# CONTROL OF CONTINUOUS MICROWAVE DRYING PROCESS OF PEANUTS USING REMOTE TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENT

D. Boldor<sup>1\*</sup>, T.H. Sanders<sup>2</sup>, S.A. Hale<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, Louisiana State University <sup>2</sup> USDA - ARS, Market Quality and Handling Research Unit, North Carolina State University <sup>3</sup>Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, North Carolina State University

Keywords: Arachis hypogaea L., peanuts, drying, control, continuous, microwave, temperature, distribution.

## ABSTRACT

Process control methods are widely used in food industry for quality assurance purposes. The characteristics and requirements for various food processing operations are described by Mittal (1996) and McFarlane (1995). For peanut processing, a complex fuzzy control system for the roasting process, based in part on theoretical modeling (Landman *et al.*, 1994) was developed by Davidson *et al.* (1999).

The study reported here focuses on the process control for continuous microwave drying of peanuts, where the microwave energy delivered to the dryer can be changed as needed. The utilization of microwave energy in heating and drying applications has been addressed in many books (Decareau, 1985; Metaxa and Meredith, 1983). New designs, such as focusing structures and traveling wave (or planar) applicators, are currently used for heating of fluids (Coronel et al., 2003) and drying of agricultural commodities (Boldor et al. 2004). In the drying process, the most important processing parameter is product temperature. Traditional temperature sensors, such as thermocouples, cannot be used for measurements due to the inherent nature of microwaves. In addition, continuous monitoring of internal temperatures of seeds is impossible in continuous drying processes, even when using microwave-immune fiber optic temperature probes (Boldor et al., 2004). However, the correlation between the internal temperatures of peanuts and the surface temperature of the peanut bed (Table 1, Boldor et al., 2004) makes remote temperature measurement of peanut bed surfaces a feasible method for process control purposes. Remote surface temperature measurements are performed using either infrared thermocouples inserted at certain locations along the microwave waveguide, or a properly calibrated infrared imaging system (Goedeken et al., 1991).

## **Materials and Methods**

Field dried peanuts (Runner and Virginia type) at moisture contents ranging from 22 to 52% (dry basis) were used in this study. Samples were shipped from USDA-ARS Peanut National Laboratory in Dawson, Georgia to the Department of Food Science at North Carolina State University during the months of September - November of 2002. The microwave system included a curing chamber (a traveling wave planar applicator, IMS, Morrisville, NC) and a 5 kW microwave generator (IMS, Morrisville, NC). Air flow through the chamber was maintained at 25°C through an electrical fan and heater.

The system was treated as a first order system with dead time, whose transient behavior can be represented in Laplace domain using the following transfer functions (Eqn.1) (Marlin, 2000):

$$G_{p}(s) = \frac{Y(s)}{X(s)} = \frac{K_{p}e^{-\theta s}}{\tau_{p}s + 1}$$
 [1]

In this study, process reaction curves as described in literature (Marlin, 2000) were used to determine the process parameters  $K_p$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $\tau_p$ . The major advantage of this method is that the transfer

functions of the sensor and the final control element are included in the model. The disadvantage is that the method is limited to first and second order systems with dead time. One of the most widely used process control method is feedback control using a PID (proportional, integral, derivative) algorithm, where response of the controller is proportional with the difference between the desired value (set point SP) and the measured value of the controlled variable (CV). The transfer function of the PID controller is:

$$G_{c}(s) = K_{c} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\tau_{i}s + 1} + \tau_{d}s \right) \quad [2]$$

For determination of the initial control parameters  $K_c, \tau_i$ , and  $\tau_d$ , the authors used the Ciancone open-loop method (Ciancone and Marlin, 1990) for the two peanut varieties and the three

initial moisture contents used in this study (Table 3). The lack of dead time for the sensors placed closer to entrance in the microwave applicator (Table 2) combined with the low signal-to-noise ratio permitted the use of a PI controller, with no derivative component.

Table 1. Example of infrared thermocouples locations, grouping and relationship between internal and surface temperature for Runner type peanuts (Boldor *et al.*, 2004).

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Gr.	Sensor	Location (m)	Equation	r <sup>2</sup>		
Α	1	0.476	y = 1.77x - 12.38	0.99		
	2	0.552	y = 1.81x - 13.52	0.99		
Е	12	2.508	y = 1.77x - 12.60	0.94		
	13	2.584	y = 1.87x - 14.31	0.94		
	14	2 660	v = 1.90 v = 15.32	0.94		

Table 2. Example of process parameters forVirginia and Runner type peanuts.									
		Virginia			Runners				
	mc%	Gr. A	Gr. C		mc%	Gr. A	Gr. C		
V	44	6.292	4.287		52	4.771	3.222		
кp	22	6.277	4.288		33	4.824	4.096		
~	44	0.288	1.4		52	0.45	1.6		
ιp	22	0.288	1.4		33	0.513	3.988		
0	44	-0.038	-0.083		52	0.075	1.117		
Ø	22	-0.038	-0.083		33	0.038	1.354		

Surface temperature sensors were based on infrared technology (Mullin and Bows, 1993, Goedeken *et al.*, 1991). Infrared thermocouples (model OS36-T, OMEGA Engineering, Inc., Stamford, CN) were placed at various distances along the waveguide as shown in Figure 1 (Boldor *et al.*, 2004). The surface temperatures were monitored and recorded through a data acquisition and control unit (HP34970A, Agilent, Palo Alto, CA) and a master software routine written in LabView (National Instruments Corp., Austin, TX), that also controlled the microwave generator, and monitored and recorded the power levels in the microwave curing chamber through power diodes (JWF 50D-030+, JFW Industries, Inc., Indianapolis, IN).

The feedback control routine was developed in Labview, using a simulation of the first order process with dead-time. Once the optimum control parameters were determined, the control routine was added to the master Labview program.

# **Results and Discussions**



Due to infrared thermocouple positioning in groups of 2 or 3 (Figure 1), the process reaction curves were averaged to reduce the number of controlled variables from 16 to 6 (A, B, C, D, E, and F respectively), and furthermore, the last two

groups were dropped due to very long dead-times and low process gains (Figure 2). The values for the open loop process parameters are shown in Table 2.

The gain of the process,  $K_p$ , for Virginia type peanuts at all moisture contents decreased as sensors were placed further away from the microwave entrance, a decrease that is consistent with the lower temperatures caused by the evaporative, convective and radiating cooling experienced by peanuts undergoing drying (Boldor *et al.*, 2004). In the case of Runner type peanuts, the process gain followed a similar dependence on sensor position, with the exception of peanuts at 33% moisture content (Figure 2, left). At 33% mc, the process gain increased initially from group A to group B, decreasing afterward. At this moisture content, the maximum temperature during drying is located in the same region of the microwave tunnel as the second group of sensors (group B), giving a higher process gain when this group of sensors was used.



The time constants of the processes increased as expected as the sensors were placed further away from the microwave tunnel entrance. However, there was a fairly significant variation between the time constants of the same groups of sensors for different initial moisture contents. This variation was probably caused by difference between the fixed location of the infrared thermocouples and the changing locations of maximum temperatures with moisture content. These peculiarities of the locations of maximum temperatures probably require a more adequate measurement of surface temperature distribution, maybe using an infrared camera. The camera may be useful in determining the location of the maximum temperature (and moisture content), a critical information for the determination of the needed number of passes through similar drying chambers to obtain a desired final moisture content. Although there is a good mathematical model of temperature distribution in continuous microwave drying (Boldor et al., 2004), more data representing the drying kinetics, together with better mathematical models of moisture distribution, are needed to create an advanced control system for the microwave drying process. The limitations of the data acquisition system used for this study and the lack of knowledge described previously, determined the use of a simple feedback control loop to maintain the surface temperature of the peanut bed. The tuning of the PI feedback controller was simulated in Labview using the servo scenario, with the controller acting to track set point changes.

Table 3. Examples of initial tuning parameters for Virginia (26% mc) and Runner (36% mc) type peanuts.					ng nc)	Table 4. Intuning parget to the s	nitial an ameters set point	The optimum tuning parameters (Table 4) were determined such			
ŀ	$\frac{K_c}{\tau_i}$	Vi Gr. A 0.178 0.084	rginia Gr. C 0.282 1.219		Runn Gr. A 0.233 0.127	ers Gr. C 0.262 1.823		type peanu K <sub>c</sub> t <sub>i</sub>	ts at 33 Initial 0.228 0.127	% initial mc. Optimum 1.75 1.02	that the process variable never overshot the set point.
	$\tau_{d}$	0.009	0.090		0	0.232		Time (sec)	121.5	33	simulation for

Runner-type peanuts at 33% mc are shown in Figure 3. In general, these optimum parameters worked well in the temperature ranges used in real life situation (Figure 4).





#### Conclusions

Process reaction curves were determined for peanuts undergoing microwave drying in a continuous applicator. The determined process parameters were used to estimate initial tuning parameters of a PI feedback control loop to maintain a constant surface temperature. Computer simulation was successfully implemented to determine the optimum tuning parameters, which were afterward implemented in the real system. Possibilities of improvement of the

process control procedure were discussed, including the use of an infrared thermal imager to determine the locations of maximum temperature, and methods to determine the number of passes required to obtain desired final moisture content.

### References

Boldor, D, Sanders, T.H., Swartzel, K.R., and Farkas, B.E. 2004. A model for temperature and moisture distribution during continuous microwave drying. *Submitted to J. Food Proc. Eng.* 

Ciancone, R., and Marlin, T. 1992. Tune controllers to meet plant objectives. Control, 5:50-57.

- Coronel, P., Simunovic, J., and Sandeep K.P. 2003. Temperature profiles within milk after heating in a continuous flow tubular microwave system operating at 915 MHz. *J. Food Sci.*, 68 (6):1976-1981.
- Davidson, V.J., Brown, R.B., and Landman, J.J. 1999. Fuzzy control system for peanut roasting. J Food Eng., 41:141-146.

Decareau. 1985. Microwave in the food processing industry. Academic Press, Inc., New York, NY.

Goedeken, D.L., Tong, C.H., and Lentz, R.R. 1991. Design and Calibration of a Continuous Temperature

- Measurement System in a Microwave Cavity by Infrared Imaging. J. Food Proc Preservation, 15:331-337. Landman, J.J., Davidson, V.J., Brown, R.B., Hayward, G.L., and Otten, L. 1994. Modelling of a continuous peanut roasting process. In Automatic Control of Food and Biological Processes. (eds) Bimbenet J.J., Dumoulin, E., Trystram, G. Elsevier B.V. Oxford, UK. p: 207-214.
- Marlin, T.E. 2000. Process control. Designing processes and control systems for dynamic performance. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. McGraw-Hill Publishing Co., Boston, MA.

McFarlane, I. 1995. Automatic control of food manufacturing processes, 2nd ed. Chap. & Hall, Glasgow, UK.

Metaxa, A.C. and Meredith, R.J. 1983. Industrial Microwave Heating. Peter Peregrinus Ltd., London, UK.

Mittal, G. S.1996. Computerized control systems in the food industry. Marcel Dekker Inc. New York, NY.

Mullin, J., and Bows, J. 1993. Temperature Measurement During Microwave Cooking. *Food Additives and Contaminants*, 10(6):663-672.