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Abstract: By using the energy dissipation theory and the property of the switched reluctance motor, this paper presents a nonlinear controller for the planar switched reluctance motors (PSRM). Based on the fact that the electrical time constant is much smaller than the mechanical time constant, the whole PSRM driving system is treated as a two-time-scale system and can be decomposed into two subsystems (electrical and mechanical) that are negative feedback interconnection. The controllers are designed for two subsystems respectively to ensure that they are passive. In view of the fact that the system made of two passive subsystem connected through negative feedback is still passive. Therefore, the stability of PSRM driving system is ensured in large scale. This control strategy possesses a simple structure and can be implemented easily. The experimental results show that the proposed control is effective for the position control of PSRM.

1. INTRODUCTION

Modern industrial applications often require two-directional (2-D) motions. Traditional X-Y tables using rotary motors with mechanical transmissions stacked on top of each other have high cost, reduced accuracy, complex mechanical structure, and frequent maintenance. Planar motors have drawn much research attention over the past decade. The planar motors drive directly the platform move in 2-D. It overcomes many shortcomings in rotary motor. PSRM has also the properties of switched reluctance motors such as simple structure, ruggedness and reliability in harsh environments. These advantages make PSRM an alternative choice for direct-drive application (Pan (2005) and (2006)).

The flux link is the nonlinear function of the position and the current for switched reluctance motor. And this kind of motor possesses inherent thrust ripple made from the slot structure. The control of speed and position is difficult. These limit the application in precise motion. The proposed several methods to overcome the above problems mostly existed in rotary switched reluctance motor. The nonlinear control method is applied in PSRM in many examples. The method feedback linearization controller is designed to improve the dynamic property in position and speed tracking (Panda (1996)). And the adaptive controller is applied to estimate the parameters (Milman (1999)). There is also a simple lookup tables for linear switched reluctance motor (Gan (2003)). The iterative learning control is applied to realize the indirect torque control (Sahoo (2005)). The article (Xia (2006)) adopted the RBF neural network to control the speed of switched reluctance motor. The article (Pan (2006)) applied disturbance rejection controller in the PSRM. All the above methods only stress on the control strategy themselves. The structural property of switched reluctance motor is not referred.

In this paper, a nonlinear feedback controller, which effectively combines the natural energy dissipation properties of PSRM, is proposed. The passivity-based controllers have been applied successfully in rotary switched reluctance motor and switched reluctance gripper which property is similar to that of the switched reluctance motor (Xia (2006), Espinosa-Pérez (2004), Yang (2004) and Chan (2005)). In these methods, PSRM was treated as a whole plant to design their controllers. Following the fact that the electrical time constant is much smaller than the mechanical time constant, the whole PSRM driving system is viewed as a two-time-scale system and can be decomposed into two subsystems (electrical and mechanical) that are negative feedback interconnection. The controllers are designed for two subsystems respectively. The controllers are designed to achieve the required properties by damp injection and ensure each subsystem is stable. In view of the fact that the system made of two passive subsystem connected through negative feedback is still passive. The stability of PSRM driving system is ensured in large scale. This control strategy possesses a simple structure and can be implemented easily.

The organization of this paper is as follows. Construction and modelling of the PSRM and controller design are given in section 2 and 3; experimental results are presented in section 4. Finally, the conclusions are described in section 5.
2. CONSTRUCTION AND MODEL OF PSRM

2.1 Configuration of PSRM

In this paper, the proposed PSRM design schematic is shown in figure 1. The PSRM derived from the linear switched reluctance motor and consists of mover and stator. The mover has two sets of three-phase winding. The six windings have the same dimension and the sets are mounted vertically to each other as shown in figure 2. The mover is supported by two sets of sliding blocks which can move in X-axis and Y-axis direction respectively. The stator contains multiple laminated silicon-steel blocks held together by epoxy, a rigid aluminium based plate to support them, and sliding supports to hold the platform and maintain the air-gap. The structure of stator is constructed from the combinations of magnetic blocks and extended by means of “building blocks” as shown in Figure 3. Two 0.5um resolution linear optical encoders are integrated in the PSRM system to provide the information of position.

![Fig. 1 The planar switched reluctance motor.](image)

![Fig. 2 Mover Schematic of the PSRM.](image)

![Fig. 3 Construction of the stator base.](image)

2.2 Modelling of PSRM

Because the special arrangement is applied to make the mover windings flux-decoupled between adjacent windings, the mutual inductance is approaching to zero. The PSRM can be taken as two independent linear switched reluctance motors. The control of PSRM can also be decomposed into the control of two linear switched reluctance motors. The model of PSRM in one direction motion is given as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{du}{dt} &= r_j i_j + \frac{\partial \lambda_j}{\partial i_j} \frac{di_j}{dt} + \frac{\partial \lambda_j}{\partial x} \frac{dx}{dt}, \\
&= u_j, \\
&= a, b, c.
\end{align*}
\]

\[M \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = f_e - B \frac{dx}{dt} - f_j.\] (2)

\(u_j\) is the voltage applied to the terminals of phase j, \(i_j\) is the current of phase j, \(r_j\) is the winding resistance and \(\lambda_j\) is the phase flux linkage of phase j, \(L_j\) is the phase inductance, \(x\) is the displacement, \(M\) and \(B\) are the mass and friction constant respectively. \(f_j\) is the external load force. \(f_e\) is the generated electromagnetic force.

\[f_j(x=i_j) = \frac{1}{2} L_j i_j^2, \quad j = a, b, c.\] (3)

\[f_e = \sum_{j=a}^{c} f_j.\] (4)

2.3 Decomposition of PSRM

The PSRM possesses the two-time-scale characteristics and can be decomposed into an electrical subsystem (\(\Sigma_e\)) and a mechanical subsystem (\(\Sigma_m\)). The linkage of these two subsystems is nonlinear negative feedback as shown in figure 4.

![Fig. 4 Passive subsystem decomposition.](image)
3. PBC DESIGN

3.1 The PBC for electrical subsystem

The electrical loop is a third-order subsystem. Taking the state $z_i = [i_a, i_b, i_c]^T$ as the state vector of $\Sigma_e$. The dynamic property of $\Sigma_e$ can be described as follows

$$D\dot{z}_1 = [-J(z_2) + R]z_1 + gu_1$$

(5)

where $g$ is the input vector, $u_1$ is the control signal. $J(z_2)$ and $R$ are the structure matrices. The matrix $R$ is a strictly positive definite symmetric matrix and corresponds to the energy dissipating of the model. $D$ is an inductance matrix. $J(z_2)$ is the rate of inductance matrix and relative to the state $z_2$ in the mechanical subsystem.

$$J(z_2) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial L_3}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial R_1}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial R_2}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial R_3}{\partial x} \end{bmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{bmatrix} r_a & r_b & r_c \end{bmatrix}.$$  

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} L_4 & L_2 \\ L_2 & L_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad g = [1,1,1]^T.$$

Define the state error as $e = z_i - z_d$ where $z_d$ is the reference state vector which defines the desired system performance. Substituting the state error into equation (5) yields the model of the state error as

$$\dot{D}e + Re = -D\dot{z}_d - Rz_d - J(z_2)z_1 + gu = \Phi$$

(6)

Choosing the energy function of state error for $\Sigma_e$ as

$$H(e) = \frac{1}{2}e^TDe.$$  

(7)

Differentiating the $H(e)$ to time along the state error equation (6), we get

$$\dot{H}(e) = -e^TRe + e^T\Phi + \frac{1}{2}e^TDe.$$  

(8)

By keeping $\Phi + \frac{1}{2}De = 0$, we get

$$\dot{H}(e) = -e^TRe.$$  

(9)

In equation (9), $R$ is a strictly positive definite matrix and $H(e)$ is a strictly positive definite matrix, hence the state error subsystem (6) is strictly passive and stable.

Because $\Phi + \frac{1}{2}De = 0$ is hard to precisely implement, the control algorithm can be designed by using $\Phi + \frac{1}{2}De \leq 0$.

The stability can also be ensured. Besides, damping injection could be applied for enhancing the rate of convergence of the state error as

$$\Phi + \frac{1}{2}De = -Ke.$$  

(10)

where $K = \text{diag}\{k_1, k_2, k_3\}$ is a positive definite matrix and the parameters of the matrix are adjustable.

$$\dot{H}(e) = -e^T(R + K)e.$$  

(11)

Therefore, the control law for $\Sigma_e$ is designed as

$$u_1 = L_4\dot{z}_{d1} + r_1z_{d1} + \frac{\partial L_4}{\partial x}z_{d1} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial L_4}{\partial x}e_1 - k_1e_1$$

$$u_2 = L_2\dot{z}_{d2} + r_2z_{d2} + \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial x}z_{d2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial L_2}{\partial x}e_2 - k_2e_2$$

$$u_3 = L_3\dot{z}_{d3} + r_3z_{d3} + \frac{\partial L_3}{\partial x}z_{d3} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial L_3}{\partial x}e_3 - k_3e_3$$

(12)

3.2 The PBC for mechanical subsystem

Taking $z_2 = v$ as the state variable for $\Sigma_m$. The dynamic property of $\Sigma_m$ can be described as follow.

$$M\ddot{z}_2 = -Bz_2 + f_v - f_i.$$  

(13)

Define the state error as $e_4 = z_2 - z_{d2}$ where $z_{d2}$ is the reference state vector which defines the desired system performance. The state error equation of $\Sigma_m$ can be rewritten as

$$M\ddot{e}_4 = -Be_4 - M\dot{z}_{d2} + Bz_{d2} + f_v - f_i.$$  

(14)

Because the natural damp of $\Sigma_m$ is much small. The dynamic performance is also improved by injecting damp. The control law is designed as

$$f_v = M\ddot{z}_{d2} + Bz_{d2} - f_i - k_4e_4$$  

(15)

where $-k_4e_4$ is the term of injecting damp.
3.3 The speed tracking and the analysis of PSRM

It is necessary to perform current commutation with position for a proper PSRM operation. Therefore, a motor winding excitation scheme is developed. Generally, a motor winding excitation scheme can be considered as a Force Distribution Function (FDF) and an approximated function of inductance change rate. The diagram of a motor winding excitation scheme can be represented as in figure 5. The FDF is used to compute the force for each phase according to the position and the direction. The approximated function of inductance change rate is used to compute the phase current according to the command force of phase and the position. Some methods have been proposed for the approximated function of inductance change rate.

Taking the $z_{d2}$ as the desired constant speed $v^*$. The desired thrust can be calculate from (15) as

$$f^*_e = B z_{d2} - f_i - k_x e_4.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

This $f^*_e$ is taken as the desired force. And the $z_d$ can be calculate. So the track to the desired speed can be achieved.

Fig.5 The diagram of a motor winding excitation scheme.

Form figure 4, the reference current for each phase is calculated by using the desired force $f^*_e$ according to the required performances of $\Sigma_m$

$$i_{i_d} = \sqrt{2 f^*_e \frac{dL}{dx}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where $\frac{dL}{dx}$ is obtained by using look-up table.

Define the energy function of state error for the whole PSRM system as

$$H^*(e) = \frac{1}{2} e^T D e + \frac{1}{2} e^T M e_4.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Differentiating the $H^*(e)$ to time along the $\Sigma_m$ and $\Sigma_e$, and substituting the proposed controller (12) and (15), the stability of PSRM can be proved and the state error would exponentially converge to zero.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The experimental Schematic is shown in figure 6. The host PC is a Pentium 4 computer that is used to download the target code into a dSPACE DS1104 DSP motion controller card and supports an interface to adjust the system parameters on real-time. The control algorithm is developed under the environment of MATLAB/SIMULINK. All control functions are implemented and state variables are sampled by the DS1104 card, which is plugged into a PCI bus of the host PC. The driver consists of three asymmetric bridge MOSFET inverters with DC voltage supplier corresponding to the three winding respectively.

Fig. 6 Experimental setup of the driving system.

The proposed control algorithm is simulated for its effectiveness with the MATLAB software. The parameters of PSRM are listed in Table 1. The applied FDF is listed in Table 2.

Table 1 Parameters of planar motor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pole pitch</td>
<td>6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole width</td>
<td>6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole slot</td>
<td>6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air gap</td>
<td>0.55mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of turns per phase</td>
<td>160N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated power</td>
<td>120W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase reluctance</td>
<td>1.5Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of base plate</td>
<td>450 mm x450mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel distance</td>
<td>300 mm x300mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mover mass(X)</td>
<td>8.75Kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mover mass(Y)</td>
<td>15Kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Force distribution function (FDF) scheme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>region</th>
<th>Position (mm)</th>
<th>+ Force command</th>
<th>-Force command</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C, A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>B, C</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4-6</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A, B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6-8</td>
<td>C, A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8-10</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10-12</td>
<td>B, A</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The square waveform is adopted in the experiment. To avoid undesired oscillation response, instead of using the pure square waveform to test the tracking performance, a quasi third-order S-function signal is used. The frequency of square waveform signal is 0.5Hz and the amplitude is 20mm.

Fig. 7 The experimental curve of position response.

(a) X-axis

(b) Y-axis

Fig. 8 The experimental curve of speed response.

(a) X-axis

(b) Y-axis

Fig. 9 Command signal of force.

Figure 7 shows the process of position response in X-axis and Y-axis respectively. Figure 8 shows the process of speed response. Figure 9 shows the corresponding the command of force distribution function during the speed response. The experimental results demonstrate that the proposed algorithm not only make the PSRM driving system possess high position precision and speed precision but also equip with perfect dynamics.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The PSRM driving system is decomposed into an electrical subsystem and a mechanical subsystem. The order of the decomposed system is reduced. The PBCs are designed for electrical subsystem and mechanical subsystem respectively based on the properties of the two-time-scale and passivity. This simplifies the controller structure and makes controller design tractable. The strict passivity of the two subsystems and their negative feedback interconnection ensure the stability of the proposed controller for the whole driving system. The experimental results show that the proposed method is effective and can be realized easily.
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