Finite Element Method Based Modeling of a Flexible Wing Structure
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Abstract—The finite element based structural model of a flexible wing is presented. The structural model will be a part of a servoelastic wing used for flutter analysis and designing flutter suppression control systems. It also allows modal analysis of a wing with given parameters. A finite element model consists of Euler-Bernoulli beams joined together. This approach is able to reach high accuracy and various properties of a particular wing element can be modeled.

1. Introduction

As part of an active flutter suppression research project, we develop a structural model for dynamics analysis and control designs for a flexible wing. Aeroelastic flutter [15] is a phenomenon which causes dynamic instability of a flexible structure like a wing in airflow. Unstable oscillations occur with an interaction of aerodynamics and structural dynamics. The range of an aircraft operating conditions is determined to prevent flutter. The first way to expand the flutter boundary is a change in a mechanical design of an aircraft which can lead to additional mass and deterioration of efficiency. Active flutter suppression is a solution to ensure sufficient operating range of an aircraft in a more efficient manner.

This paper focuses on the structural model of a servoelectric wing model depicted in Figure 1. It consists of structural dynamics, aerodynamics and dynamics of an actuator (flap). Dynamics interconnection is introduced in [11] or [8]. Aerodynamic block calculating lift distribution on the oscillating surface usually uses Doublet Lattice Method (DLM) in the subsonic flow described by Rodden in [10]. The above mentioned methods are also used in the NASTRAN software documented in [7].

The assembled model shall be used in the future for designing active flutter dampers. Many principles have been presented in the literature. For example pole/zero loci design [16], linear quadratic Gaussian control, nonlinear control [17], adaptive control [18], linear parameter-varying control [19], [20], or $H_{\infty}$ synthesis. One of the applications of a robust control for small flexible aircraft is reported in [13].

The finite element method approach (FEM), which will be used extensively in this paper, is a numerical technique for finding approximate solutions of problems described by partial differential equations [1], [3], or [12]. One of the examples can be a flexible structure. The structure is spatially descretized into finite elements; in this way the PDE formulation is transformed into large sets of ODEs. High fidelity of FEM models for flutter control applications can be achieved as shown in [2] where the simulations and measured flight data are compared. Note also that model parameters can be obtained from ground vibration tests [9] and [6].

The paper is organized as follows. The principles of FEM and their application in a wing flexible structure is introduced in Section 2. It also deals with State–Space representation suitable for further analysis and modeling. Section 3 focuses on modal analysis. Natural frequencies and mode shapes are calculated and visualized. Time domain simulations are shown in Section 4. Finally, the concluding remarks and further research proposals are presented in Section 5.

The presented manuscript presents the part of authors ongoing research on active flutter suppression solutions for small sports aircraft. Related previous achieved results are summarized in the recent MSc. diploma thesis [14] focusing on 2D aeroelastic airfoil model and its analysis, controller design and experiments in wind tunnel. A paper on fixed–order $H_{\infty}$ control for the same setup design has been submitted recently to IFAC 2017 World Congress [21].
2. FEM based model

The goal of this section is to describe principles of a FEM modeling of a flexible wing. The structural model is based on Euler–Bernoulli beam theory which considers small displacements and linear elastic material, therefore, the Hooks law is valid. The beam with applied forces $V$ and torques $M$ is in Figure 2. Resulting equations (1), (2), (3), (4), are summarized below.

$$(V + dV) - V + f dx = 0$$  

$$(M + dM) - M - V dx + f dx \frac{dx}{2} = 0$$  

$$\frac{dV}{dx} = -f$$  

$$\frac{dM}{dx} = V$$  

For deriving equations of motion, the kinematics must be determined. Equations (5), (6) are considered for small displacements, where $\theta$ is the radius of the deflection curve, $u$ is transverse displacement, $E$ is Youngs modulus of elasticity and $I$ is the moment of area.

$$\theta = -\frac{du}{dx}$$  

$$M = EI \frac{d\theta}{dx}$$  

By substitutions in equations (3), (4), (5) and (6) equations (7) and (8) can be written. The Euler–Bernoulli beam equation (9) is derived from them.

$$\frac{d^2 M}{dx^2} = -f$$  

$$EI \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} = -M$$  

$$EI \frac{d^4 u}{dx^4} = -f$$  

For solving Euler–Bernoulli beam equation, the boundary conditions (10) must be added. Solution can be found by Galerkins method. It means every function can be written as a linear combination of basis functions. Rather then basis functions, the shape functions $\psi_j(x)$ are used. Solution is described in approximation (11), where $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} v_{i-1} \\ \theta_{i-1} \\ v_i \\ \theta_i \end{bmatrix}$.

Shape functions $\psi = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{bmatrix}$ are cubic Hermite polynomials (Lovest order polynomials that satisfy continuity requirements). Shapes of functions (12) are shown in Figure 3.

$$u(x = 0) = v_1$$  

$$u(x = L) = v_2$$  

$$\frac{du}{dx} |_{x=0} = \theta_1$$  

$$\frac{du}{dx} |_{x=L} = \theta_2$$  

$$u_h(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{4} \alpha_j \psi_j(x)$$  

$$\psi_1(x) = \frac{1}{L^3}(2x^3 - 3x^2L + L^3)$$  

$$\psi_2(x) = \frac{1}{L^3}(3x^3L - 2x^2L^2 + xL^3)$$  

$$\psi_3(x) = \frac{1}{L^3}(-2x^3 + 3x^2L)$$  

$$\psi_4(x) = \frac{1}{L^3}(x^3L - x^2L^2)$$  

After substitution to equation 9 and integration (13), the equation can be rewritten to (14), where $k_e$ is the element stiffness matrix and $f_e$ is a load. Similarly, element mass matrix $m_e$ can be derived.

$$\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} EIB^T B \ dx = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} f N^T dx$$  

$$k_e \alpha = f_e$$  

For our flexible wing structural model, the torsional degree of freedom is added to Euler–Bernoulli beam. The beam element with nodes $i - 1$ and $i$ with three degrees of freedom (DoF) is shown in Figure 4. Appropriate element
mass and stiffness matrix are presented in (15) and (16), where \( m_i \) is mass per unit length, \( j_i \) is inertia per unit length, \( G \) is modulus of rigidity, \( I_z \) is moment of area and \( L \) is a length of a beam. Columns in the matrices corresponds to vector \( x_e = [v_{i-1} \quad \theta_{i-1} \quad \phi_{i-1} \quad v_i \quad \theta_i \quad \phi_i]^T \).

\[
k_e = \begin{bmatrix}
12EI_l & 6EI_l & 0 & -12EI_l & 6EI_l & 0 \\
6EI_l & 4EI_l & 0 & -6EI_l & 2EI_l & 0 \\
0 & 0 & GJ & 0 & 0 & -GJ_l \\
-12EI_l & -6EI_l & 0 & 12EI_l & -6EI_l & 0 \\
6EI_l & 2EI_l & 0 & -6EI_l & 4EI_l & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -GJ & 0 & 0 & GJ_l
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
m_e = \begin{bmatrix}
156m_lL & 22m_lL^2 & 0 & 54m_lL & -13m_lL^2 & 0 \\
22m_lL^2 & 4m_lL^3 & 0 & 13m_lL^2 & 3m_lL^3 & 0 \\
220 & 420 & 420 & 420 & 420 & 420 \\
0 & 0 & 2L & 4L & 0 & 0 \\
54m_lL & 13m_lL^2 & 0 & 15m_lL^2 & -22m_lL^2 & 0 \\
-13m_lL^2 & -5m_lL^3 & 0 & 13m_lL^2 & 4m_lL^3 & 0 \\
220 & 420 & 420 & 420 & 420 & 4L
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(15)

The beam element represents the element of a wing. Different properties in each wing section can be modeled by different properties of a beam. Finally, the whole wing is a connection of that beams. The acquired system is described by equation (17). The matrix \( M_w \) and \( K_w \) are wing mass and stiffness matrices composed from element matrices. Their size is \([3n \times 3n]\), the number of DoF times number of nodes respectively. Figure 5 shows the principle of \( M_w \) and \( K_w \) calculation. Vector \( X = [v_1 \quad \theta_1 \quad \phi_1 \quad v_2 \quad \theta_2 \quad \phi_2 \quad \ldots \quad v_n \quad \theta_n \quad \phi_n]^T \) contains displacement variables of each node. Nodes forces and torques represents vector \( F_w \).

\[
M_w \ddot{X} + C_w \dot{X} + K_w X = F_w
\]

(17)

This structural wing model consider one end clamped wing that means a rigid connection between the first node and stationary fuselage. The connection is simulated by setting high coefficients the mass and stiffness matrix in positions corresponding to node 1 \((v_1, \theta_1, \phi_1)\).

Damping matrix \( C_w \) from equation (17) can be obtained in different ways. Rayleigh damping can be considered for example. It assumes that the damping matrix is a linear combination of the mass and stiffness matrices \( C_w = a_0 M_w + a_1 K_w \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( L_e )</td>
<td>length of the element</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w_e )</td>
<td>width of the element</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_e )</td>
<td>height of the element</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{pl} )</td>
<td>mass per unit length</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>kg/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( j_{pl} )</td>
<td>inertia per unit length</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>kgm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E )</td>
<td>Young’s modulus</td>
<td>2.04 · 10¹¹</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( G )</td>
<td>modulus of rigidity</td>
<td>4.05 · 10⁹</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_1 )</td>
<td>mass damping coefficient</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_2 )</td>
<td>stiffness damping coefficient</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Modal analysis

Modal analysis is closely related to flexible structure modeling. Parameters are usually obtained from static and dynamic tests. Dynamic measurements can be available...
in the form of ground vibration tests. This tests, which are required by flutter specifications, measures modes and frequencies of an aircraft. An example of a ground vibration test can be found in [4] and [5].

The model described in equation (17) contains modal damping matrix $C_w$ making dynamics more realistic. However modal analysis can be done with method considering undamped and unforced system (19). Next, assume that this dynamic system makes harmonic motion of circular frequency $\omega$. It can be done using function (20) and corresponding acceleration (21). Then equation (22) can be stated. Term $(K_w - \omega^2 M_w) = D(\omega)$ is called the dynamic stiffness matrix used for solving free vibrations eigenproblem (23). Characteristic equation $q(\omega^2)$ has roots equal to undamped natural circular frequencies. Undamped free-vibrations natural modes are eigenvectors of $D(\omega)$.

\[
M_w \ddot{X} + K_w X = 0 \quad (19)
\]

\[
X(t) = X \cos(\omega t - \varphi) \quad (20)
\]

\[
\ddot{X}(t) = -\omega^2 X \cos(\omega t - \varphi) \quad (21)
\]

\[
M_w \ddot{X} + K_w X = (K_w - \omega^2 M_w) X = 0 \quad (22)
\]

\[
q(\omega^2) = \det(D(\omega)) = 0 \quad (23)
\]

Finally, if a modal damping $\zeta$ is known from ground vibration test, It can be used for derivation of a modal damping matrix $C_w$ as in equation (24).

\[
C_w = 2\zeta' \text{diag}(\omega) M_w \quad (24)
\]

The equation (22) can be rewritten to the canonical form of the generalized algebraic eigenproblem (25), where $\lambda$ is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues on the main diagonal and $V$ is a matrix with right eigenvectors. Therefore the square roots of eigenvalues are natural frequencies $\omega_i$ and eigenvectors represents the mode shapes.

\[
K_w V = M_w V \lambda \quad (25)
\]

With considering parameters from table 1, natural frequencies, and modal shapes are computed and stated in table 2 and figures 7, 8, 9 and 10. Same $\omega_i$ are apparent from Bode plots in Figure 11.

4. Simulations

This section presents time behavior of a finite element based structural model of a flexible wing. The wing made up five elements with same parameters from Table 1. Chirp signal was used as a source of torque and force in the simulations. Figure 12 is a time response to chirp signal with initial frequency 0 Hz and target frequency 25 Hz. This signal was a source of force to node 5. The peaks
in the figure correspond to first and second bending mode. For visualization of a first torsion mode frequency (Figure 13), chirp signal with initial frequency 10 Hz and target frequency 30 Hz was used as a source of torque to node 5. Mode shapes are reflected in phase shifts of each node acceleration. An example is Figure 14, with an acceleration of nodes with third bending mode excitation.

5. Conclusion

The paper describes the principle of a FEM based flexible wing structure modeling. Euler–Bernoulli beam theory was used for wing discretization and equations of motion have been derived. These equations were analyzed and natural frequencies and modal shapes were found. Magnitude Bode plots show natural frequencies as a frequency peaks in a graph. Time simulations also demonstrate system sensitivity to forces and torques at modal frequencies. Flexible wing structure model has a practical use in flutter analysis and synthesis of a flutter suppression systems.
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