

Math 231b
Lecture 07

G. Quick

7. LECTURE 7: STIEFEL-WHITNEY CLASSES OF PROJECTIVE SPACES

Our next goal is to apply Stiefel-Whitney classes to prove the following important result by Stiefel.

7.1. Division algebras and projective spaces.

Theorem 7.1. *Suppose that there is a structure of a division algebra on \mathbb{R}^n . Then the projective space \mathbb{P}^{n-1} is parallelizable. In particular, n must be a power of 2.*

Remark 7.2. In fact, we know that there is the much stronger result that a division algebra structure exists on \mathbb{R}^n if and only if $n = 1, 2, 4, 8$. But to prove this final result we need stronger techniques. So for a moment let's be modest and see how the methods we know so far lead to a proof of this algebraic result.

7.2. Stiefel-Whitney classes of projective spaces.

Example 7.3. Stiefel-Whitney classes are not fine enough to decide if the tangent bundle of a sphere is trivial or not. For the tangent bundle of a sphere is stably trivial, hence $w(S^n) = w(\tau_{S^n}) = 1$.

Lemma 7.4. *The total Stiefel-Whitney class of the canonical bundle γ_n^1 over \mathbb{P}^n is given by*

$$w(\gamma_n^1) = 1 + a$$

where a denotes the nonzero element of $H^1(\mathbb{P}^n; \mathbb{Z}/2)$.

Proof. The standard inclusion $j: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is clearly covered by a bundle map from γ_1^1 to γ_n^1 . Therefore

$$j^*w_1(\gamma_n^1) = w_1(\gamma_1^1) \neq 0.$$

Hence $w_1(\gamma_n^1)$ cannot be zero, hence it must be equal to a . Since γ_n^1 is a line bundle, the first axiom for Stiefel-Whitney classes tells us that the higher classes must be zero. □

Example 7.5. The canonical line bundle γ_n^1 over \mathbb{P}^n is contained as a sub-bundle in the trivial bundle ϵ^{n+1} . Let γ^\perp denote the orthogonal complement of γ_n^1 in ϵ^{n+1} . The total space $E(\gamma^\perp)$ consists of all pairs

$$(\{\pm x\}, v) \in \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

with v orthogonal to x . Claim:

$$w(\gamma^\perp) = 1 + a + a^2 + \dots + a^n.$$

For: Since $\gamma_n^1 \oplus \gamma^\perp$ is trivial we have

$$w(\gamma^\perp) = \bar{w}(\gamma_n^1) = (1 + a)^{-1} = 1 + a + a^2 + \dots + a^n.$$

In particular, we see that it is possible that all of the n Stiefel-Whitney classes of an \mathbb{R}^n -bundle can be non-zero.

Lemma 7.6. *The tangent bundle τ of \mathbb{P}^n is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(\gamma_n^1, \gamma^\perp)$.*

Proof. Let L be a line through the origin in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , intersecting S^n in the points $\pm x$, and let $L^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be the complementary n -plane. Let $f: S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ denote the canonical map $f(x) = \{\pm x\}$. Note that the two tangent vectors (x, v) and $(-x, -v)$ in DS^n both have the same image under the map

$$Df: DS^n \rightarrow D\mathbb{P}^n$$

which is induced by f . Thus the tangent manifold $D\mathbb{P}^n$ can be identified with the set of pairs $\{(x, v), (-x, -v)\}$ satisfying

$$x \cdot x = 1, \quad v \cdot v = 0.$$

But each such pair determines, and is determined by, a linear mapping

$$\ell: L \rightarrow L^\perp,$$

where

$$\ell(x) = v.$$

Thus the tangent space of \mathbb{P}^n at $\{\pm x\}$ is canonically isomorphic to the vector space $\text{Hom}(L, L^\perp)$. It follows that the tangent vector bundle $\tau = \tau_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ is isomorphic to the bundle $\text{Hom}(\gamma_n^1, \gamma^\perp)$. \square

Let us compute the total Stiefel-Whitney class $w(\mathbb{P}^n)$. We cannot use the previous formula for τ , since we do not have a formula that relates the Stiefel-Whitney classes of $\text{Hom}(\gamma_n^1, \gamma^\perp)$, γ_n^1 , and γ^\perp . Instead we do the following.

Theorem 7.7. *the Whitney sum $\tau \oplus \epsilon^1$ is isomorphic to the $(n+1)$ -fold Whitney sum $\gamma_n^1 \oplus \gamma_n^1 \oplus \dots \oplus \gamma_n^1$. Hence the total Stiefel-Whitney class of \mathbb{P}^n is given by*

$$w(\mathbb{P}^n) = (1 + a)^{n+1} = 1 + \binom{n+1}{1} a + \binom{n+1}{2} a^2 + \dots + \binom{n+1}{n} a^n.$$

Proof. The bundle $\text{Hom}(\gamma_n^1, \gamma_n^1)$ is trivial since it is a line bundle with a canonical nowhere zero section. Therefore

$$\tau \oplus \epsilon^1 \cong \text{Hom}(\gamma_n^1, \gamma^\perp) \oplus \text{Hom}(\gamma_n^1, \gamma_n^1).$$

But the latter is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\gamma_n^1, \gamma^1 \oplus \gamma_n^1) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\gamma_n^1, \epsilon^{n+1}),$$

and therefore it is isomorphic to the $(n+1)$ -fold sum

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\gamma_n^1, \epsilon^1 \oplus \dots \oplus \epsilon^1) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\gamma_n^1, \epsilon^1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\gamma_n^1, \epsilon^1).$$

But the bundle $\mathrm{Hom}(\gamma_n^1, \epsilon^1)$ is isomorphic to γ_n^1 , since γ_n^1 has a Euclidean metric. This proves that

$$\tau \oplus \epsilon^1 \cong \gamma_n^1 \oplus \dots \oplus \gamma_n^1.$$

The Whitney product formula implies that $w(\tau) = w(\tau \oplus \epsilon^1)$ is equal to

$$w(\gamma_n^1) \dots w(\gamma_n^1) = (1+a)^{n+1}.$$

The binomial formula now completes the proof. \square

Corollary 7.8. *The class $w(\mathbb{P}^n)$ is equal to 1 if and only if $n+1$ is a power of 2. Thus the only projective spaces which can be parallelizable are $\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{P}^3, \mathbb{P}^7, \mathbb{P}^{15}, \dots$*

Proof. The identity $(a+b)^2 = a^2 + b^2$ modulo 2 implies that

$$(1+a)^{2^r} = 1 + a^{2^r}.$$

Therefore if $n+1 = 2^r$ then

$$w(\mathbb{P}^n) = (1+a)^{n+1} = 1 + a^{n+1} = 1.$$

Conversely if $n+1 = 2^r m$ with m odd, $m > 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} w(\mathbb{P}^n) &= (1+a)^{n+1} = (1+a^{2^r})^m \\ &= 1 + ma^{2^r} + \frac{m(m-1)}{2} a^{2 \cdot 2^r} + \dots \neq 1, \end{aligned}$$

since $2^r < n+1$. \square

7.3. Proof of Stiefel's theorem. Assume there is a bilinear product operation

$$p: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

without zero divisors.

Let b_1, \dots, b_n be the standard basis for the vector space \mathbb{R}^n . The correspondence

$$y \mapsto p(y, b_1)$$

defines an isomorphism of \mathbb{R}^n onto itself, since p has no zero divisors. Hence the formula

$$v_i(p(y, b_1)) = p(y, b_i)$$

defines a linear transformation

$$v_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Note that we have $v_1(x) = x$, since $v_1(p(y, b_1)) = p(y, b_1)$ by definition. Moreover, for $x \neq 0$, the vectors $v_1(x), \dots, v_n(x)$ are linearly independent. For if there was a nontrivial relation, for some $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x = p(y, b_1)$,

$$0 = \sum_i \lambda_i v_i(x) = \sum_i \lambda_i p(y, b_i) = p(y, \sum_i \lambda_i b_i)$$

this implied

$$0 = \sum_i \lambda_i b_i$$

which implies $\lambda_i = 0$ for all i .

Now let L be a line through the origin. Each v_i defines a linear transformation

$$\bar{v}_i: L \rightarrow L^\perp$$

as follows. For $x \in L$, let $\bar{v}_i(x)$ denote the image of $v_i(x)$ under the orthogonal projection

$$\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow L^\perp.$$

Since $v_1(x) = x$, we have $\bar{v}_1 = 0$. But the $\bar{v}_2, \dots, \bar{v}_n$ are everywhere linearly independent, since the v_2, \dots, v_n are everywhere linearly independent. Hence the v_2, \dots, v_n give rise to $n - 1$ linearly independent sections of the bundle

$$\text{Hom}(\gamma_n^1, \gamma^\perp).$$

Since this bundle is isomorphic the tangent bundle $\tau_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}$ of \mathbb{P}^{n-1} , we see that $\tau_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}$ is trivial. This completes the proof of Theorem [7.1](#).