdot) \) from user \( i \) to user \( j \) for \( i, j \neq (s, r) \). Mathematically, this means that \( X_{ij}(\cdot) \) should be received precisely as \( Y_{ij}(\cdot) \) in distribution for \( i, j \neq (s, r) \). Of course, instead of \( X_{sr}(\cdot), X_{r'(s)}(\cdot) \) now, needs to be communicated from user \( s \) to user \( r \). \( X_{r'(s)}(\cdot) \) does not need to be communicated any more.

Each user only has local knowledge. At time \( \tau \), user \( i \) has knowledge of the source realization \( x_{ij}(\cdot), -\infty < t \leq \tau - 1, 1 \leq j \leq N, \) the modem \( h_i \), medium input realization \( i(\cdot), -\infty < t \leq \tau - 1, \) medium output realization \( o_i(\cdot), -\infty < t \leq \tau - 1 \), the realization of reproduction of sources from various users destined for user \( i \), \( y_{ij}(\cdot), -\infty < t \leq \tau - 1, 1 \leq j \leq N \) and the common randomness input \( C \). User \( i \) also has knowledge of any guarantees associated with sources at user \( i \), that is, sources \( X_{ij}(\cdot), 1 \leq j \leq N \). It is known to all users that sources \( X_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq N, X_{sr} \) are all independent of each other.
Given: $X_{sr}$ is communicated over the medium from user $s$ to user $r$ with guarantee $G$.

Question: Can $X'_{sr}$ be communicated in place of $X_{sr}$ to within a guarantee $G'$ so that the communication of the other sources is not affected?

Fig. 2.

Users do not have knowledge of the medium kernel $m_r$ defined in the previous section.

System architecture can be changed, only locally. That is, $h_s$ and $h_r$ can be changed in order to communicate the source $X'_{sr}(\cdot)$. All other modems should remain the same. That is, for $i \neq s, r$, $h_i$ should remain unchanged.

Question: When can $X'_{sr}(\cdot)$ be communicated to with the required guarantee $G'$ and how?

The definitions of guarantees $G$ and $G'$ will be given later.

The communication of $X'_{sr}(\cdot)$ will be accomplished in the following way:

Since there is no knowledge of the medium kernel $m_r$, we would like to maintain the input-output behavior of the medium. If the joint input distribution of the medium inputs $I_i(\cdot), 1 \leq i \leq N$ is changed, in the absence of the knowledge of medium kernel, it is impossible to know the evolution of the medium outputs. In order to maintain the medium joint input distribution, we would maintain the distribution $X_{sr}(\cdot)$. We would build an encoder $e$ which would map the source $X'_{sr}(\cdot)$ into an encoded input whose distribution is precisely the same as the source process $X_{sr}(\cdot)$. We will thus simulate $X_{sr}(\cdot)$. Denote this simulated source by $X_{sr}'(\cdot)$. The guarantee $G$ will be satisfied between the simulated source $X_{sr}'(\cdot)$ and output which we denote by $Y_{sr}'(\cdot)$. We will then use this output $Y_{sr}'(\cdot)$ to make a decoding $Y_{sr}''(\cdot)$ with the use of a decoder $d$.

This encoding procedure can be thought of as embedding information about $X'_{sr}(\cdot)$ into $X_{sr}(\cdot)$.

Note that with this encoding-decoding procedure, we will not be "disconnecting" the modems $h_s$ and $h_r$ from the medium. The new modem $h'_s$ at user $s$ is the composition of $h_s$ and $e$. The new modem $h'_r$ at user $r$ is the composition of $d$ and $h_r$. In other words, we are building "on top of" the existing architecture to accomplish the required communication. Note that $e$ is a stochastic code. As we shall see later, the way we will build the encoder-decoder $e - d$, there would be need for common randomness $G''$ between $e$ and $d$. That is, $e - d$ is a random code. See Figure 3.

By requirement, the modem $h'_s$ is the same as $h_s$ for $i \neq s, r$.

The joint distribution of the inputs to modems $h_i$ has been maintained. This is because $X_{ij}(\cdot)$ is unchanged for $(i, j) \neq (s, r)$. For $(i, j) = (s, r)$, the input, now is $X_{sr}'(\cdot)$ instead of $X_{sr}(\cdot)$. $X_{sr}'(\cdot)$ has the same distribution as $X_{sr}(\cdot)$. $X_{sr}'(\cdot)$ is independent of $X_{ij}(\cdot), (i, j) \neq (s, r)$ by construction and because of the assumption that $X_{sr}'(\cdot)$ is independent of $X_{ij}(\cdot)$. Thus, the joint distribution at the inputs to modems $h_i$ has been maintained. As a result, $X_{ij}(\cdot)$ is received precisely as $Y_{ij}(\cdot)$.
for \((i, j) \neq (s, r)\), \(X_{sr}()\) is not transmitted anymore, however. Instead, \(X_{sr}'()\) is transmitted.

We stated before that we would like the joint medium input and output distributions to be maintained. By maintaining the distribution of \(X_{sr}()\), this has automatically happened.

Note: we are using this way of simulating \(X_{sr}()\) and “building on top” of the already existing architecture in order to communicate \(X_{sr}'()\) from user \(s\) to user \(r\). Other ways may exist. This is the viewpoint and method that we use.

The assumption of independence of sources \(X_{ij}()\) is required in the above construction for the following reason:

Let \(X_{ij}()\) and \(X_{sr}()\), \((i, j) \neq (s, r)\) be dependent. In order to communicate \(X_{sr}'()\), we simulate \(X_{sr}()\) as described above. This would mean that \(X_{ij}()\) would also need to be, at least partially simulated in order to respect the joint distribution of \(X_{sr}()\) and \(X_{ij}()\). This would mean that the system behavior would change for the transmission of \(X_{ij}()\) from user \(i\) to user \(j\). This is not permitted.

Modem \(h_s'\) consumes the same energy as the modem \(h_s\). This is because the new medium input has the same distribution as \(I_s()\). We are neglecting any energy consumption in the circuits of the modem. Also, the bandwidth of the medium consumed by the modem \(h_s'\) is the same as the bandwidth consumed by the modem \(h_s\). This is because the new medium input has the same distribution as \(I_s()\).

In general, consumption of all resources related to the medium remains unchanged if we maintain the marginal of \(I_s()\).

A similar procedure can potentially be followed for communication of other sources \(X_{ij}'()\) from a user \(i\) to user \(j\), \(1 \leq i, j \leq N\). This results in a decentralized system for communication of various sources between various users over a network.

We will elaborate on, and see an application of the reasoning described in this section to prove a source-channel separation for rate-distortion in networks by making the source \(X_{sr}'()\) have the same distribution as the source \(X_{sr}()\). This section just describes the view.

6. Behavioral View

In this section, we put the ideas discussed in the previous section in a behavioral perspective of Willems (1989).

By convention, a random variable \(S\) taking values in a set \(\mathcal{S}\) has a probability distribution denoted by \(p_S\).

Behavior of a stochastic system: Behavior of a stochastic system \(s\), \(\mathcal{B}_s \subset \{S : S\ is\ a\ random\ variable\ taking\ values\ in\ \mathcal{S}\}\). If, for example \(\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{B}_s\ is\ a\ subset\ of\ all\ random\ variables\ on\ \mathcal{R}\). If, for example, \(\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{R}^{[0,\infty)}, \mathcal{B}_s\ is\ a\ subset\ of\ all\ stochastic\ processes\ on\ \mathcal{R}^{[0,\infty)}\).

Interconnection of stochastic systems: Let \(s\) be a stochastic system with two “terminals” \(t_1\) and \(t_2\). The random variable at terminal \(t_1\) is \(S_1\), taking values in set \(\mathcal{S}_1\). The random variable at terminal \(t_2\) is \(S_2\), taking values in set \(\mathcal{S}_2\). \(\mathcal{B}_s \subset \)
{S1,S2} : S1S2 is a random variable taking values in S1 × S2. Similarly, let s′ be a stochastic system with two “terminals” t′ 1 and t′ 2. The random variable at terminal t′ 1 is S′ 1, taking values in set S1. The random variable at terminal t′ 2 is S′ 2, taking values in set S2, B′ ∩ {S1′,S2′} = {S1′,S2′} is a random variable taking values in S1 × S2. Let S′ 1 = S1. The interconnection of systems s and s′, denoted by v, when terminal t2 is connected to terminal t′ 1 is defined behaviorally as follows: Bv = {s1X1S2′ : s1X1 ∈ Bv and X1S2′ ∈ Bv′}, where X is the random variable at the terminal x which is the interconnection of terminals t2 and t′ 1. See Figure 4.

![Fig. 4.](image)

**Prismatic and non-primitive random variables:** Prismatic random variables are those which evolve autonomously. An example of a primitive random variable is a source which needs to be communicated to a destination. Non-primitive random variables come out of action of systems on prismatic random variables. An example of a non-primitive random variable is a source-reproduction.

Interconnection of stochastic systems, as defined above might not make physical sense in certain cases.

For example, consider the case when S2 and S′ 1 are independent primitive random variables. The above interconnection forces S2 = S′ 1. Even if S′ 2 and S2 had the same distribution, this interconnection does not make physical sense because S2 and S′ 1 are primitive and might not be or evolve in a way that they are equal to each other. Such an interconnection might make sense if S′ 1 were not primitive, for example, if S′ 1 were an output of the system and equal to S2.

Consider another example when S1 and S′ 2 are primitive and independent. The above interconnection might cause a dependence between the realization of S1 and S′ 2 which might not be consistent with them being independent. However, if the behavior of the system s′ were B′ v = {S1′,S2′} = S1′ and S2′ are independent), then, the above interconnection won’t lead to inconsistency.

The previous section can be summarized in the behavioral view as follows. Systems e and d need to be constructed. System e needs to be interconnected to h as and system d needs to be interconnected to h′, as shown in Figure 3. The following should be satisfied

1. The process X′ ij(·)X′ ij(·) ∈ B e such that X′ ij(·) has the same distribution as X ij(·).
2. Y′ sr,Y′ sr ∈ B d where Y′ sr(·), t ∈ (−∞,∞) has same distribution as Y sr(·), and such that the guarantee G′ is satisfied between the processes X′ ij(·) and Y′ sr(·).

Note that X′ sr(·) is not primitive any more since it is no longer a source: As stated in Section 5, X′ ij(·) needs to be communicated in place of X sj(·). Otherwise, when interconnecting e and h, we would have landed in the problem of the first example described above. Also, the reason for the assumption of the independence of sources X ij(·), 1 ≤ i,j ≤ N made in the previous section is for precisely the same reason as the second example above.

7. COMMUNICATION TO WITHIN A DISTORTION LEVEL AND ERROR

Let d : X sr × Y sr → [0,∞) be a function, d is the distortion function. For x sr ∈ X sr,Y sr ∈ Y sr,d(x sr,Y sr) is the distortion incurred if x sr is decoded as y sr.

**Notation:** n length sequences will be denoted with superscript n.

**Definition:** Distortion between n length sequences x′ sr ∈ X′ sr,Y sr ∈ Y′ sr is additive: d n(x′ sr,Y sr) = 1/n d(x′ sr,Y sr) = 1/n d(x n sr[k], y n sr[k]).

Average distortion is 1/n d n(x′ sr,Y sr) = 1/n d(x n sr[k], y n sr[k]).

**Definition:** Let the source X′ sr(·) be discrete and evolve as X′ sr(1), X′ sr(2),..., X′ sr(n), n is the block-length. The source will be denoted by X′ sr to make explicit the fact that the block-length is n. Recall the last paragraph of Section 4 that the source is also denoted as X′ sr[1],..., X′ sr[n]. The reproduction of X′ sr[i] is Y′ sr[i]. Source X′ sr of block-length n is said to be communicated to within a distortion level D under metric d with error probability < δ if

Pr(1/n d n(X′ sr[], Y′ sr[])] > D) < ε (3)

Probability is taken with respect to the joint distribution of X′ sr[] and Y′ sr[], which can be obtained by marginalization from the joint distribution (X′ ij,t i,j, Y′ ij,1 ≤ i,j ≤ N,0 < t < ∞).

**Notation and definitions:** The source X′ sr takes values in the set X′ sr. The reproduction of reproduction system X′ sr is Y′ sr. Y′ sr belongs to the set Y′ sr. Analogously as above, then, we can define a distortion metric d′ and talk about the communication of block-length n′ source X′ sr to within a distortion D′ under metric d′ with error probability < δ′.

**Notation and definition:** Let M n be a message set of cardinality 2 nR for some R. The message M n is a random variable which has some distribution on M n. Note that M n does not necessarily have the uniform distribution. For our purpose, the precise distribution of M n will not affect the results. We will ask a question about communication of M n from user s to user r. Let M n be some decoding of M n after transmission over some system. Rate R source M n of block-length n is said to be communicated with error probability < δ under the MBP criterion if

sup m∈M n Pr(M n ≠ M n |M n = m n) < δ (4)

MBP stands for Maximal Block Error Probability. In the limit as n → ∞, if δ → 0, we say that there is reliable communication at rate R under the maximal block error probability (MBP) criterion.

**Notation:** In what follows, we will sometimes denote the process X′ ij(·) by just X ij and similarly for other processes.
8. BASIC THEOREMS

In this section, we will prove results concerning communication from user \( s \) to user \( r \). Communication does take place between other users \( i \) and \( j \), \( (i,j) \neq (s,r) \). We will not be concerned with communication between \( (i,j) \neq (s,r) \) in the sense that we do not want that communication to be affected by any changes that we make to the system for communication from user \( s \) to user \( r \). That is, even if we make changes to the system architecture, \( X_{ij} \) should still be received precisely as \( Y_{ij} \) if \( (i,j) \neq (s,r) \). This was discussed in Section 5.

Recall the assumptions made in Section 5 that the sources \( X_{ij}(\cdot), 1 \leq i,j \leq N, X_{ij}'(\cdot) \) are independent of each other. We also assume that the random sources \( X_{ij}(\cdot) \) and \( X_{ij}'(\cdot) \) are i.i.d. The results can be generalized to stationary ergodic sources under some conditions.

Notation: Since we will be concerned only with communication between user \( s \) and user \( r \), in order to simplify notation, \( X_s, Y_s, Y'_s, Y'_r, X'_s, X'_r \) and \( Y'_r \) will be denoted by \( X, Y, Y', X', Y', X' \) and \( Y' \) respectively.

Consider the source \( X_{sr}(\cdot) \) of block-length \( n \) which is denoted in simplified notation by \( X^n \). It is known that \( X^n \) is communicated to within a distortion level \( D \) under metric \( d \) with error probability \( < \epsilon \) from user \( s \) to user \( r \). This communication is the guarantee \( G \) in the language of Section 5. Consider the source \( X'_{sr}(\cdot) \) of block-length \( n' \) which is denoted in simplified notation by \( X'^n \). We ask the question: can source \( X'^n \) of block-length \( n' \) be communicated to within a distortion level \( D' \) under the metric \( d' \) with error probability \( < \epsilon' \) from user \( s \) to user \( r \) in place of \( X^n \) in the way described in Section 5. This communication of the source \( X'^n \) is the guarantee \( G' \) in the language of Section 5.

Note: We are operating in the framework of information theory. In particular, delays do not matter: Any decoding that needs to be performed can be performed after observing the whole output process. That is, the decoding need not be causal.

In order to answer this question, we first answer the question of communication of rate \( R \) source \( M^n \) defined in the previous section over the system under the MBB criterion with error probability \( < \delta \). Another way of saying this in the language of Section 5 is the following: \( X_{sr} = X^n \) and \( G' \) is the communication of rate \( R \) source \( M^n \) under the MBB criterion with error probability \( < \delta \).

Notation: Let \( R_X(D) \) denote the rate-distortion function for the source \( X \). See Shannon (1959) (defin). Shannon (1959) uses an expectation condition when defining the rate-distortion function

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} E \left[ \frac{1}{n} d^n(X^n, Y^n) \right] \leq D
\]  

(5)

The definition that we use for distortion is the limit of (3) as block-length \( n \to \infty \), that is,

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \Pr \left( \frac{1}{n} d^n(X^n, Y^n) > D \right) = 0
\]  

(6)

These two rate-distortion functions are the same as proved in Agarwal et al. (2006). The dependence of the rate-distortion function on the distortion metric is not shown explicitly.

Notation: The rate-distortion function for source \( X' \) with distortion \( D' \) will be denoted by \( R_X(D') \).

Theorem 1. Given a system where i.i.d. source \( X^n \) is communicated to within a distortion \( D \) under metric \( d \) with error probability \( < \epsilon \). Let \( R = R_X(D) - \alpha \) for some \( \alpha > 0 \). Then, rate \( R \) source \( M^n \) (where \( M^n \) is arbitrary) can be communicated under the MBB criterion with error probability \( < \delta \) from user \( s \) to user \( r \). In place of communicating source \( X^n \) by using the method described in Section 5, for some \( \delta_n \to \epsilon \) as \( n \to \infty \).

Proof. This follows from Theorem 1 in Agarwal et al. (2006). Note that the codes are generated i.i.d. \( X \) and hence, the distribution of \( X^n \) is maintained as required in Section 5. Also note that Theorem 1 in Agarwal et al. (2006) is universal: the channel might be unknown. Thus, for this theorem, the medium might be unknown, as required in Section 5.

We use the above theorem to prove the result concerning communication of source \( X' \) of block length \( n' \) to within a distortion \( D' \) under metric \( d' \) with error \( < \epsilon' \) from user \( s \) to user \( r \). This communication is denoted by \( X'_n \). From user \( s \) to user \( r \) in place of i.i.d. \( X \) source of block length \( n \) which is known to be communicated to within a distortion \( D \) under metric \( d \) with error probability \( < \epsilon \) from user \( s \) to user \( r \). Theorem 2. Given that i.i.d. \( X \) source of block length \( n \) is communicated from user \( s \) to user \( r \) to within a distortion \( D \) under metric \( d \) with error probability \( < \epsilon \). Let \( \frac{n}{n'} > R_X(D') + \psi \) for some \( \psi > 0 \). Then i.i.d. \( X' \) source of block length \( n' \) can be communicated from user \( s \) to user \( r \) to within a distortion \( D' \) under metric \( d' \) with error probability \( < \epsilon' \) for some \( \epsilon' \to \epsilon \) as \( n \to \infty \). In place of i.i.d. \( X \) source.

Proof. This uses the usual argument of source-coding followed by reliable channel coding. Roughly, the argument is the following. Compress the source \( X' \) to within the distortion level \( D \). The output is a message set of cardinality \( 2^{nR_X(D')} \). Communicate the compressed message over the system from user \( s \) to user \( r \). The message gets communicated correctly with probability \( 1 - \epsilon \). This communication with probability \( 1 - \epsilon \) can be accomplished because the conditions of the previous theorem, Theorem 1 are satisfied. Finally, decode the source. End to end, the required communication of i.i.d. \( X' \) source is accomplished. More precisely, there exist source encoder \( s_{e'}^n : X'^n \to M'n' = \{1, 2, \ldots 2^n(R_X(D') + \frac{\psi}{2}) \} \) and source decoder \( s_{d}^n : M'n' = \{1, 2, \ldots 2^n(R_X(D') + \frac{\psi}{2}) \} \to Y'n' \) such that

\[
(\frac{1}{n} d^n(X'^n, s_{d}^n \circ s_{e'}^n(X'^n) > D') \to n' \to \infty
\]

(7)

By an assumption in the theorem, it follows that

\[
R_X(D) - n'R_X(D') + \frac{\psi}{2} > n \left( \frac{R_X(D) - \psi}{2} \right)
\]

(8)

Define \( \alpha = \left( \frac{R_X(D)}{R_X(D) + \psi} \right)^2 \). It follows that

\[
n(R_X(D) - \alpha) > n' \left( \frac{R_X(D') + \psi}{2} \right)
\]

(9)

We can, thus, think of the maps \( s_{e'}^n \) and \( s_{d}^n \), as source encoder \( s_{e'}^n : X'^n \to M'n' = \{1, 2, \ldots 2^n(R_X(D') - \alpha) \} \) and source decoder \( s_{d}^n : M'n' = \{1, 2, \ldots 2^n(R_X(D') - \alpha) \} \to Y'n' \).
This is because $M' \subset M^n$. We can then re-label, and call $s'_n$ as $s^n$, and call $s^n_n$ as $s^n_n$

First compress the source $X^{n'}$ using the source encoder $s^n$. The output $M^n = s^n(X^{n'})$ is some distribution on $M^n$. By Theorem 1, it follows that there exists encoder $e^n$ and decoder $d^n$ such that with these encoder and decoder, $M^n$ of rate $R_X(D) - \alpha$ is communicated under the MDP criterion with error $< \xi_n$ from user $s$ to user $r$ where $\xi_n \to \alpha$ as $n \to \infty.
The decoding of $M^n$ at user $r$ is $M^n$. Now apply the source-decoder $s^n_n$ to $M^n$. We get a decoding $Y^{n'}$ of source $X^{n'}$. End to end,

$$
\Pr \left( \frac{1}{n} d^n(X^{n'}, Y^{n'}) > D' \right) \leq \xi_n + \eta_n = \epsilon'_n \to \epsilon \text{ as } n \to \infty
$$

(10)

This proves the theorem.

Now, we specialize this theorem to the case when $X'$ has the same distribution as $X$ and is independent of $X$.

**Theorem 3.** Given that i.i.d. $X$ source of block length $n$ is communicated over the system to within a distortion $D$ under metric $d$ with $\epsilon_n \to \epsilon$ as $n \to \infty$. The communication of sources from user $i$ to user $j$, $(i, j) \neq (s, r)$ is not affected by the new architecture. That is, for $(i, j) \neq (s, r)$, if $X_{ij}$ is received as $Y_{ij}$ in the given architecture, it is received precisely as $Y_{ij}$ in the new architecture also. The energy and bandwidth consumption in the two architectures is the same.

**Proof.** This can be proved by use of the previous theorem, Theorem 2 with $X' = X$, $n' = n$ and $D' = D$ as follows.

$$
\frac{n}{n'} = 1 > R_X(D') - R_X(D) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{R_X(D) - R_X(D')}{R_X(D)}
$$

Theorem 2 applies with $\psi = \frac{1}{2} \frac{R_X(D) - R_X(D')}{R_X(D)}$.

There exist $s_n, s_n, c^n, c^n, d^n$ as in the previous theorem. The new architecture consists of modem $h_{s}^{c} = h_r \circ c^n \circ h_r$ at user $s$ and modem $h_{r}^{c} = s^n \circ c^n \circ h_r$ at user $r$. The required communication of source $X^{n'}$ from user $s$ to user $r$ in the new architecture occurs by using modem $h_{s}^{c}$ and $h_{r}^{c}$ at users $s$ and $r$. Modems for rest of the users remain unchanged, $h_r$ can be interpreted as follows. First, source $X^{n'}$ is compressed using $s^n$. The compressed source $M^n$ is encoded by use of $h_r \circ c^n$ so that it is communicated to with maximal block error probability $\leq \epsilon$. $h_r$ can be interpreted as follows. First the received sequence $Y^{n}$ is decoded into $M^n$ by use of $c^n \circ h_r$. $M^n$ is the estimate of $M^n$ with maximal block error probability $\leq \epsilon$. Then, $M^n$ is source-decoded using $s^n$. End-to-end, the source $X^{n'}$ is communicated to within distortion $D$ under the metric $d$ with error probability $< \epsilon'_n$, from user $s$ to user $r$ such that $\epsilon'_n \to \epsilon$ as $n \to \infty$.

The rest of the statements in the theorem follow from the discussion in Section 5. This completes the proof.

**Note:** The total time incurred in the end-to-end communication of i.i.d. $X$ source (the delay) might be larger in the separation architecture as compared to the original architecture. However, this does not concern us.

**Note:** We have provided a separation architecture for communication of source $X_{sr}$ to within a distortion level $D$ under metric $d$ but it is built on top of the existing architecture which already accomplishes precisely the same communication! This is just a proof technique. The proof follows a route of “building on top” of the existing architecture. This helps to prove that there is no loss of optimality in using separation architectures. In practice one can use other separation architectures which are not “building on top” of the existing architecture.

9. APPLICATION TO INFORMATION THEORY: SEPARATION FOR RATE-DISTORTION IN NETWORKS

In this Section, we prove a source-channel separation theorem for rate-distortion for networks. Subsection 10 contains a discussion with examples.

9.1 Universal source-channel separation for rate-distortion in networks

Information theory is concerned with the behavior of quantities in the limit as the block-length $n \to \infty$. As stated before, delays do not matter.

We first consider the question of communication of i.i.d. $X$ source $X^n$ from user $s$ to user $r$ to within a distortion level $D$ as block-length $n \to \infty$. The modem at user $i$ is $h_{i}^{n}, 1 \leq i \leq N$, when the block-length is $n$. We make statements concerning optimal architectures for this communication. It is required, as stated in Section 5 that the communication between other users is not affected.

**Definition:** When the block-length is $n$, modem $h_{i}^{n}, 1 \leq i \leq N$, the input that needs to be communicated from user $s$ to user $r$ is $X^n$. The reproduction of $X^n$ at user $r$ is $Y^n$. We say that i.i.d. $X$ source is communicated to within a distortion level $D$ over the system from user $s$ to user $r$ if (6) holds.

**Theorem 4.** Let there exist modems $h_{i}^{n}, 1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq n \leq \infty$ such that i.i.d. $X$ source is communicated from user $s$ to user $r$ to within a distortion level $D$. Let $D' > D$ be such that $R_X(D') < R_X(D)$. Then, there exist modems $h_{i}^{n}, 1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq n \leq \infty$ such that modem $h_{i}^{n}$ at user $i$,

1. $h_{i}^{n}$ first source-codes i.i.d. $X$ source $X^n$ of block length $n$ and this is followed by reliable communication of the resulting message to user $r$.
2. $h_{i}^{n}$ does channel decoding followed by source decoding to get a decoding $Y^n$ of $X^n$.
3. $h_{i}^{n}$ consumes the same energy and bandwidth as $h_{i}^{n}$ for all $i$, for all $n$.
4. Communication of sources between other users in not affected in the sense defined in Section 5: $X_{ij}$ is received precisely as $Y_{ij}$ for $(i, j) \neq (s, r)$ even if modems $h_{i}^{n}$ are used instead of $h_{i}^{n}, 1 \leq i \leq N$

**Proof.** This follows immediately from Theorem 3.
Now, we prove a network version of the above theorem: communication to particular distortion levels is desired between various users, not just from user $s$ to user $r$.

**Notation and definitions:** Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \{(i,j) \mid 1 \leq i,j \leq N, i \neq j\}$. Let $(p,q) \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $d_{pq}: X_p \times X_q \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a distortion metric as in Section 7. $d_{pq}^N$ is additive average distortion defined in the same way as $d_{pq}$, is defined in Section 7. Communication of source $X_p$ to within a distortion level $D_{pq}$ under distortion metric $d_{pq}$ is defined analogously to (6).

**Theorem 5:** Let there exist modems $h_{pq}^N, 1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq n \leq \infty$ such that for all $(p,q) \in \mathcal{A}$, i.i.d. source $X_{pq}$ is communicated from user $p$ to user $q$ to within a distortion level $D_{pq}$. Let $D_{pq} \geq D_{pq}^N$ be such that $R_{X_{pq}}(D_{pq}) < R_{X_{pq}}(D_{pq})^N$. Then, there exist modems $h_{pq}^N, 1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq n \leq \infty$ such that modems $h_{pq}^N$ at user $i$ satisfy the following:

1. $h_{pq}^N$ first source-codes i.i.d. $X_{pq}$ source $X_{pq}^n$ of block length $n$ and this is followed by reliable communication of the resulting message to user $q$.
2. $h_{pq}^N$ does channel decoding followed by source decoding to get a decoding $Y_{pq}^N \rightarrow X_{pq}^N$.
3. $h_{pq}^N$ consumes the same energy and bandwidth as $h_{pq}^N$ for all $i$, for all $n$.
4. Communication of sources between other users is not affected in the sense defined in Section 5.

**Proof:** This can be done step by step. First carry out the separation procedure for one user pair $(p_1, q_1)$ in $\mathcal{A}$. This can be done by the previous theorem, Theorem 4. After making this change of architecture, source $X_{p_1q_1}$ is still being communicated to within a distortion level $D_{p_1q_1}$ from user $p_1$ to user $q_1$. Very important, is the fact that sources $X_{ij}, (i,j) \neq (p_1,q_1)$ are still being received as $Y_{ij}$. In particular, for $(p,q) \not\in \mathcal{A}$, $(p_1,q_1)$, $X_{pq}$ is still communicated to within a distortion level $D_{pq}$ over the system. Now choose another user pair $(p_2,q_2) \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{p_1,q_1\}$ and repeat the procedure until all user pairs in $\mathcal{A}$ are exhausted. This completes the proof.

A high level statement is:

**Theorem 6:** Consider a medium $m$ and $N$ users. $N$ might change with time. Independent sources $X_{ij}$ are communicated from user $i$ to user $j$, $1 \leq i,j \leq N, i \neq j$, over the medium. $X_{ij}$ is transmitted at user $i$ and received at user $j$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a subset of user pairs, that is, $\mathcal{A} \subset \{(i,j) \mid 1 \leq i,j \leq N, i \neq j\}$. For $(p,q) \in \mathcal{A}$, it is known that $X_{pq}$ is i.i.d. It is required to communicate sources $X_{pq}, (p,q) \in \mathcal{A}$ to within a distortion level $D_{pq}$ over the system under a distortion metric $d_{pq}$. In order to accomplish this communication, it is sufficient to consider separation architectures: that is, architectures which compress i.i.d. source $X_{pq}, (p,q) \in \mathcal{A}$ to within the desired distortion level and then communicate the compressed message reliably over the system. Communication of other sources is not affected in the separation architecture in the sense that if $X_{ij}, (i,j) \not\in \mathcal{A}$, and if $X_{ij}$ is received as $Y_{ij}$ in the original architecture, $X_{ij}$ is received precisely as $Y_{ij}$ in the separation architecture too. Of course, $X_{pq}, (p,q) \not\in \mathcal{A}$ is not necessarily received as $Y_{pq}$ in the separation architecture. However, it is received as some $Y_{pq}$ which is to within a distortion $D_{pq}$ of $X_{pq}$. Energy and bandwidth consumption remains the same at each user. Delay incurred for communication of sources $X_{ij}, (i,j) \not\in \mathcal{A}$ remains the same.

**10. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

We have proved a source-channel separation theorem for rate-distortion in the network setting when the sources that various users wish to communicate with each other are independent of each other. Note that the medium is unknown. Assuming that random-coding is permitted, for every encoding-decoding scheme which achieves the required distortion bounds over the medium, we have demonstrated the existence of a separation based scheme which has the same performance as the original scheme, and this does not require the knowledge of the medium. What the result says, then, is that for the problem of rate-distortion communication over an unknown medium, it is sufficient to restrict attention to separation based protocols.

For example, consider the case of the internet. Different users wish to communicate various sources to each other. Different sources have different distortion requirements. For example, one user might want to communicate an e-mail to another user, for which no distortion is allowed. Another user might be chatting via voice or video with another user, and in that case, distortion is permitted. The distortion metric in the case of voice and video is not additive, but for sake of the argument, suppose that that was the case. The structure of the Internet is unknown. In fact, it changes with time. We still need to design a protocol to meet the desired communication requirements. What we prove is that if random-coding is permitted and sources that different users want to communicate to other users are independent of each other, it is sufficient to restrict attention to separation based protocols.

Another example is wireless communication. Wireless medium is time varying and unknown. Users want to communicate voice which allows distortion. For sake of the argument, assume that the distortion metric for voice is additive. There exist various protocols for wireless communication, for example, CDMA and GSM. It is a reasonable assumption that what different users talk is independent of each other. We prove that assuming that random-coding is permitted, one does not lose anything by restricting attention to separation-based protocols for the question of the number of users which can be communicating over the wireless medium at a particular time.

The above problem of communication with a fidelity criterion when the sources are not independent is open in general. Source-channel separation based architectures are not optimal in general.
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