Collaborative Estimation of Gradient Direction by a Formation of AUVs under Communication Constraints

Lara Briñón-Arranz, Alexandre Seuret and Carlos Canudas-de-Wit

Abstract— This work deals with the source-seeking problem in which the task is to locate the source of some signal using a fleet of Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs). The present paper proposes a distributed solution in which a group of vehicles uniformly distributed in a fixed circular formation, estimates the gradient direction of the signal propagation. The distributed algorithm takes into account the communication constraints and depends on direct signal measurements. Our approach is based on the previous results in formation control to stabilize the fleet in a circular formation with time-varying center and in a collaborative source-seeking algorithm. The results are supported through computer simulations.

I. INTRODUCTION

Cooperative control problems and multi-agent systems have received much attention in recent years. The field includes consensus algorithms for multi-agent systems [1], [2], flocking [3], distributed sensor networks [4], [5], and autonomous systems as underwater and unmanned air vehicles (AUVs and UAVs) [6], [7]. Cooperative formation control and motion coordination have been extensively studied, see [8], [9], [10], among many others. Control laws have been provided to make a fleet of agents (vehicles) obtain circular and parallel formations [6], [11]. Many extensions based on these works have been developed: three-dimensional formation control [12], planar circular formation control in a flow-field [13], and stabilization of a fleet to other closed forms [14], [15].

In [16], a new control law is proposed to translate a circular formation following a desired external reference trajectory of its center. Designing a collaborative reference to move the formation is a first step to achieve the source location of some signal. The source could be a point of chemical contamination and the signal would be that chemical's concentration in the environment, for example. The objective of the source-seeking problem is to obtain the direction to steer the formation towards the source by a cooperative algorithm using the concentration measurements of the agents.

There exist many different approaches to resolve the source-seeking problem in the literature. The extremum seeking problem is solved under different hypotheses using a single nonholonomic vehicle, [17], [18]. In this case all

Lara Briñón-Arranz is with INRIA Rhône-Alpes, NeCS Team, Grenoble, France lara.brinon-arranz@inrialpes.fr

Alexandre Seuret and Carlos Canudas-de-Wit are with the Department of Automatic Control, GIPSA-Lab, CNRS, NeCS Team, Grenoble, France {alexandre.seuret, carlos.canudas-de-wit} @gipsa-lab.grenoble-inp.fr

This work was supported by EU STREP project FeedNetBack FP7-ICT-2007-2.

the measurements would come from a single vehicle as it changes position over time. An other strategy consists in approximating the gradient value of the signal using concentration measurements of multiple vehicles at different locations [4]. Some collaborative methods have been proposed, based on distributed estimation of the concentration plume [19], [20]. In these cases, the function signal is estimated or approximated and the source localization becomes a distributed optimization problem.

The work presented in [21] deals with a collaborative multi-agent algorithm to solve the source seeking problem using only direct signal measurements by a circular formation of agents. This new approach considers all-toall communication (i.e., every agent talks to every other agent) between the agents and the control law needs the information of all the agents to converge. Our objective now is to design a distributed algorithm based on this previous one but considering restricted communication. The present paper addresses an other possible solution to the source localisation problem. We show how a group of vehicles uniformly distributed in a circular formation, is able to approximate the gradient direction of the signal propagation to steer the formation towards the source location. In order to achieve this objective under limited communication, each agent estimates its own direction based on its neighbors' concentration measurements. We include a consensus algorithm to converge to the same desired gradient direction.

This paper is organized as follows. First, Section II presents a result on gradient approximation and the problem formulation. In Section III we propose a first algorithm that combines standard consensus tools, and show its limitations both by theoretical convergence analysis and simulations. Then, Section IV presents an improved estimation algorithm based on averaging. Conclusions and future works are presented in Section V.

Notation. Let $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph with an adjacency matrix $\mathcal{A} = [a_{kj}]$ that specifies the communication topology of the multi-agent system: $a_{kj} = 1$ if agents k and $j \in V$ communicate, else $a_{kj} = 0$. The set of nodes (agents) is denoted by $V = \{1, \ldots, N\}$. Let $\mathcal{N}_k = \{k \in V : a_{kj} \neq 0\}$ the set of neighbors of agent k and $\mathcal{J}_k = \mathcal{N}_k \cup \{k\}$. The Laplacian matrix \mathbf{L} of graph \mathcal{G} is defined as $\mathbf{L} = \Delta - \mathcal{A}$ where Δ is the diagonal matrix which contains the degree of each agent, i.e., $\Delta_{kk} = d_k = \sum_j a_{kj}$. In the sequel, \otimes denotes the Kronecker product and, for simplicity, we define $\mathbf{M}_2 = \mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbf{I}_2$ where \mathbf{M} is a square matrix and \mathbf{I}_N is the identity matrix of order N.

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

The objective of this work, is to estimate the gradient direction of a signal distribution based on the concentration measurements obtained by a circular formation of agents, taking into account the communication constraints. This estimated direction will be the reference velocity of the formation center in order to steer the group of agents to the source location.

A. Background

The algorithm to estimate the gradient direction of a signal distribution presented in this paper builds on the previous result on formation control from [16]. In this work a control law which asymptotically stabilizes the vehicles to a circular formation around a dynamic center point $c(t) = (c_x, c_y)^T$ with a uniform distribution (i.e., with the agents evenly separated on the circle by $2\pi/N$ radians each) is developed. The center of the formation c(t) is an external reference known for all the agents. Consider now the stable circular formation described by a center point c, a radius R and an angle ϕ which is linearly increasing with time (i.e., $\phi = \omega_0 t$ for some angular speed $\omega_0 > 0$. Therefore, the position of each agent k is given by the following equation:

$$x_{k} = c_{x} + R\cos\left(\phi + k\frac{2\pi}{N}\right)$$
$$y_{k} = c_{y} + R\sin\left(\phi + k\frac{2\pi}{N}\right)$$
(1)

This equation describes a formation where the agents are uniformly distributed on a circle of radius R. In the context of source-seeking problem, the objective is that the center of the formation c(t) follows a trajectory which converges to the maximum of a signal, that is usually its source. Using this previous work on formation control, a first result in collaborative source-seeking is accomplished in [21]. The authors consider here a stable circular formation of N mobile agents in the plane. An outer-loop control that steers the formation by determining $\dot{c}(t)$ in a collaborative way is provided. The main constraint of the algorithm of [21] is the all-to-all communication assumption.

B. Gradient Approximation

Our approach considers that the circular formation is not moving. Only the estimation of gradient direction is addressed here.

Assumption 1 In the sequel, we assume that the center of the circular formation c, is fixed and known for all the agents.

The distribution of the signal strength in the environment will be described by an unknown positive spatial mapping $\rho : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^+$, and so agent k measures the signal strength at its position $r_k = (x_k, y_k)^T$, as $\rho(r_k)$. Our approach deals with the approximation of the gradient direction of this signal distribution ρ by a circular formation of agents at a given location c, see Fig. 1.

Consider a fleet of agents given by (1) taking measurements of a signal distribution ρ . Let $\nabla \rho(c) =$



Fig. 1. Problem formulation

 $(\nabla_x \rho(c), \nabla_y \rho(c))$ denote the gradient of function ρ in the center of the circular formation. The following lemma is proposed:

Lemma 1 Let ρ be a bounded function and $\rho(r_k)$ the measure obtained by agent k where r_k is its position vector given by (1). If Assumption 1 is satisfied and the agents are uniformly distributed along the circle centered at c, then: (i) Considering a fleet of N > 2 agents the following

(1) Constanting a first of N > 2 agents the following equation is satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\rho(r_k)(r_k-c) = \frac{R^2}{2}\nabla\rho(c)^T + o(R^2)$$
(2)

(ii) Considering a limitless number of agents along the circular formation $(N \rightarrow \infty)$ the following equation is satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \rho(r_k) (r_k - c) d\phi = \frac{R^2}{2} \nabla \rho(c)^T + o(R^2)$$
(3)

Proof: In both cases (i) and (ii) the uniform distribution of the agents along a fixed circle is assumed, then $\sum_{k=1}^{N} (r_k - c) = 0$ and $\int_0^{2\pi} (r_k - c) d\phi = 0$ respectively. By definition of gradient of the function ρ at a fixed location c the following equation holds:

$$\rho(r_k) - \rho(c) = \nabla \rho(c)(r_k - c) + o(R) \tag{4}$$

Multiplying this previous equation by the relative vector $(r_k - c)$ and summing over k = 1, ..., N, it yields:

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\rho(r_k)(r_k-c) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{N}[\nabla\rho(c)(r_k-c)](r_k-c) + o(R^2)$$

Analyzing in terms of components and using (1) to express the position of the agents r_k , the right-hand side of the previous equation is given by:

$$\frac{R^2}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left(\begin{array}{c} \nabla_x \rho(c) \cos^2 \phi_k + \nabla_y \rho(c) \cos \phi_k \sin \phi_k \\ \nabla_x \rho(c) \sin \phi_k \cos \phi_k + \nabla_y \rho(c) \sin^2 \phi_k \end{array} \right)$$

where $\phi_k = \phi + k \frac{2\pi}{N}$. Thanks to the uniform distribution if N > 2 then $\sum_{k=1}^N \cos\left(2k \frac{2\pi}{N}\right) = 0$ (the same equality also

holds for the sinus) and trigonometric properties ensure that:

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} [\nabla \rho(c)(r_k - c)](r_k - c) = \frac{R^2}{2} \nabla \rho(c)^T$$

Thus, the equality (2) is satisfied. A similar analysis can be applied to second case (ii). Using trigonometric properties, integrating along the circle (in the interval $[0, 2\pi]$) and thanks to the equality $\int_0^{2\pi} \cos \phi d\phi = 0$ (the same equality also holds for $\sin \phi$) we obtain the following equation:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [\nabla \rho(c)(r_k - c)](r_k - c)d\phi = \frac{R^2}{2} \nabla \rho(c)^T$$

and equality (3) is straightforwardly obtained. This result provides an approximation of gradient of the signal distribution at the center of the circular formation.

C. Problem Formulation

The objective of this paper is to develop a distributed algorithm to estimate the gradient direction of the signal distribution ρ at the center of a circular formation of agents.

The communication constraints are taken into account through a communication graph \mathcal{G} . Due to these communication restrictions each agent estimates its own gradient direction z_k using the information of its neighbors according to the communication topology. The aim is to make all estimated directions z_k converge to the mean direction defined as:

$$u^* = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} u_k; \quad u_k = \rho_k (r_k - c)$$
(5)

where u_k is the relative position vector of agent k weighted by its concentration measurement $\rho_k = \rho(r_k)$. Thanks to Lemma 1 (i), this mean vector u^* approximates the gradient direction of the signal distribution at the center of the formation c. A consensus algorithm is implemented to obtain the same estimated gradient direction of the signal distribution for all the agents.

III. COLLABORATIVE ESTIMATION OF GRADIENT DIRECTION

A. Consensus algorithm

Consensus filters for sensor networks are developed in [2]. In this work, the authors consider a sensor network of size N with information flow (communication graph) \mathcal{G} . Each sensor k measures the same signal that is corrupted by noise. Based on this approach for sensor networks, we propose the following consensus algorithm for the multi-agents system to estimate the gradient direction of the signal propagation by a fixed circular formation in a collaborative way:

$$\dot{z}_k = \kappa \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_k} a_{kj} (z_j - z_k) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_k} a_{kj} (u_j - z_k)$$

where $\kappa > 0$ is a control parameter which is introduced to make the algorithm more flexible. The consensus variable is the vector $z_k \in \mathbb{R}^2$ which represents the estimated gradient direction by agent k. The input $u_k = \rho_k(r_k - c) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, depends on the concentration measurements and the position of the agent in the formation.

Using the Laplacian matrix of the communication topology of the multi-agents system the previous equation can be rewritten in a matrix way:

$$\dot{\mathbf{z}} = -\kappa \mathbf{L} \otimes \mathbf{I}_2 \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{I}_N \otimes \mathbf{I}_2 (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{z}) + \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbf{I}_2 \mathbf{u} - \Delta \otimes \mathbf{I}_2 \mathbf{z}$$
$$= -(\mathbf{I}_N + \Delta + \kappa \mathbf{L})_2 \mathbf{z} + (\mathbf{I}_N + \mathcal{A})_2 \mathbf{u}$$

where $\mathbf{z} = (z_1^T, z_2^T, \dots, z_N^T)^T$ and $\mathbf{u} = (u_1^T, u_2^T, \dots, u_N^T)^T$ are vectors of dimension 2N, and \mathbf{I}_N the identity matrix of order N. Let $\mathbf{A}_{\kappa} = (\mathbf{I}_N + \Delta + \kappa \mathbf{L})_2$, and $\mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{I}_N + \mathcal{A})_2$. Note that by definition, \mathbf{A}_{κ} is a positive definite matrix. Then, the previous equation becomes:

$$\dot{\mathbf{z}} = -\mathbf{A}_{\kappa}\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u} \tag{6}$$

Consider the vector of dimension 2N, $\mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{1} \otimes u^*$, where $\mathbf{1} = (1, ..., 1)^T \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is the vector of ones that is always a right eigenvector of **L** corresponding to the eigenvalue 0. Then the error equation is $\eta = \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{u}^*$. Using (6), the dynamics of the error can be written as:

$$\dot{\eta} = -\mathbf{A}_{\kappa}\eta + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^*) - \dot{\mathbf{u}}^*$$

The stability of this algorithm is analyzed using the Lyapunov function $V = \frac{1}{2}\eta^T \mathbf{A}_{\kappa}\eta$. Differentiating this function we obtain:

$$\dot{V} = -\eta^T \mathbf{A}_{\kappa}^T \mathbf{A}_{\kappa} \eta + (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^*)^T \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{A}_{\kappa} \eta - \dot{\mathbf{u}}^{*T} \mathbf{A}_{\kappa} \eta$$

Let $\|\dot{u}^*\| \leq \nu$, due to the soft variation of the concentration levels of the signal distribution considered here, then

$$V \leq -\lambda_{min}^{2}(\mathbf{A}_{\kappa}) \|\eta\|^{2} + \nu \sqrt{N(1+d_{max})} \|\eta\| \\ + \|(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{*})^{T} \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{A}_{\kappa} \eta\|$$

It is plausible to assume that a bound on maximal signal concentration is known from the problem setting. Therefore, $\|(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}^*)\| \leq \alpha$ where α depends on the radius of the circular formation and on the greatest concentration measurement obtained by the agents. For simplicity, let γ be a bound of the following matrix norm $\|\mathbf{B}^T\mathbf{A}_{\kappa}\| \leq \gamma$. Taking these considerations into account the following equation holds:

$$\|(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^*)^T \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{A}_{\kappa}\| \le \alpha \gamma$$

The derivative of the Lyapunov function is bounded by:

$$\dot{V} \le -\lambda_{\min}^2(\mathbf{A}_{\kappa}) \|\eta\|^2 + \left(\nu\sqrt{N}(1+d_{\max}) + \alpha\gamma\right) \|\eta\|$$

Based on the proof of Proposition 2 from [2] a closed ball B_{β} centered at $\eta = 0$ is defined with radius

$$\beta = \frac{\nu\sqrt{N}(1+d_{max}) + \alpha\gamma}{\lambda_{min}^2(\mathbf{A}_{\kappa})}$$

Let $\Omega_m = \{\eta : V(\eta) \leq m\}$ be a level set of the Lyapunov function $V(\eta)$ with $m = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{max}(\mathbf{A}_{\kappa})\beta^2$. Then, B_{β} is contained in Ω_c because

$$\|\eta\| \leq \beta \Longrightarrow V(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\eta^T \mathbf{A}_{\kappa}\eta \leq \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{max}(\mathbf{A}_{\kappa})\beta^2 = m,$$



(b) Components of estimated directions z_k

Fig. 2. Simulation of a circular formation of five agents centered at $c = (2, 2)^T$. The consensus algorithm of Theorem 1 is implemented with $\kappa = 50$.

and thus $\eta \in \Omega_m$. As a result, any solution of (7) starting in $\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus \Omega_m$ satisfies $\dot{V} < 0$. Thus, it enters Ω_m in some finite time and remains in Ω_m thereafter. This guarantees global asymptotic ϵ -stability of $\eta = 0$ with a radius $\epsilon = \beta \lambda_{max}(\mathbf{A}_{\kappa})/\lambda_{min}(\mathbf{A}_{\kappa})$. To show this, note that

$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{min}(\mathbf{A}_{\kappa})\|\eta\|^{2} \leq V(\eta) \leq \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{max}(\mathbf{A}_{\kappa})\beta^{2}$$

Thus, the solutions enter the region

$$\|\eta\| \le \beta \sqrt{rac{\lambda_{max}(\mathbf{A}_{\kappa})}{\lambda_{min}(\mathbf{A}_{\kappa})}}$$

which implies the radius of ϵ -stability is

$$\epsilon = rac{
u \sqrt{N}(1 + d_{max}) + lpha \gamma}{\lambda_{min}^2(\mathbf{A}_{\kappa})} \sqrt{rac{\lambda_{max}(\mathbf{A}_{\kappa})}{\lambda_{min}(\mathbf{A}_{\kappa})}}$$

The ϵ -stability of $\eta = 0$ implies ϵ -tracking of the mean vector \mathbf{u}^* by every agent, therefore ϵ -consensus is asymptotically reached. After the previous detailed analysis, this result can be presented as a theorem:

Theorem 1 Consider a circular formation of N agents defined by (1) with a connected communication graph \mathcal{G} and Assumption 1 is satisfied. Let $\rho : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a bounded function and the mean vector u^* defined in (5) satisfies $\|\dot{u}^*\| \leq \nu$. Then, $z^*(t) = \mathbf{1} \otimes u^*$ is a globally asymptotically ϵ - stable equilibrium of the dynamics of the distributed algorithm given by

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{z}} = -\kappa \boldsymbol{L}_2 \boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{L}_2 \boldsymbol{u} + (\boldsymbol{I}_N + \Delta)_2 (\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{z}) \tag{7}$$





(b) Components of estimated directions z_k

Fig. 3. Simulation of a circular formation of five agents centered at $c = (0,0)^T$. The consensus algorithm (7) is implemented with $\kappa = 50$.

with
$$\boldsymbol{u} = (\rho_1(r_1 - c)^T, \dots, \rho_N(r_N - c)^T)^T$$
 and

$$\epsilon = \frac{(\nu\sqrt{N}(1 + d_{max}) + \alpha\gamma)\lambda_{max}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{A}_{\kappa})}{\lambda_{min}^{\frac{5}{2}}(\boldsymbol{A}_{\kappa})}$$

where the matrix A_{κ} and the constants α and γ are previously defined.

B. Simulations

In this section we present simulations results of the previous algorithm. All simulations show a circular formation of five agents with radius R = 1m and angular velocity $\omega_0 = 1rad/s$. The communication graph is a ring (i.e., each agent is linked to its two closest neighbors on the circle).

In Figs. 2 and 3, the source-seeking consensus algorithm (7) from Theorem 1 is implemented with $\kappa = 50$. For these simulations, the function ρ representing the signal distribution centered at the origin has circular level sets, $\rho(x, y) = 100e^{-(x^2+y^2)/10}$. Therefore, the gradient vector $\nabla \rho(c)$ provides the adequate direction to steer the formation to the source location. Both figures (a) show two snapshots. The void circles represent the initial conditions and the black dashed lines the initial estimated direction z_k of each agent. The red circles represent the position of the agents at t = 50s and the red lines are the estimated gradient directions at that time. The blue line is the real direction of the gradient at center c. Both figures (b) show the components of the consensus variable z_k and the mean vector u^* . The estimated directions z_k oscillate around the vector

 u^* which approximates the true gradient direction for any initial conditions.

In Fig. 2 the circular formation is centered at c = (2, 2)and the oscillations of the estimated gradient directions z_k are smaller than in Fig. 3 where the formation is centered at source location. In this second case, as the mean of the directions is equal to zero, the convergence region of radius ϵ leads to completely wrong gradient direction estimations.

C. Conclusions and limitations of the algorithm

The final gradient direction z_k estimated by each agent oscillates with period $T = 2\pi/\omega_0$. The amplitude of these oscillations depends on the concentration measurements ρ_k . When the formation is closer to the source location, the measurements are greater, thus, the amplitude of oscillations are greater as well. Moreover, as the gradient is closer to zero in the neighborhood of the source (at least with the Gaussian profile we use), a ball of radius ϵ around 0 leaves the gradient direction essentially unknown; thus Theorem 1 does not guarantee good behavior in the neighborhood of the source. In order to avoid these problems, an averaging approach is presented in the following section.

IV. REFINED COLLABORATIVE ESTIMATION OF GRADIENT DIRECTION RESULT

The previous section presents a collaborative algorithm, which uses the concentration measurements obtained by a formation of agents to estimate the gradient direction of a signal distribution in its center.

The agents describe a periodic movement, it means that $r_k(t) = r_k(t+T)$ with $T = 2\pi/\omega_0$. Therefore, the measurements ρ_k obtained by agent k is a periodic map because $\rho(r_k(t)) = \rho(r_k(t+T))$. In conclusion, the input variable of the consensus algorithm $u_k = \rho_k(r_k - c)$ is a T-periodic function with $T = 2\pi/\omega_0$. Estimated directions z_k obtained by the consensus algorithm (7) shown in Figs. 2 and 3 are also periodic. The average of these solutions approximates the gradient direction of the signal propagation. Thanks to these observations, an analysis of the average properties of the input variable u_k seems adequate. In this section, the previous distributed consensus algorithm is improved using the periodic properties of the measurements $\rho(r_k)$.

A. Estimation Algorithm using time-average inputs

We present an improved estimation algorithm based on the periodic properties of the input u_k . The input vector u_k in previous consensus algorithm is replaced by its mean value over one period $T = 2\pi/\omega_0$ which is defined as:

$$\overline{u}_k = \frac{1}{T} \int_{t-T}^t \rho_k(r_k(\tau) - c) d\tau \tag{8}$$

Therefore, thanks to Lemma 1 (ii) the new mean vector \overline{u}^* approximates the gradient of the signal propagation ρ in the center of the circular formation:

$$\overline{u}^* = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \overline{u}_k \tag{9}$$

The new input variable of the improved algorithm based on (7), is the mean vector $\overline{\mathbf{u}} = (\overline{u}_1^T, \overline{u}_2^T, \dots, \overline{u}_N^T)^T$, and the objective is defined as $\overline{\mathbf{u}}^* = \mathbf{1} \otimes \overline{u}^*$. Following the analysis developed in section III-A, let us assume that the following inequality $\|(\overline{\mathbf{u}} - \overline{\mathbf{u}}^*)\| \leq \overline{\alpha}$ is satisfied. Using these considerations, a new algorithm is proposed in the following corollary:

Corollary 1 Consider a circular formation of N agents defined by (1) with a connected communication graph \mathcal{G} and Assumption 1 is satisfied. Let $\rho : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a bounded function and the mean vector \overline{u}^* defined in (9) satisfies $\|\dot{\overline{u}}^*\| \leq \overline{\nu}$. Then, $z^*(t) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \overline{u}^*$ is a globally asymptotically $\overline{\epsilon}$ -stable equilibrium of the dynamics of the distributed algorithm given by

$$\dot{z} = -\kappa L_2 z - L_2 \overline{u} + (I_N + \Delta)_2 (\overline{u} - z)$$
(10)

with

$$\bar{\epsilon} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}\sqrt{N}(1+d_{max})+\bar{\alpha}\gamma)\lambda_{max}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{A}_{\kappa})}{\lambda_{min}^{\frac{5}{2}}(\boldsymbol{A}_{\kappa})}$$

Remark 1 Considering Assumption 1 by definition, the mean input \overline{u} is a constant vector after a time period T. Therefore, the input variable \overline{u} converges to the mean vector \overline{u}^* and moreover, its derivative is equal to zero. Then, $\overline{\nu} \to 0$ and $\overline{\alpha} \to 0$. It implies that the radius of the convergence region $\overline{\epsilon}$ converges to zero after a period T, the consensus is achieved and all the agents estimate the mean vector \overline{u}^* which approximates the gradient direction at the center of the formation.

B. Simulations

The simulations show the same circular formation of five agents from the previous simulations. In Figs. 4 and 5 the improved distributed algorithm (10) from Corollary 1 is implemented with $\kappa = 1$ by a circular formation centered at $c = (2,2)^T$ and at source location, respectively. The measured signal is the same as in previous simulations. Due to the circular level sets of the signal propagation the gradient vector $\nabla \rho(c)$ provides the adequate direction to steer the formation to the source location. Both figures (a) show two snapshots, the initial conditions and the stable situation at t = 50s. Both figures (b) show the components of consensus variable z_k . This algorithm allows to remove the oscillations and the final vectors z_k (red lines) are parallel to the gradient direction for all the agents (blue line). The problem of oscillations when the formation is centered at source location is also solved and the final directions z_k are equal to zero, i.e., the formation decides to stay in the desired location. The estimated directions z_k converge to the gradient direction approximated by the mean vector \overline{u}^* for any initial conditions.

V. CONCLUSIONS

This paper presents a cooperative multi-agent algorithm to estimate the gradient direction of a signal distribution. This distributed algorithm uses the concentration measurements



(b) Components of estimated directions z_k

Fig. 4. Simulation of a circular formation of five agents centered at $c = (2, 2)^T$. The mean input consensus algorithm (10) is implemented.

of the signal obtained by a group of vehicles uniformly distributed in a fixed circular formation. Our approach takes into account the communication constraints of the network. To achieve this objective, a first distributed consensus algorithm based on instantaneous sensor measurements is presented. Then, we propose an improved algorithm based on the average inputs. We note that if the formation is fixed, this second algorithm reaches exact consensus and converge to the gradient direction at the formation center.

This analysis requires that formation is fixed. Our final objective is to use this estimated direction to locate the source. Then, our next research aim is to analyze and improve these algorithms when the formation moves along the estimated gradient direction towards the source location.

REFERENCES

- R. Olfati-Saber, J. A. Fax, and R. M. Murray, "Consensus and cooperation in networked multi-agent systems," *Proc. of the IEEE*, vol. 95, pp. 215–233, 2007.
- [2] R. Olfati-Saber and J. S. Shamma, "Consensus filters for sensor networks and distributed sensor fusion," in *Proc. of the 44th IEEE CDC*, 2005.
- [3] R. Olfati-Saber, "Flocking for multi-agent dynamic systems: Algorithms and theory," *IEEE TAC*, vol. 51, pp. 401–420, 2006.
- [4] P. Ögren, E. Fiorelli, and N. E. Leonard, "Cooperative control of mobile sensor networks: Adaptive gradient climbing in a distributed environment," *IEEE TAC*, vol. 49, pp. 1292–1302, 2004.
- [5] F. Zhang and N. E. Leonard, "Cooperative filters and control for cooperative exploration," *IEEE TAC*, vol. 55, pp. 650–663, 2010.
- [6] N. E. Leonard, D. A. Paley, F. Lekien, R. Sepulchre, D. M. Frantatoni, and R. E. Davis, "Collective motion, sensor networks and ocean sampling," *Proc. of the IEEE*, vol. 95, pp. 48–74, 2007.
- [7] R. L. Raffard, C. J. Tomlin, and S. P. Boyd, "Distributed optimization for cooperative agents: Application to formation flight," in *Proc. of* 43rd IEEE CDC, 2004.





(b) Components of estimated directions z_k

Fig. 5. Simulation of a circular formation of five agents centered at $c = (0,0)^T$. The mean input consensus algorithm (10) is implemented.

- [8] J. A. Fax and R. M. Murray, "Information flow and cooperative control of vehicle formations," *IEEE TAC*, vol. 49, pp. 1465–1476, 2004.
- [9] A. Sarlette, S. Bonnabel, and R. Sepulchre, "Coordinated motion design on Lie groups," *IEEE TAC*, vol. 55, pp. 1047–1058, 2010.
- [10] S. Martínez, J. Cortés, and F. Bullo, "Motion coordination with distributed information," *IEEE Control Systems Magazine*, vol. 27, pp. 75–88, 2007.
- [11] R. Sepulchre, D. A. Paley, and N. E. Leonard, "Stabilization of planar collective motion with limited communication," *IEEE Trans.* on Automatic Control, vol. 53, pp. 706–719, 2008.
- [12] L. Scardovi, N. E. Leonard, and R. Sepulchre, "Stabilization of collective motion in three dimensions," *Communications in Information* and Systems, vol. 8, pp. 1521–1540, 2008.
- [13] D. A. Paley and C. Peterson, "Stabilization of collective motion in a time-invariant flowfield," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, vol. 32, pp. 771–779, 2009.
- [14] D. A. Paley, N. E. Leonard, and R. Sepulchre, "Stabilization of symmetric formations to motion around convex loops," *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 57, pp. 209–215, 2008.
- [15] L. Briñón-Arranz, A. Seuret, and C. Canudas-de-Wit, "Elastic formation control based on affine transformations," in *Proc. of the 2011* ACC, 2011.
- [16] —, "Translation control of a fleet circular formation of AUVs under finite communication range," in *Proc. of the 48th IEEE CDC*, 2009.
- [17] M. Krstić and H.-H. Wang, "Stability of extremum seeking feedback for general nonlinear dynamic systems," *Automatica*, vol. 36, pp. 595– 601, 2000.
- [18] C. Zhang, D. Arnold, N. Ghods, A. Siranosian, and M. Krstić, "Source seeking with non-holonomic unicycle without position measurement and with tuning of forward velocity," *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 56, pp. 245–252, 2007.
- [19] S. S. Sahyoun, S. M. Djouadi, and H. Qi, "Dynamic plume tracking using mobile sensors," in *Proc. of the 2010 ACC*, 2010.
- [20] M. Rabbat and R. Nowak, "Distributed optimization in sensor networks," in Proc. of the 3rd International symposium on Information processing in sensor networks, 2004.
- [21] B. J. Moore and C. Canudas-de-Wit, "Source seeking via collaborative measurements by a circular formation of agents," in *Prooc. of the 2010 IEEE ACC*, 2010.