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Abstract: This paper presents a new framework to generate human-like movement of a
humanoid robot in real time using the movement primitive database of a human. The framework
consists of two processes: (1) the offline motion imitation learning based on Evolutionary
Algorithm and (2) the movement generation of a humanoid robot using the database updated by
the motion imitation learning. For the offline process, the initial database contains the kinetic
characteristics of a human, since it is full of humans captured motions. The database then
develops through the proposed framework of motion learning based on Evolutionary Algorithm,
having characteristics of a humanoid in aspect of minimal torque. The humanoid generates
a human-like movement corresponding to a given task in real-time by linearly interpolating
the primitive movements in the developed database. The proposed framework is a systematic
methodology for a humanoid robot to learn human motions, considering the dynamics of the
robot. The experiment of catching a ball thrown by a man is performed to show the feasibility
of the proposed framework.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, humanoid robots have increasingly resembled human beings in such motion control ability as walking
control, running control, etc. as well as in appearance. Although the recent progress in motion generation for
humanoid robots has yield useful results in many cases, a general approach for automatic motion generation is still
under development. Therefore it is necessary to propose an efficient way in which a robot can coordinate its motions
for numerous kinds of tasks required in our daily life.

In spite of the great differences between a vertebrate system and robotic system, the fields of biology and neuro-
science have tried to derive benefits from the theories and procedures that may help the control of an artificial
Hollerbach and Flash (J. M. Hollerbach et al., 1982) proved experimentally that brain may carry out inverse kinematics and dynamic computations when a human moves in a purposeful. In addition, Raibert (M. Raibert et al.,
1978) observed that inverse dynamics can be represented as the operation of a memory that associates a vector
of joint torques, angles, angular velocities and angular accelerations-a computational device like look-up table.
Mussa-Ivaldi and colleagues (F. A. Mussa-Ivaldi et al., 1994, 2000) insisted that the central nervous system could
create, update and exploit internal representations of limb dynamics like a memory and motor pattern generator in
order to deal with the computation complexity of inverse dynamics. They regarded the vectorial combination of

the force field exerted by limbs as the representation. Williamson (M.M. Williamson, 1996) applied this combi-
nation to a robotic system in form of postural primitives.

Imitation Learning might be an efficient approach to enable a robot to generate natural and abundant motions
(S. Schaal, 2002). When someone needs to learn a new skill or new sports motion, he/she watches the actions of
a skilled person, and, subsequently, uses the demonstrated movement as a seed to start his/her own movement. In
cognitive science work, imitation style learning has been investigated as a source of higher order intelligence and
fast acquisition of knowledge (S. Schaal, 1999). Recorded human motions have been a good means for teaching
various movements. Through some statistical analysis on human motions, Mataric and colleagues have designed the
automated derivation method of kinematic-level primitives and used it to classify movement and reconstruct
the original movement (A. Fod et al., 2002). Park and colleagues appended the inverse dynamics-based optimization
to Matarics reconstruction algorithm for generating diverse movement of robot (B. Lim et al., 2005). Their
optimization method is not good enough in computation efficiency so that it may not be suitable in online
robot motion programming. Nakaniishi et al. developed the methods of deriving robust imitation of joint trajectories
using dynamical movement primitives based on non-linear oscillators (J. Nakaniishi et al., 2004).

The goal of this paper is to develop a framework that helps a humanoid robot to learn human-like motions using
a movement primitive database, which is advanced...
2. PCA-BASED MOTION GENERATION

Beyond all things, this section is described for the motion generation in the proposed imitation learning, which uses the principal components analysis. It consists of using the optimization and linear interpolation. One is utilized in the genetic operator which is the core factor in the proposed imitation learning (will be explained in Sec. 3.1) The other is utilized in the online motion generation.

To generate the motion database for a given task, we first capture human motions with various conditions during the performing of the task. We call the joint trajectories of such motions stored in the database as movement primitives (see Fig. 1). The inverse kinematics solver based on optimization in (B. Lim et al., 2005) is used to obtain the joint trajectories from the marker trajectories of the motion capture system. It should be noticed that such movement primitives seem to have not only kinematics information of the human but also be dynamically consistent and optimized, since they are generated by the human behaviors based on the several years of experiences.

For a given task like catching a ball that will be discussed later as an example, we need to define the conditions for the task. In this case, the conditions are the ball positions caught in the air. For the given task with various conditions like NC different ball positions, we find NC movement primitives and conditions. When a condition like an arbitrary ball position is given, p movement primitives in the database are selected using the distance difference between the conditions in database and the given condition. The principal components analysis (PCA) on these selected p movement primitives is performed and the most dominant few principal components are obtained. Subsequently, these principal components are used as bases for interpolation and optimization to generate new humanlike motions with minimal torques. We call this procedure the movement compiler as shown in Fig. 1.

2.1 Movement Compiler via Interpolation

When a condition (in the example, a ball catching position) is given, it corresponds to the joint positions of a robot arm. Satisfying these final positions, the joint trajectories of the arm need to be determined. The method devised by (A. Fod et al., 2002) and (T. D. Sanger, 2000) is employed to do this. Using principal components of the selected p movement primitives for the given condition, each of the arm joints is given in terms of the mean trajectory, the first three dominant principal components, and an unknown constant as follows:

\[
q(t) = q_{\text{mean}}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i \cdot q_{pc}(t) + x_4
\]

where \(q(t)\) is the joint trajectory, \(q_{\text{mean}}(t)\) is the mean trajectory obtained from N captured human motions, \(q_{pc}(t)\) is the \(i\)-th most dominant principal component, and \(x_i (i = 1, 2, 3)\) are the scalar weighting coefficient. \(x_4\) denotes an unknown constant to represent a remaining error term. As the condition (a set of initial and final joint positions and velocities) is given as

\[
q(t_0) = q_0, \dot{q}(t_f) = q_f, \ddot{q}(t_0) = \ddot{q}_0, \dot{\ddot{q}}(t_f) = \ddot{q}_f
\]

we can solve these four linear equations for the three unknowns, \(x_i (i = 1, 2, 3)\).

A humanoid robot can then generate the desired motion that satisfies the given condition. It is noticed that the bases, principal components in Eq.(1), may play a key role to characterize the motion. The motion can not only looks like human’s but also needs possibly minimal joint torque, if the motions in the database are optimized to minimize torque and the principal components of such motions are used in Eq.(1). It is therefore important how to update the motion database. We employ the concept of Evolutionary Algorithm to update the database, requiring minimal torques at the joints and maintaining human-likeness for a certain condition. The following section describes this optimization problem.

2.2 Movement Compiler via Optimization

This subsection describes the strategy of dynamics-based optimization using PCA in (B. Lim et al., 2005). In addition to Eq.(1), one more principal component is introduced to make an optimization problem to minimize the joint torques as

\[
q(t) = q_{\text{mean}}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{4} x_i \cdot q_{pc}(t) + x_5
\]

where \(x_5\) is defined due to the same reason as \(x_4\) in Eq.(1).

The equations of motion for the humanoid robot, which is modeled as a set of coupled rigid bodies, are given as

\[
M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} + N(q, \dot{q}) = \tau
\]

where \(M(q) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\) is the mass matrix, \(C(q, \dot{q}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\) is the coriolis matrix, \(N(q, \dot{q}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\) includes gravity and other forces, and \(\tau\) is the joint torque vector. \(n\) is the degrees of freedom of the robot. For the optimization problem, we minimize the torques as

\[
\min_{\tau} \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \|\tau(q, \dot{q}, \ddot{q})\|^2 dt
\]

subject to Eq.(4) and the boundary conditions in Eq.(2). More details on this formulation are referred to (S. Lee et al., 2005 and J.E. Bobrow et al., 2001). Though this procedure requires somewhat computational efforts, the
3. IMITATION LEARNING USING EA

This section describes the framework to update the motion database, which is initially full of only captured human motions, using an Evolutionary Algorithm.

When a humanoid robot wants to move its arm to do such motions as grasping a cup on the table or catching a ball in the air, it should generate the joint trajectories to reach its arm to the target conditions like a cup position or a ball catching position. These target conditions are arbitrary such that the robot needs to generate the appropriate joint motions that look like human’s motions and require minimal torques. For this reason, the paper introduces a framework of learning to imitate a human motion for a given task.

The proposed framework of imitation learning is divided into the two parts as seen in Fig.2: the offline and the online process. The offline process updates the motion database considering minimal torques and using Evolutionary Algorithm. The online process then uses this database to generate the human-like movement in real time for performing a given task.

3.1 Offline Process : imitation learning

For a task like catching a ball or grasping a cup, this process updates the database, which is initially full of only human captured motions that are recorded as many times as possible using a motion capture system or others. There are several reasons to update the database. One is that it may be hard to obtain all the necessary motions from a human for doing the given task. Therefore, the database needs to be enriched to make up the motions that are not recorded from the human. Secondly there are needs for involving the dynamics characteristics of a humanoid robot, which is to require minimal torques. The other one is to maintain human-likeness in movement.

Due to the reasons above, the paper uses an Evolutionary Algorithm (EA) to deal with optimizing the database, not a single objective function. Evolutionary Algorithm (EA)’s are a family of optimization and searching techniques inspired by the Darwin’s Theory of Evolution (A.E. Eiben et al., 2003). EA is known to be proper not only to get a single candidate solution but to obtain a whole collection of candidate solutions simultaneously like our motion database.

Figure 3 shows the overall procedure of the imitation learning in the offline part. We explain the procedure in sequence.

1) Initialization : We use the captured human motions data as an original movement primitive database. As population is the collection of candidate solutions (movement primitives), so-called individuals. Because a movement primitive is vector time series data of joint angle, the individual is represented by real-value vectors.

2) Parent : Parents are the collection of movements, which denotes to the movement primitive database. An initial parent uses the original movement primitive database. The role of next generation parent selection is to distinguish among individuals based on their quality, in particular, to allow the better individuals to become new parents for the next generation. In the problem of motion generation, such a conditions as initial joint angle, final joint angle, joint angular velocity vary according to intrinsic characteristic. So it is hard to define a general metric of quality. Therefore, before parent selection, we create a set of conditions that has a strong likelihood of happening in online stage. This set of conditions is used repeatedly in the subsequent evo-
3) Genetic Operator: Genetic Operator is the core factor procedure in EA. This is the variation operator to create new individuals from old ones. This operation is fully conducted by movement compiler via optimization with respect to a set of conditions given in parent selection component. For instance, assuming that we need the motion satisfying one condition, then we select n movement primitives which are analogous to the condition from a movement primitive database. The analogousness is determined by a suitable distance metric, e.g. the distance for position vector condition. Using then the movement compiler via optimization, we get the resultant movement satisfying the condition. The above procedure is performed for all conditions, e.g. if the number of condition is , we can acquire resultant movement primitives.

4) Offspring: As the result of Genetic Operator procedure, there are as many movements as the number of condition, so-called 'offspring'. For each generation, we can require movements, having a same end-position, because of setting the same conditions for it.

5) Survivor Selection: Now, we have to select survivor among offspring and parent based on their quality. The one cycle of EA is called a generation. The survivor becomes the individual for the next generation as the environmental pressure causes natural selection in nature. We choose the sum of torque needed to operate the movement as a quality criterion, so we intend to gradually improve the movement primitive database into inverse dynamics-based optimal data set. The fitness function to be minimized is defined as Eq.(5). The joint torque vector is calculated by robot inverse dynamics theory, given the joint trajectory vector. we then discard the wrong offspring that a joint trajectories exceed the allowable limit of robot.

6) Repeating: The above procedure is repeated until the total number of fitness evaluations reaches a given limit. As the evolution processes, the individuals lose the characteristics of human motions more and become adapted to the physical feature of the robot. So, for the purpose of the harmony of two characters, a proper number of generations of evolution have to be chosen.

3.2 Online Process: real-time motion generation

In this online process, the humanoid robot can generate an arbitrary motion in real-time satisfying a desired motion, which can be done using the resultant of learning process. The motion generation by the humanoid is accomplished using 'the movement compiler via interpolation'. Although the result robot motion is just mathematically interpolated, its basis functions are based on human motions initially and reflect the dynamic property of robotic system. So we regard it as natural looking and pseudo-optimal robot movement.

4. SIMULATION: CATCHING A BALL

In this section, we show the performance of proposed imitation learning method in application of learning the task to catch a ball by humanoid robot that are called 'Mahru I' and developed by KIST (B. J. You et al., 2005). When someone throws a ball to a robot, it has to generate within a short time catching motion of 7 d.o.f. serial manipulator of waist and right arm. Actually, for robot to catch a ball, it has to get ability to estimate the trajectory of a flying ball, to stretch out its arm to a prospective falling point and to grasp the ball. Nevertheless, since our interest is the human-like motion generation, we focus on how it stretches out its arm. We assume that the estimated falling point of a ball is given.

4.1 Motion Capture and Extracting Basis Functions

A man executes the motion of catching a ball 311 times with various falling point of a ball.(see Fig.6) He use only right arm and waist and do not walk or stride. The principal components and observation sequences are calculated from joint angle trajectories of the 10 movements as shown in Fig.4.
Fig. 7. Front and side view for comparison of catching motion in terms of 1th generation (the upper snapshots) and 10th generation (the lower snapshots) of movement primitive database while evolving.

Fig. 8. The joint angles of the movement in the 10th generation (red solid line) and 1th generation (black dashed line) database. Each graph is present for right arm joint (3 shoulder, 1 elbow, 2 wrist) from upper to lower, respectively.

and final position and rotation of right hand, i.e. the trunk and right arm posture when a robot catches a ball. As a matter of course, the movement in the database has its own condition. The similarity between them is determined by

\[ d(c_i, c_j) = w_1 \| p_i - p_j \| + w_2 \| R_i^T R_j \| \]  

(6)

where \((R_i, p_i)\) is the homogenous transformation matrix of \(c_i\), and \(w_i\) is a scalar weighting coefficient. In here, we choose the movement primitives within a 15cm radius. In this instance, due to the orientation in catching a ball is almost equal for the movements within regular distance, we consider only the position.

4.3 Survivor Selection

For both human’s property and robot’s property (minimized torque) are reflected in the generated movement, we choice to survive the original movement primitive database (initial parent) for the any generation. Therefore, the any generation consists of the original movement primitive database and the survived offspring.

4.4 Learned Movement Primitive Database

Our movement primitive database evolves 9 times from motion capture data to learned movements. It takes nine hours at Pentium IV computer with 2GB ram. We implement evolutionary algorithm using C++. DONLP2 library is used for local optimization at our genetic operator, which is a nonlinear optimizer library and implements sequential equality constrained quadratic programming method (P. Spellucci, DONLP2-INTV-DYN). Through survivor selection, the movement primitive database is updated gradually by replacing old ones with better offspring. Consequently, the average of required torque at
each generation of evolution becomes smaller (see Fig.5). It shows that the joint angle patterns in database become optimal motions with the dynamic properties being fully reflected. The resulting motions are shown in Fig.7 and 8. The sum of require torque at all joint of the motion at 10th generation of evolution is $275.889\, N \cdot m$ and it is smaller than $370.088\, N \cdot m$, that of the motion at 1th generation. It shows that our learning method may work well with respect to inverse dynamics-optimization.

### 4.5 Online Motion Generation

Now, we can generate arbitrary motions using learned movement primitive database if the condition of the target motion is not largely different from a set of conditions used in learning system. The comparison motion generated by pure interpolation with one database by optimization using the output database of learning system is shown in Fig.9 and 10. As the learned database is sufficiently optimal, we can get human-like (meaning the robot’s own dynamic property is considered) motions through simple mathematical calculation such as PCA and interpolation. As shown in Fig.10, the joint trajectory of motion by mathematical interpolation is similar to the result motion by dynamics-based optimization and has the main characteristics of the result motion by dynamics-based optimization. So we can generate pseudo-optimal motions, i.e. similar motions to optimized result without computational optimization process.

### 5. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

This paper has presented a methodology for evolving movement primitive database that enables a humanoid robot to imitate human motions as a human learns new motions and do them. Raibert mentioned that human’s motion learning ability is based on the capacity for storage and retrieval of a great variety of optimal movement patterns. (M. Raibert et al., 1978) Similarly, our approach is divided into two processes: (1) the offline process for building motion database through the proposed learning procedure and (2) the online process to generate the motions in real time using the database. In the offline process, we update the movement primitive database using the proposed learning procedure with Evolutionary Algorithm, minimizing joint torques. In the online process, we generate a desired motion in real time by simply interpolating the principal components obtained from the learned movement primitive database.

We are planning to extend our methodology to include more complex motions and full-body motions in the future. It was observed that some motions from the proposed framework were not good enough to behave like human or violated some of the joint limits. For resolving this, we would like to consider joint limits, self-collision prevention and so on in the online process.
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