

# Chapter 9

Poisson regression:

- Different exposure
- Explanatory variables: factors and covariates

Log-linear models

- Same exposure (e.g. not relevant)
- Explanatory variables: A few factors  
⇒ contingency tables.

# Poisson regression

Response:  $Y_i \sim \text{Po}(\mu_i)$ ,  $\mu_i = n_i\theta_i$

Link:  $\eta_i = \log(\mu_i) = \log(n_i) + \log(\theta_i)$

Linear component:  $\eta_i = \log(n_i) + \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$

## Skin cancer (Ch 9.3.1)

Tumor type and site for 400 patients.

	Head & neck	Trunk	Extremities	Total
Type 1	22	2	10	34
Type 2	16	54	155	185
Type 3	19	33	73	125
Type 4	11	17	28	56
Total	68	106	226	400

- Any association between tumor type and site?

## Randomized control trail of influenza vaccine (Ch 9.3.2)

- Patients randomly chosen to a group; vaccine or placebo.
- Response: Levels { Small, Moderate, Large } of antibody found six weeks later.

	Small	Moderate	Large	Total
Placebo	25	8	5	38
Vaccine	6	18	11	35

- Does the response patter differ between the groups?

## Case control study ulcer type and aspirin use (Ch 9.3.3.)

**Case:** Ulcer patients

**Control:** Without known ulcer, and similar to case group wrt age, sex, etc

	No aspirin	Aspirin	Total
<b>Gastric ulcer</b>			
Control	62	6	68
Cases	39	25	64
<b>Duodenal ulcer</b>			
Control	53	8	61
Cases	49	8	57

- 1 Gastric ulcer associated with aspirin use?
- 2 Duodenal ulcer associated with aspirin use?
- 3 Any association same for the two ulcer sites?

# Probability models for contingency tables

$y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$ , frequency's in  $N$  cells.

## Poisson

- No constraints on  $Y$ s
- $Y_i \sim Po(\mu_i)$ ,  $\eta_i = \log(\mu_i)$

## Multinomial, ex skin cancer

- Constraint:  $\sum_{i=1}^N Y_i = n$
- $Y \sim m(n, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_N)$ ,  $\eta_i = \log(n) + \log(\theta_i)$

## Product multinomial, ex ulcer

- Constraint:  $\sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K Y_{jkl} = n_{jk}$
- $Y_{jk} \sim m(n_{jk}, \theta_{jk1}, \dots, \theta_{jkL})$ ,  $\eta_{jk} = \log(n_{jk}) + \log(\theta_{jk})$

# Overdispersion

Response:

- $Y_i \sim Po(\mu_i), \Rightarrow E(Y_i) = \mu_i$  and  $Var(Y_i) = \mu_i$
- $Y_i \sim Bin(n_i, p_i) \Rightarrow E(Y_i) = n_i p_i$  and  $Var(Y_i) = n_i p_i (1 - p_i)$

We fit  $E(Y_i)$ , which gives variance.

Overdispersion:  $Var(Y_i) > E(Y_i)$

Possible reasons:

- Relevant explanatory variables omitted.
- Dependent observations (know why? use GLMMs, Chp 11)

Possible solution:

Include extra parameter, **overdispersion parameter**:  $Var(Y_i) = \phi E(Y_i)$

- $Y_i \sim Bin()$  use *quasi binomial*
- $Y_i \sim Po()$  use *negative binomial*