

Solution of assignment 10, ST2304

Problem 3 • Under the full model, all n p_i 's are free parameters (no relationship $p_i = q\phi(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{time}_i)$ is imposed) and the MLEs are $\hat{p}_i = x_i/n$ which can be computed as follows in R.

```
> phat <- x/n
> phat
 [1] 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.1875000 0.1190476 0.2000000
 [8] 0.1851852 0.4000000 0.3181818 0.2857143 0.4615385 0.0000000 0.5000000
[15] 0.6250000 0.8055556 0.7272727 0.6666667 0.6551724 0.6969697 0.8214286
[22] 0.8571429 0.9333333 0.8000000 0.9166667 0.7826087 0.7857143 0.7826087
[29] 0.8461538 1.0000000 0.8000000 0.9285714 0.6666667 1.0000000 0.7500000
[36] 0.9000000 0.9000000 0.7777778 0.7500000 1.0000000 0.8571429 1.0000000
[43] 1.0000000 1.0000000 0.5000000 1.0000000 0.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
```

- The maximum log likelihood under the full model is the log likelihood at the point $(\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots, \hat{p}_n)$ in the parameter space. At this point the log likelihood $\ln L(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = \sum \ln f(x_i)$ is

```
> sum(dbinom(x, size=n, prob=phat, log=T))
 [1] -47.56002
```

- From the solution to assignment 10, the maximum log likelihood of the model $p_i = q\phi(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{time}_i)$ is -68.21 (the minimum negative log likelihood is in the `$value` component of the list returned by `optim`).
- The observed deviance is two times the difference between the maximum log likelihoods, that is,

```
> 2*((-47.56)-(-68.21))
 [1] 41.3
```

- Under the null hypothesis that the fitted model is correct the deviance D is chi-square distributed with $n - p = 49 - 3 = 46$ degrees of freedom. We reject this null hypothesis if D is larger than the upper 0.05-quantile of the chi-square distribution,

```
> qchisq(.05, df=46, lower=F)
 [1] 62.82962
```

that is, $\chi_{46}^2 = 62.83$ so we can not reject the hypothesis that the model is correct. The P -value becomes

```
> pchisq(41.3, df=46, lower=F)
 [1] 0.6691562
```

- The expected value of a chi-square distributed variable is equal to its degrees of freedom, that is, in our case 46. The fact that the observed value of D is slightly smaller than this indicates that there is some (statistically non-significant) under-dispersion in the data.