

A High Gain Observer for a Class of Implicit Systems

Abdellatif El Assoudi

LCPI, Departement GE, ENSEM

Univ. Hassan II Ain Chock

B.P 8118, Oasis

Casablanca Morocco

Email: a.elassoudi@ensem-uh2c.ac.ma

El hassane El Yaagoubi

LCPI, Departement GE, ENSEM

Univ. Hassan II Ain Chock

B.P 8118, Oasis

Casablanca Morocco

Email: e.elyaagoubi@ensem-uh2c.ac.ma

Hassan Hammouri

LAGEP, UMR CNRS 5007

Univ. Lyon I - ESCPE-LYON, Bat. 308G

43 Bd du 11 novembre 1918

69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

Email: hammouri@lagep.cpe.fr

Abstract—The high gain observer for dynamical systems described by ordinary differential equations is widely discussed in the literature, see for instance [1], [2], [3], [4],[5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12]. The aim of this paper is to extend this observer design to a class of differential-algebraic systems. In practice, the computation of solutions of differential-algebraic equations requires the combination of an ordinary differential equations (O.D.E.) routine together with an optimization algorithm. Therefore, a natural way permitting to estimate the state of such a system is to design a procedure based on a similar numerical algorithm. Beside some numerical difficulties, the drawback of such a method lies in the fact that it is not easy to establish a rigorous proof of the convergence of the observer. The main result of this paper is stated in section 3. It consists in showing that the state estimation problem for a class of differential-algebraic systems can be achieved by using an observer having an O.D.E. structure on some \mathbb{R}^N .

Keywords: Nonlinear system, implicit system, high gain observer.

I. INTRODUCTION AND PROBLEM STATEMENT

In this paper, the following class of implicit systems is considered:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f_0(x, z) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i f_i(x, z) \\ \varphi(x, z) = 0 \\ y = h(x, z) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^d$, the f_i 's, h and $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_d)^T$ are assumed to be sufficiently smooth and:

$$\left. \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \right|_{x,z} \text{ is of full rank } \forall (x, z) \in \mathcal{M} \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{M} is the set of zeros of φ :

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ s.t. } \varphi(x, z) = 0\} \quad (3)$$

Remark 1: Condition (2) implies:

- i) the local uniqueness of solutions z of $\varphi(x, z) = 0$, for every x .

- ii) \mathcal{M} is a smooth submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

In the case where the solution z of $\varphi(x, z) = 0$ can be explicitly expressed as $z = \psi(x)$, system (1) becomes a system of O.D.E. Hence, an observer can be formulated as a system of O.D.E. Otherwise, one may ask if there exists an observer that can be described by ordinary differential equations. In the sequel, we will use the following definition.

Definition 1: A **non initialized (resp. an initialized) exponential observer** for system (1), with input u and output y , is a dynamical system of the form:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\omega} = \Gamma(\omega, u, y) \\ \omega(0) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

for which there exists a map $\Xi = (\Xi_1, \Xi_2)$ from \mathbb{R}^N into $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\|\Xi_1(\omega(t)) - x(t)\|$ together with $\|\Xi_2(\omega(t)) - z(t)\|$ exponentially converge to 0, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, where Ω is such that $\Xi(\Omega)$ contains an open set containing \mathcal{M} (resp. $\Xi(\Omega) = \mathcal{M}$).

Noticing that in practice, an initialized observer only works if the measurements are not noisy, and that the initial state of the observer satisfies the constraint $\varphi(\Xi(\omega)) = 0$.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we will give an initialized high gain observer. This observer construction is based on a triangular structure containing this proposed in [8], [12]. In section 3, we robustify the above observer in order to obtain a non initialized one.

II. INITIALIZED HIGH GAIN OBSERVER BASED ON A TRIANGULAR STRUCTURE

Given a nonlinear system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\zeta} = F(\zeta, u) = F_0(\zeta) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i F_i(\zeta) \\ y = H(\zeta) \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where the input $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$, the state $\zeta \in \mathcal{N}$ a smooth manifold of dimension n , the output $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$, F is a smooth vector field with respect to these arguments.

Noticing that the class of systems (1) forms a particular

class of (5). Indeed, solutions $(x(t), z(t))$ of system (1) are identical to those of the system :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\zeta} = F(\zeta, u) \\ y = H(\zeta) = h(x, z) \\ \zeta = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where,

$$F(\zeta, u) = \begin{pmatrix} F^1(\zeta, u) \\ F^2(\zeta, u) \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

with

$$F^1(\zeta, u) = f_0(x, z) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i f_i(x, z)$$

$$F^2(\zeta, u) = -\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \Big|_{x,z}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \Big|_{x,z}\right) [f_0(x, z) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i f_i(x, z)]$$

Based on a triangular structure, in this section we will give a sufficient condition which allows to design an initialized high gain observer for system (5).

Recall that system (5) is said to be **uniformly observable** (see [8]) if for every initial state $\zeta(0) \neq \zeta'(0)$ and every input u defined on any interval $[0, T]$; there exist $t \in [0, T]$, such that $H(\zeta(t)) \neq H(\zeta'(t))$. For the single output systems, the authors in [8], [9] have shown that uniformly observable systems can be characterized by a canonical form. This canonical form is next used to design a high gain observer. Many extensions to multi-output systems are established in the literature (see for instance [2], [5], [6], [7], [10], [12]).

In this section, we restrict ourselves to the class of system (5) that can be transformed by a diffeomorphism to the following triangular structure:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi}^1 = A_1 \xi^1 + \psi^1(\xi, u) \\ \vdots \\ \dot{\xi}^j = A_j \xi^j + \psi^j(\xi, u) \\ \vdots \\ \dot{\xi}^p = A_p \xi^p + \psi^p(\xi, u) \\ y = C\xi = [C_1 \xi^1, \dots, C_p \xi^p]^T \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi^p \end{pmatrix}; \xi^j = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1^j \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{n_j}^j \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_j}, \text{ where } n_j \geq 2$$

$\psi^j(\xi, u) = \tilde{\psi}^j(\xi) + \bar{\psi}^j(\xi)u$, with

$$\tilde{\psi}^j(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \tilde{\psi}_{n_j}^j(\xi) \end{pmatrix}$$

and the $n_j \times m$ matrices $\bar{\psi}^j(\xi)$ satisfy the following

structure:

$$\text{For } 1 \leq j \leq p; 1 \leq i \leq n_j - 1, \bar{\psi}_i^j = \bar{\psi}_i^j(\xi_1^j, \dots, \xi_i^j) \quad (9)$$

Otherwise, $\bar{\psi}_{n_j}^j$ may depend on all components of ξ . Finally,

$$A_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \vdots & & & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}; C_j = (1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0) \quad (10)$$

A geometric condition permitting to characterize control affine system (5) that can be transformed into the above triangular structure is as follows:

$F_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, m$, are the vector fields of system (5), there exist p integers $n_1 \geq 2, \dots, n_p \geq 2$ such that:

$$1) \zeta \xrightarrow{\Phi} \begin{pmatrix} H_1(\zeta) \\ \vdots \\ L_{F_0}^{n_1-1}(H_1)(\zeta) \\ \vdots \\ H_p(\zeta) \\ \vdots \\ L_{F_0}^{n_p-1}(H_p)(\zeta) \end{pmatrix} \text{ is a diffeomorphism from } \mathcal{N} \text{ into } \Phi(\mathcal{N}).$$

$$2) \begin{cases} \text{For } 1 \leq i \leq n_j - 1; 1 \leq j \leq m; 1 \leq l \leq p \\ dL_{F_j}(L_{F_0}^{i-1}(H_l)) \wedge dH_l \dots \wedge dL_{F_0}^{i-1}(H_l) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where \wedge denotes the exterior product of differential forms.

Claim 1: Under the conditions 1), 2), the map Φ transforms system (5) into the triangular structure (8)-(9)-(10).

The proof of the claim is straightforward.

A high gain observer based on the above triangular structure can be synthesized as follows:

Assume that the ψ^j 's are global Lipschitz w.r.t. ξ (ie $\|\psi^j(\xi, u) - \psi^j(\bar{\xi}, u)\| \leq c\|\xi - \bar{\xi}\|$, for some positive constant c), then an exponential observer for system (8) takes the form:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\hat{\xi}}^1 = A_1 \hat{\xi}^1 + \psi^1(\hat{\xi}, u) + \Delta_\theta^1 K_1 (C_1 \hat{\xi}^1 - y_1) \\ \vdots \\ \dot{\hat{\xi}}^p = A_p \hat{\xi}^p + \psi^p(\hat{\xi}, u) + \Delta_\theta^p K_p (C_p \hat{\xi}^p - y_p) \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where $\Delta_\theta^j = \begin{pmatrix} \theta^{\delta_j} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \theta^{n_j \delta_j} \end{pmatrix}$, K_j is such that

$A_j + K_j C_j$ is Hurwitz and θ is a positive constant which may be large. $\delta_1 > 0, \dots, \delta_p > 0$ are integer numbers which satisfy the following linear program:

$$\begin{cases} -n_j \delta_j + n_i \delta_i < \delta_j \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq p \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

More precisely, we can state:

Theorem 1: Let u be any bounded input, then there exists a constant $\theta_0 > 0$ such that for every $\theta \geq \theta_0$, we have:

$$\|\hat{\zeta}(t) - \zeta(t)\| \leq \tilde{\lambda} \|\hat{\zeta}(0) - \zeta(0)\| \exp(-\mu t)$$

where $\tilde{\lambda} > 0$ and $\mu > 0$ are constant.

The proof of theorem 1 is based on similar technics that we will use for the non initialized observer (see proof of theorem 2 below).

Now an initialized high gain observer for system (6)-(7) can be designed as follows:

Let Φ be the diffeomorphism which transforms (6)-(7) into the form (8)-(9)-(10), here $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M} = \{(x, z); \varphi(x, z) = 0\}$. Set $\Upsilon(x)$ the implicit solution of $\varphi(x, z) = 0$ and $\hat{\Phi}(x) = \Phi(x, \Upsilon(x))$, we obtain:

Lemma 1: Assuming that $\|\Phi(\zeta) - \Phi(\bar{\zeta})\| \geq \lambda \|\zeta - \bar{\zeta}\|$, for some constant $\lambda > 0$ and that the nonlinear terms ψ^j 's are global Lipschitz w.r.t. ξ , then an initialized high gain observer for system (6)-(7) takes the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\hat{x}} &= f_0(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i f_i(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \\ &+ (\frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}}{\partial x} |_{\hat{x}})^{-1} \Delta_{\theta} K (h(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) - y) \\ \dot{\hat{z}} &= -(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} |_{\hat{x}, \hat{z}})^{-1} (\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} |_{\hat{x}, \hat{z}}) \dot{\hat{x}} \\ \varphi(\hat{x}(0), \hat{z}(0)) &= 0 \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & K_p \end{pmatrix}, \Delta_{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\theta^{\delta_1}} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \Delta_{\theta^{\delta_p}} \end{pmatrix}$$

with K_j, Δ_{θ}^j are defined above.

The proof of this lemma is straightforward. Indeed, let $\begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ z(t) \end{pmatrix} = \zeta(t) = \Phi^{-1}(\xi(t))$ be an unknown trajectory of system (6)-(7). From Theorem (1), we know that $\hat{\xi}(t)$ exponentially converges to $\xi(t)$.

Now set $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{x}(t) \\ \hat{z}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \hat{\zeta}(t) = \Phi^{-1}(\hat{\xi}(t))$, it is easy to verify that $\hat{\zeta}(t)$ satisfies (13).

Finally, the condition $\|\Phi(\zeta) - \Phi(\bar{\zeta})\| \geq \lambda \|\zeta - \bar{\zeta}\|$ implies that $\hat{\zeta}(t)$ exponentially converges to the unknown trajectory $\zeta(t)$ of system (6)-(7).

Remark 2: Although $\Upsilon(x)$ cannot be explicitly expressed, $(\frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}}{\partial x} |_{\hat{x}})^{-1}$ can be calculated. Indeed, one can verify that the computation of $(\frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}}{\partial x} |_{\hat{x}})$ only requires the knowledge of $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} |_{(\hat{x}, \hat{z})}$, $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} |_{(\hat{x}, \hat{z})}$, $\frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}}{\partial x} |_{(\hat{x}, \hat{z})}$ and $\frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}}{\partial z} |_{(\hat{x}, \hat{z})}$.

III. NON INITIALIZED HIGH GAIN OBSERVER

In the above section, an initialized high gain observer has been proposed. This observer works if the following conditions hold:

- i) The constraint $\varphi(\hat{x}(0), \hat{z}(0)) = 0$ is satisfied.
- ii) The system is not disturbed and the measurements are not noisy.

In order to robustify the above observer, we will propose a non initialized observer: the state of our candidate observer can be initialized outside the manifold \mathcal{M} .

Using the same notations as in section 2 and considering the extension of system (6)-(7) on the wall space $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\zeta} &= F(\zeta, u) = F_0(\zeta) + \sum_{j=1}^m u_j f_j(\zeta) \\ y &= H(\zeta) = h(x, z) \\ \zeta &\in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

The following map plays an important role in the non initialized observer construction:

$$\Sigma(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(\zeta) \\ \varphi(\zeta) \end{pmatrix} \quad (15)$$

where, $\Phi(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi^1(\zeta) \\ \vdots \\ \Phi^p(\zeta) \end{pmatrix}$ with $\Phi^j = \begin{pmatrix} H_j \\ \vdots \\ L_{F_0}^{n_j-1}(H_j) \end{pmatrix}$; n_j are positive integers such that $n_1 + \dots + n_p = n$.

In order to design a non initialized high gain observer, we will make the following assumption:

H1)

- i) Σ is a diffeomorphism from a tubular neighborhood $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \{(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^d / \|\varphi(x, z)\| < \varepsilon\}$ of \mathcal{M}
- ii) Φ transforms the restriction of system (14) to \mathcal{M} into the form (8), (9) and (10).
- iii) $\|\Sigma(\zeta, \eta) - \Sigma(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{\eta})\| \geq \sigma \|\zeta - \bar{\zeta}, \eta - \bar{\eta}\|$ for some constant $\sigma > 0$.

Remark 3: Assumption **H1**-ii) has been assumed in section 2. This means that the restriction of system (14) to the manifold \mathcal{M} is uniformly observable. But it doesn't imply in general uniform observability of system (14) restricted of Ω_{ε} .

Now combining assumptions **H1**-i) and **H1**-ii), it follows that Σ transforms system (14) restricted to Ω_{ε} into the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi}^1 &= A_1 \xi^1 + \psi^1(\xi, \eta, u) \\ \vdots & \\ \dot{\xi}^p &= A_p \xi^p + \psi^p(\xi, \eta, u) \\ \dot{\eta} &= 0 \\ y &= [C_1 \xi^1 \quad \dots \quad C_p \xi^p]^T \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \Sigma(\zeta)$ with $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi^p \end{pmatrix}$; $\xi^j = \Phi^j(\zeta)$ and $\eta = \varphi(\zeta)$. The A_j 's and C_j 's are as in (10). Our candidate non initialized observer for system (6)-(7)

takes the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\hat{x}} = f_0(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i f_i(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \\ \quad + \tilde{\Sigma}_{11}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \Delta_\theta K (h(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) - y) \\ \quad - \tilde{\Sigma}_{12}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \Lambda \varphi(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \\ \dot{\hat{z}} = -\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \Big|_{\hat{x}, \hat{z}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \Big|_{\hat{x}, \hat{z}}\right) [f_0(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \\ \quad + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i f_i(\hat{x}, \hat{z})] \\ \quad + \tilde{\Sigma}_{21}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \Delta_\theta K (h(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) - y) \\ \quad - \tilde{\Sigma}_{22}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \Lambda \varphi(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

where $y(t)$ is the output measurement of system (6)-(7).

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & 0 \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & K_p \end{pmatrix} \text{ such that } A_j + K_j C_j \text{ is Hurwitz,}$$

$$\Delta_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_\theta^1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \Delta_\theta^p \end{pmatrix}; \Delta_\theta^j = \begin{pmatrix} \theta^{\delta_j} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \theta^{n_j \delta_j} \end{pmatrix},$$

θ is a positive constant. $\delta_1 > 0, \dots, \delta_p > 0$ are as in (12) and Λ is a $d \times d$ symmetric positive definite (S.P.D) matrix.

$$\text{Finally, } \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Sigma}_{11}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) & \tilde{\Sigma}_{12}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \\ \tilde{\Sigma}_{21}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) & \tilde{\Sigma}_{22}(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) \end{pmatrix} = \left[\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial (x, z)} \Big|_{\hat{x}, \hat{z}} \right]^{-1}.$$

As in the high gain observer theory [2], [8], [10], [9],[11], [12], the proof of the convergence of our candidate observer requires the following technical assumption:

H2) The nonlinear terms $\psi^j(\xi, \eta, u)$ are assumed to be global Lipschitz functions with respect to (ξ, η) , namely: For every bounded input u ; for every (ξ, η) , $(\bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta})$ belonging to some bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^d , there exists a constant a such that: $\|\psi^j(\xi, \eta, u) - \psi^j(\bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, u)\| \leq a(\|\xi - \bar{\xi}\| + \|\eta - \bar{\eta}\|)$. Now, we can state our main result.

Theorem 2: Let u be a bounded input and assume that hypotheses **H1)** and **H2)** hold, then system (17) becomes a non initialized observer for system (6)-(7). More precisely, let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ be such that the following neighborhood of \mathcal{M} defined by $\tilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon_0} = \{(\varphi(x, z))^T \Lambda \varphi(x, z) < \varepsilon_0\}$ is contained in the set Ω_ε given in **H1)**, then:

$\exists \theta_0 > 0; \forall \theta \geq \theta_0; \exists \sigma_1 > 0; \exists \sigma_2 > 0$, such that $\forall (\hat{x}(0), \hat{z}(0)) \in \tilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon_0}$, we have:

$$\|(\hat{x}(t) - x(t), \hat{z}(t) - z(t))\| \leq \sigma_1 e^{-\sigma_2 t} \|(\hat{x}(0) - x(0), \hat{z}(0) - z(0))\|$$

The following notations will be used:

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi^p \\ e^1 \end{pmatrix}, \hat{\xi} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\xi}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\xi}^p \end{pmatrix}, e^k = (\Delta_\theta^k)^{-1}(\hat{\xi}^k - \xi^k), \text{ where}$$

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} e^1 \\ \vdots \\ e^k \end{pmatrix}.$$

The proof of the theorem will be based on the following technical lemma:

Lemma 2: Under hypotheses, **H1)**, **H2)** and (12), the

following holds:

$\forall r > 0; \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^m, \|u\| \leq r; \exists R > 0; \forall \theta \geq 1; \forall \xi; \forall \hat{\xi}$, we have: $\|(\Delta_\theta^k)^{-1}(\psi^k(\hat{\xi}, 0, u) - \psi^k(\xi, 0, u))\| \leq R\|e^k\|$

From hypothesis **H1-ii)**, the i th component of $(\Delta_\theta^k)^{-1}(\psi^k(\hat{\xi}, 0, u) - \psi^k(\xi, 0, u))$ takes the form

$\theta^{-i\delta_k}(\psi_i^k(\hat{\xi}_1^k, \dots, \hat{\xi}_i^k, 0, u) - \psi_i^k(\xi_1^k, \dots, \xi_i^k, 0, u))$. Now, let a be the Lipschitz constant of ψ (see hypothesis **H2)**, it follows that:

For $1 \leq i \leq n_k - 1$, we have:

$$|\theta^{-i\delta_k}(\psi_i^k(\hat{\xi}_1^k, \dots, \hat{\xi}_i^k, 0, u) - \psi_i^k(\xi_1^k, \dots, \xi_i^k, 0, u))| \leq$$

$$a\theta^{-i\delta_k} \sqrt{(\hat{\xi}_1^k - \xi_1^k)^2 + \dots + (\hat{\xi}_i^k - \xi_i^k)^2} \leq$$

$$a\sqrt{(e_1^k)^2 + \dots + (e_i^k)^2},$$

since $\theta \geq 1$.

For $i = n_k$, we obtain:

$$|\theta^{-n_k \delta_k}(\psi^{n_k}(\hat{\xi}, 0, u) - \psi^{n_k}(\xi, 0, u))| \leq a\theta^{-n_k \delta_k} \|\hat{\xi} - \xi\| \leq a\theta^{(-n_k \delta_k + \max\{n_j \delta_j; 1 \leq j \leq p, j \neq k\})} \|e\|.$$

From inequality (12), it follows that $(-n_k \delta_k + \max\{n_j \delta_j; 1 \leq j \leq p, j \neq k\}) < 0$. Now taking $\theta \geq 1$, this ends the proof of lemma (2).

Proof: Proof of theorem (2)

From above, we know that system (6)-(7) coincides with the restriction of system (14) to \mathcal{M} and that Σ transforms the restriction of system (14) to \mathcal{M} (ie system (6)-(7) into the restriction of system (16) to the affine space $\eta = 0$). Moreover (Σ) transforms system (17) into the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\hat{\xi}}^1 = A_1 \hat{\xi}^1 + \psi^1(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\eta}, u) + \Delta_\theta^1 K^1 (C_1 \hat{\xi}^1 - y_1) \\ \vdots \\ \dot{\hat{\xi}}^p = A_p \hat{\xi}^p + \psi^p(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\eta}, u) + \Delta_\theta^p K^p (C_p \hat{\xi}^p - y_p) \\ \dot{\hat{\eta}} = -\Lambda \hat{\eta} \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Consequently, to show that system (17) forms an exponential observer for system (6)-(7), it suffices to show that system (18) forms an exponential observer for system (16) restricted to the space $\eta = 0$.

Let $\xi^j(t)$ be a trajectory of system (16) in which $\eta = 0$ and $\hat{\xi}^j(t)$ its corresponding estimation following from system (18).

Set $e^j(t) = ((\Delta_\theta^k)^{-1}(\hat{\xi}^j(t) - \xi^j(t)))$, and $\delta\psi^j = \psi^j(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\eta}, u) - \psi^j(\xi, 0, u)$, we get:

$$\dot{e}^j = \theta^{\delta_j} (A_j + K_j C_j) e^j + (\Delta_\theta^j)^{-1} \delta\psi^j \quad (19)$$

Since $(A_j + K_j C_j)$ is Hurwitz, there exists a S.P.D. matrix P^j which is the solution of the algebraic Lyapunov equation:

$$(A_j + K_j C_j)^T P^j + P^j (A_j + K_j C_j) = -I_{n_j} \quad (20)$$

Set $V(t) = V_1(t) + \dots + V_p(t)$ with $V_j(t) = (e^j(t))^T P^j e^j(t)$ and $W = \|\hat{\eta}(t)\|^2$, in the sequel, we will show that there exists $\theta_0 \geq 1$ such that for every $\theta \geq \theta_0$ and every S.P.D. matrix Λ , the functions $V(t)$ and $W(t)$ exponentially converge to 0.

1- For $W = \|\hat{\eta}(t)\|^2$:

From (18), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{W} &= -2\hat{\eta}^T \Lambda \hat{\eta} \\ &\leq -2\lambda_{\min}(\Lambda)W\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{\min}(\Lambda)$ denotes the smallest eigenvalue of Λ . Thus,

$$\|\hat{\eta}(t)\|^2 \leq e^{-\beta t} \|\hat{\eta}(0)\|^2 \quad (21)$$

where $\beta = 2\lambda_{\min}(\Lambda)$.

2- Now let us show that $V(t)$ exponentially converges to 0.

From (19) and (20), we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}_j &= -\theta^{\delta_j} \|e^j\|^2 + 2(e^j)^T P^j (\Delta_\theta^j)^{-1} \delta \psi^j \\ &\leq -\theta^{\delta_j} \|e^j\|^2 + 2\|P^j\| \|e^j\| \|(\Delta_\theta^j)^{-1} \delta \psi^j\|\end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Now using the global Lipschitz condition of ψ (see hypothesis **H2**) together with lemma (2) and inequality (21), then the following inequalities hold for $\theta \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\Delta_\theta^j)^{-1} \delta \psi^j\| &\leq \|(\Delta_\theta^j)^{-1} (\psi^j(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\eta}, u) - \psi^j(\hat{\xi}, 0, u))\| \\ &\quad + \|(\Delta_\theta^j)^{-1} (\psi^j(\hat{\xi}, 0, u) - \psi^j(\xi, 0, u))\| \\ &\leq c_1 \|\eta\| + c_2 \|e\|\end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where c_2 is a constant which doesn't depend on θ .

Thus,

$$\dot{V}_j \leq -\theta^{\delta_j} \|e^j\|^2 + 2c_1 \|P^j\| \|e^j\| \|\eta\| + 2c_2 \|P^j\| \|e^j\|^2 \quad (24)$$

Set $\delta = \min\{\delta_j, 1 \leq j \leq p\}$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^p (-\theta^{\delta_j} \|e^j\|^2 + 2c_1 \|P^j\| \|e^j\| \|\eta\| \\ &\quad + 2c_2 \|P^j\| \|e^j\|^2) \\ &\leq -\varepsilon \|e\|^2 + \lambda \|e\| \|\eta\|\end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

But $\|\eta(t)\|$ exponentially converges to 0. This ends the proof. ■

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on triangular structure, a high gain observer is proposed for a class of multi-output uniformly observable systems. This observer synthesis can be used to solve the observer problem for a class of implicit systems. Two observers are proposed. The first one is an initialized observer which requires an initialisation condition $\varphi(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = 0$ which render it non robust with respect to disturbances and noises. The second one is a non initialized observer can be initialized from a tubular neighborhood of the submanifold $\mathcal{M} = \{(x, z)/\varphi(x, z) = 0\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Bernard, G. Sallet, and A. Sciandra, "Nonlinear observers for a class of biological systems: application to validation of a phytoplanktonic growth model," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. 43, no. 8, pp. 1056–1065, 1998.
- [2] G. Bornard and H. Hammouri, "A high gain observer for a class of nonlinear systems under locally regular inputs," in *Proceedings of the 30th CDC IEEE*, Brighton, GB, 1991.
- [3] G. Ciccarella, M. Dalla, and A. Germani, "A luenberger-like observer for nonlinear systems," *IJC*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 537–556, 1993.
- [4] F. Deza, "Contribution to synthesis of exponential observers," PhD thesis, INSA, Rouen France, 1991.
- [5] F. Deza, E. Busvelle, and J. Gauthier, "High gain estimation for nonlinear systems," *Systems and Control Letters*, vol. 18, pp. 295–299, 1992.
- [6] F. Deza, J. G. E. Busvelle, and D. Rakotopara, "Exponential observers for nonlinear systems," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. 38, no. 3, 1993.
- [7] E. ElYaagoubi, A. ElAssoudi, and H. Hammouri, "High gain observer: Attenuation of the peak phenomena," in *American Control Conference*, Boston, Massachusetts, 2004.
- [8] J. Gauthier, H. Hammouri, and S. Othman, "A simple observer for nonlinear systems, application to bioreactors," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. 37, pp. 875–880, 1992.
- [9] J. Gauthier and I. Kupka, "Observability and observers for nonlinear systems," *SIAM J. Cont. and Optim.*, vol. 32, pp. 975–997, 1994.
- [10] —, *Deteministic Observation Theory and Applications*. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [11] H. Hammouri and M. Farza, "Nonlinear observers for locally uniformly observable systems," *ESAIM: Control, Optimization and Calculus of Variations*, vol. 9, pp. 353–370, March 2003.
- [12] J. Schaffner and M. Zeitz, "Decentralized block diagonal triangular observer design for nonlinear systems," in *ECC*, 1997.