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Abstract: This paper deals with formation control strategies based on Virtual Structure (VS) for multi-vehicle systems. We propose several control laws for networked multi-nonholonomic vehicle systems in order to achieve VS consensus, VS Flocking and VS Flocking with collision-avoidance.

First, Virtual Vehicle for the feedback linearization is considered, and we propose VS consensus and Flocking control laws based on a virtual structure and consensus algorithms. Then, VS Flocking control law considering collision avoidance is proposed and its asymptotical stability is proven.

Finally, simulation and experimental results show effectiveness of our proposed approaches.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently there have been a lot of progress for new theories that creates a fusions of graph theories and system control theories for cooperative control problems of distributed networked control systems; e.g., Ren [2005]. A multi-agent control problem is one of significant topics where each agent works autonomously by using information of other agents over the communication network.

In the networked multi-agent systems, consensus means to reach an agreement regarding a certain quantity of interest that depends on the state of of dynamical agents. Consensus algorithm using graph theory is studied as a control problem of multi-agent systems in Olfati-Saber [2007, 2004]. Formation control problems are expected at various fields, e.g. satellites, airship, intelligent transport systems and load carriage. The consensus problems can be applied to formation control for multiple vehicles that is essential to be able to behave high-efficiency Tanner [2005, 2007], Sepulchre [2005], Ren [2006]. A vehicle is generally a nonholonomic system and it has a velocity constraint that its wheels cannot move side-away.

Many research results for formation control of nonholonomic systems have been reported Tanner [2005, 2007], Ikeda [2004]. Consensus problems with collision avoidance for multi-agent systems have been discussed in Tanner [2005, 2007], Sepulchre [2005]. However the control law could not achieve desired formation because it does not consider control of relative position. In Ren [2006], a control law which can construct any formations, was proposed for multi-agent systems. However it has been difficult to apply it for general nonholonomic vehicle control systems. Recently there have been a lot of progress for nonholonomic formation problems e.g., in Lin [2005], Dimarogonas [2007], but the algorithms proposed in the previous papers were complicated for real-time control applications.

On the other hand, a simple control law that makes any formation using deviation model (Virtual model) was proposed in leader-follower type, but it had no information exchange among agents in Ikeda [2004].

In this paper, we construct multi-agent systems based on virtual structure and propose novel formation control laws by using information exchange of other agents.

Several control strategies for networked multi-nonholonomic vehicle systems in order to achieve VS consensus, VS Flocking and VS Flocking with collision-avoidance are proposed. Furthermore, the asymptotical stabilities of the closed-loop system with the networked multi-nonholonomic vehicle and the proposed control strategies are proven theoretically.

Finally, the effect of the proposed control laws are evaluated via control simulations and experiments.

2. MULTI-VEHICLE SYSTEMS

Our controlled plants are networked multi-vehicle systems which consist N vehicles ( N agents) under the following assumption.

Assumption 1. There are an information network between Any ith vehicle and jth vehicle ( i ≠ j) is connected and can exchange information of states of each vehicle.

Graph theory is a useful mathematical tool to represent information network structures. The network structure with Assumption 1 is said to be “connected graph” if it has bidirectional communication edges, or “strongly connected digraph” if it has unidirectional communication edges.
In this paper, we use graph Laplacian for network structures expressed mathematically. Graph Laplacian $L = [l_{ij}]$ consists of $l_{ii} = \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}$, $l_{ij} = -a_{ij}$, $i \neq j$ if $a_{ij} = 1$ that means $j$th vehicle send some information to $i$th vehicle, otherwise $a_{ij} = 0$.

### 2.1 Vehicle Model

The vehicle treated in this paper is a two-wheeled vehicle which is shown in Fig.1 (lower left). We assume that $N$ vehicles can be expressed via an identical model and friction force can be ignored. The kinematic model of $i$th vehicle is described as

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x}_i \\
\dot{y}_i \\
\dot{\theta}_i
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta_i & 0 & v_i \\
\sin \theta_i & 0 & \omega_i
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
v_i \\
\omega_i
\end{bmatrix},
$$

(1)

where $(x_i, y_i)$ are the positions of center of gravity of $i$th vehicle, $\theta_i$ is a heading angle of $i$th vehicle and $v_i$ and $\omega_i$ are the control inputs. It is well known that above vehicle models have constraint on its velocity as

$$
\dot{x}_i \sin \theta_i - \dot{y}_i \cos \theta_i = 0.
$$

(2)

Therefore these vehicles are nonholonomic.

### 2.2 Virtual Structure (VS)

We consider Virtual Structure (VS) using Virtual Vehicle (VV) Ikeda [2004] for each vehicle as shown in Fig.1 (upper right). By the positional relationship between vehicle and VV in Fig.1, the kinematics model of $i$th VV is described as

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
x_{ri} \\
y_{ri} \\
\theta_{ri}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
x_i + x_{di} \cos \theta_i - y_{di} \sin \theta_i \\
y_i + x_{di} \sin \theta_i + y_{di} \cos \theta_i \\
\theta_i
\end{bmatrix},
$$

(3)

where $(x_{ri}, y_{ri})$ are positions of center of gravity of $i$th VV, $\theta_{ri}$ is heading angle of $i$th VV and $x_{di}, y_{di}$ are distance between VVs and vehicles. The derivative of (3) are given by

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{x}_{ri} \\
\dot{y}_{ri} \\
\dot{\theta}_{ri}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
B_1 & v_i \\
B_0 & \omega_i
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
v_i \\
\omega_i
\end{bmatrix},
$$

where

$$
B_1 =
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta_i & -x_{di} \sin \theta_i - y_{di} \cos \theta_i \\
\sin \theta_i & x_{di} \cos \theta_i - y_{di} \sin \theta_i
\end{bmatrix},
$$

(4)

$$
B_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

(5)

3. VS CONSENSUS PROBLEMS

The goal of formation control problems is that $N$ vehicles preserve any formation based on information exchange between them over the network. To maintain any formations, the VVs of each vehicle has to converge to a common position as shown in Fig.2.

### 3.1 Control Objectives

To converge to a common value for VV of each vehicle, it is necessary to guarantee consensus for positions of center of gravity and heading angle of VVs as

$$
x_{ri} \rightarrow x_{rj}, \ y_{ri} \rightarrow y_{rj}, \ \theta_{ri} \rightarrow \theta_{rj} \quad (t \rightarrow \infty).
$$

(6)

This consensus is called VS consensus.

**Lemma 1.** Consider the $N \times N$ graph Laplacian $L$ with strongly connected digraph. If the systems can be described as

$$
\dot{x} = -L_m x
$$

(7)

where $x = [x_1^T \ x_2^T \ \cdots \ x_N^T]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{Nm}$ are the state of all systems and $L_m = L \otimes I_m$, the state $x$ converge as

$$
x \rightarrow (x_{r1} \ x_{r2} \ \cdots \ x_{rN})x(0) = 1 \otimes \alpha \quad (t \rightarrow \infty),
$$

(8)

where $x_{r1}, x_{r2}$ are right and left eigenvector of zero eigenvalue of $L$ with $x_{r1}^T x_{r1} = 1$ and $x_{r2}^T 1 = 1$. $\otimes$ denotes Kronecker product, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is consensus value and $1 = [1 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 1]^T \in \mathbb{R}^N$ Olfati-Saber [2004].

**Proof 1.** See Olfati-Saber [2004] for proof.

From Lemma 1, the all of states converge to a common value $\alpha$ as

$$
x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_N = \alpha.
$$

(9)

### 3.2 Control Law for VS Consensus

To achieve VS consensus, we propose the following control law for the vehicle $i$ as
Control law 1.
\[ u_i = B_i^{-1} \left( -k \sum_{j \in N_i} (r_i - r_j) + \dot{r}_d \right), \quad (10) \]
where \( u_i = [v_i \omega_i]^T \), \( r_i = [x_{i1} y_{i1}]^T \), \( N_i \) is ith neighbor set, \( \dot{r}_d \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) is constant reference velocity and \( k > 0 \) is controller gain.

**Theorem 1.** Consider a system of the \( N \) vehicles with kinematics (4) and Control Law 1 (10). If Assumption 1 and \( \dot{r}_d \neq 0 \) are satisfied, then VS consensus achieves asymptotically.

**Proof 2.** All of the VS systems (4) without its angle \( \theta_{ri} \) can be written as
\[ \dot{v}_r = \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i u_i, \quad (11) \]
where \( r = [r_1^T r_2^T \cdots r_N^T]^T \), \( u = [u_1^T u_2^T \cdots u_N^T]^T \), \( \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i \) is matrix that diagonal block elements are \( B_i \).

The Control law 1 (10) can be extended and the vehicles can make any formations when VVs converge to a common value as \( r \rightarrow 1 \odot r_d \) (14).

The consensus for \( r \) is achieved as \( r_i \rightarrow r_j \rightarrow \alpha + r_d \). Next, we consider heading angles \( \theta_{ri} \) of VVs. Substituting Control Law 1 (10) into \( \theta_{ri} \) in (4) and considering \( \dot{r}_d = [v_d \cos \theta_d \ v_d \sin \theta_d]^T \), we get that
\[ \dot{\theta}_{ri} = \frac{v_d}{x_{di}} \sin(\theta_{ri} - \theta_d). \]

Hence, We have that \( \theta_{ri} \rightarrow \theta_d \) (15). Therefore VS consensus is achieved asymptotically. Furthermore, the any formation shape is guaranteed.

**Control law 2.**
\[ u_i = B_i^{-1} \left( -k \sum_{j \in N_i} (r_i - r_{ri}) - (r_j - r_{rj}) \right) + \dot{r}_d \quad (17) \]
where \( r_{ri} \) is reference relative position to \( r_i \).

**Theorem 2.** Consider a system of the \( N \) vehicles with kinematics (4) and Control Law 2 (17). If assumption 1 and \( \dot{r}_d \neq 0 \) are satisfied, then VS consensus achieve asymptotically.

**Proof 3.** This can be proven in a same way with Theorem 1.

### 3.3 Control Law with Velocity Tracking for VS Consensus

The Control laws 1 and 2 include feedforward terms which are reference signals \( \dot{r}_d \). In case of physical vehicles, the motion of vehicles are not exactly same between them. Therefore, the error of velocities \( \dot{r}_d - \dot{r}_i \) do not converge to 0. Consequently we propose new control law with velocity control for ith vehicle as

**Control law 3.**
\[ \dot{v}_r = k v_r (v_r - v^*) - k_{er} (v_r - v^*) \quad (18) \]
where \( v^* \) is constant reference velocity and \( k_{er} > 0 \) is controller gain.

**Theorem 3.** Consider a system of the \( N \) vehicles with kinematics (4) and Control law 3 (18). If Assumption 1 and \( v^* \neq 0 \) are satisfied, then VS consensus achieve asymptotically.

**Proof 4.** Substituting Control law 3 (18) into the ith vehicle kinematics (4), we get that
\[ \dot{v}_r = k v_r (v_r - v^*) - k_{er} (v_r - v^*) \]
\[ \dot{r}_d = -k L_2 \dot{v}_r + v_r. \quad (19) \]

Using \( v_{re} = v_r - 1 \odot v^* \), \( r_e = \dot{r}_e - \int_0^t \dot{v}_r \odot v^* d\tau \),
\[ \begin{bmatrix} \dot{r}_e \\ \dot{v}_{re} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -k L_2 & I_{2N} \\ 0 & -k_{er} I_{2N} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_e \\ v_{re} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (20) \]

By Lemma 1, the systems (20) achieve consensus and velocity errors \( r_e \) converge to 0 as
\[ r_e \rightarrow 1 \odot v^* \quad (21) \]
Therefore any formation shape is guaranteed.

### 4. VS FLOCKING PROBLEMS

#### 4.1 Control Objectives

Flocking is defined that velocity and inter-vehicle distances converge to common value. It could be as
\[ \dot{r}_i \rightarrow \dot{r}_j \]

VS consensus problem considers only relative positions between vehicles. Here, we discuss VS Flocking problems
that is considered both relative positions and relative velocities between VVs. The velocities is defined as $v_{ri} = [v_{xri} \ v_{yri}]^T$. Then it is expressed as

$$\dot{v}_{ri} = a_i, \ \hat{r}_i = v_{ri},$$

where $a_i$ is control input.

### 4.2 Control Law for VS Flocking

The following control law is proposed

**Control law 4.**

$$\dot{v}_{ri} = - \sum_{j \in N_i} k_i (\hat{r}_i - \hat{r}_j) + k_v (v_{ri} - v_{rj}),$$

$$u_i = B_i^{-1} v_{ri},$$

where $k_v, k_i > 0$ are controller gains.

**Theorem 4.** Consider a system of the $N$ vehicles with kinematics (4) and Control law 4 (18). If Assumption 1 and $1 > |1 + 4/(k_v^2 \lambda_i)|$, then VS Flocking achieve asymptotically, where $\lambda_i$ are eigenvalues of weighted graph Laplacian $L_w$ as $d \to \infty$.

**Proof 5.** The control input $\hat{v}_r$ for multi-vehicle systems is written as

$$\dot{v}_r = -L_w \hat{r} + k_v L_w v_r.$$  

By $B_i^{-1}$, the position coordinate of VS system (4) can be also described as (23). Therefore, if flocking problem achieve in second order system (23), VS systems with (4) achieve VS flocking problem. By (23) and (25), we have following result

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\hat{r}} \\ \dot{\hat{v}_r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -L_w \end{bmatrix} I_N \otimes I_2 \begin{bmatrix} \hat{v}_r \end{bmatrix}$$

The each vector is written as

$$\omega_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \omega_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \nu_1 = \begin{bmatrix} p \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \nu_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ p \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $0 = [0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $p$ is eigenvector of $\lambda(-L_w) = 0$ and $p^T 1 = 1$. Then, we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\hat{r}} \\ \dot{\hat{v}_r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (1p^T)^2 \hat{v}(0) + (1p^T) 2v(0) \\ (1p^T)^2 v(0) \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore VS Flocking is achieved asymptotically.

### 4.3 Control Law with Collision Avoidance for VS Flocking

From Theorem 4, the formation shape was guaranteed in VS Flocking problem. However, in case of physical vehicles, the collision avoidance is also important problem. It is well known that artificial potential approach is effective to avoid collision Tanner [2005]. The artificial potential gives repulsive force to other vehicles if a vehicle come close to other vehicles. Here, we use following artificial potential function Tanner [2005]

$$U_i = \sum_{j \in N_i} U_{ij}, \ U_{ij} = \frac{d}{||r_{ij}||} + \log ||r_{ij}||,$$

where $r_{ij} = r_i - r_j$ and $d$ is controller gain. We have to select $d$ that satisfies $d > 2(\sqrt{x_d^2 + y_d^2} + R_e)$ where $R_e$ is the largest radius of the vehicles. Then we propose following control law with collision avoidance as

**Control law 5.**

$$\dot{v}_{ri} = u_{ri}^{ca} + u_{ri}^{co},$$

$$u_i = B_i^{-1} v_{ri},$$

where

$$u_{ri}^{ca} = \hat{v}_r - k_{vr}(v_{ri} - v^*) - \sum_{j \in N_i} k_i (\hat{r}_i - \hat{r}_j) + k_v (v_{ri} - v_{rj}),$$

$$u_{ri}^{co} = -\nabla_r U_i \sum_{j \in N_i} k_i (v_{ri} - v_{rj})$$

where $k_{vr}, k_v, k_i > 0$ are controller gains. (32) is the control law to achieve consensus and (33) is the control law to achieve collision avoidance.

**Theorem 5.** Consider a system of the $N$ vehicles with kinematics (4) and Control law 5 (31). If Assumption 1 and assumption of the bidirectional communication for the network, and $k_{vr} + k_v \lambda_2 - \lambda_{max} ||L_w_2|| > 0$ are satisfied, then VS Flocking achieves asymptotically.

Where $\lambda_2$ is the smallest eigenvalue of $L_w$ without zero eigenvalue and $\lambda_{max}$ is the maximum potential force of and $v^* \neq 0$.

**Proof 6.** Let $v_x = v_i - 1 \otimes v^*$, then the control input $\hat{v}_e$ for multi-vehicle systems is written as

$$\dot{v}_e = -k_{vr} v_e - L_w \hat{r} - k_v v_{r2} v_e$$

where

$$\dot{v}_e = -\sum_i \nabla_r U_i |L_w v_e|$$

The each vector is written as

$$\omega_1 = [1 \ \ 0], \ \omega_2 = [0 \ \ \vdots \ \ 0], \ \nu_1 = [p \ \ \vdots \ \ 0], \ \nu_2 = [0 \ \ \vdots \ \ p],$$

where $0 = [0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $p$ is eigenvector of $\lambda(-L_w) = 0$ and $p^T 1 = 1$. Then, we get

$$\dot{v}_e = (1p^T)^2 v(0) + (1p^T) 2v(0)$$

Therefore VS Flocking is achieved asymptotically.
Consider a group of 5 vehicles that has network structure as shown in Fig.3 (upper). Fig.4 shows the desired formation and distances of VS.

5.1 VS Consensus Problems

We verify the Control law 2 (10). The parameter for VS and control law are selected as $k = 0.5$. The reference velocities are $r_d = [0.1 \cos(\pi/2) \ 0.1 \sin(\pi/2)]^T$.

Fig.5 shows the trajectory of the vehicles. From this result, the vehicles achieve desired formation and the position of VVs converge to a common value.

5.2 VS Flocking Problems

The Control law 4 (24) is examined. The parameters for VS and control law are selected as $k_i = 0.1$ and $k_v = 1$. The reference velocities are $r_d = [0.1 \cos(\pi/2) \ 0.1 \sin(\pi/2)]^T$.

Therefore, $\bar{r}_i \rightarrow \bar{r}_j$. Thus, VS Flocking with collision avoidance is achieved asymptotically.

5.3 VS Flocking Problems with Collision Avoidance

We verify the proposed Control law 5 (31). A group of 5 vehicles that has the network structure of line graph is considered as shown in Fig.3(lower). The parameter for VS are selected as $x_d = 0.05, \ y_d = 0$, i.e. the distances of VVs is a common value. The parameter for control law are selected as $k_v = 1, \ k_i = 2, \ k_v = 0.3$. The parameter for collision avoidance function is selected as $d = 0.3$ by reason of the largest radius of the physical vehicles is $R = 0.08$. The reference velocities are $v^* = [0.1 \ \frac{x}{2}]^T$. The desired formation structure is shown in Fig.4.

Fig.8 shows simulation results in case with collision avoidance and without collision avoidance as $u^{\text{coll}} = 0$. This shows that vehicles achieve formation with collision avoidance.
6. EXPERIMENTS

We verify the efficacy of the proposed control laws via control experiments for VS consensus problem and VS Flocking problem. The experiments were carried out on 2 vehicles as shown in Fig.9. We use the dSPACE as real-time calculating machine and a sampling rate is chosen as 0.2 [s] because of the time delay of the wireless network.

6.1 VS Consensus Problem

First, the proposed Control law 3 (18) for VS consensus is verified. The parameters for VS and control law are selected as $x_{d1} = x_{d2} = 0.5$, $y_{d1} = y_{d2} = 0$, $r_{r1} = [0\ 0.15]^T$, $r_{r2} = [0\ -0.15]^T$, $k_{rr} = 0.02$, $k = 1$. The initial conditions are $R_1(0) = [0.27\ 0.18\ 0]^T$, $R_2(0) = [0.27 - 0.18\ 0]^T$. The reference velocity is $v_d = [0.07\ 0]^T$.

Fig.10 shows the trajectory of the positions of the 2 vehicles in the field. We can see that the VVs achieve consensus.

6.2 VS Flocking Problem

We verify proposed Control law 5 (31) for VS Flocking problems. The parameters for VS and control law are selected as $x_{d1} = x_{d2} = 0.1$, $y_{d1} = y_{d2} = 0$, $r_{r1} = [0\ 0.15]^T$, $r_{r2} = [0\ -0.15]^T$, $k_{rr} = 0.5$, $k_1 = 0.05$, $k_0 = 0.1$. The initial conditions are $R_1(0) = [0.3\ 0.2\ 0]^T$, $R_2(0) = [0.3 - 0.2\ 0]^T$. The reference velocity is $v_d = [0.07\ 0]^T$.

Fig.11 shows the trajectories of the positions of the vehicles in the field and this shows the VVs achieve flocking.

7. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we proposed the formation control strategies for networked multi-vehicle systems using virtual structure. Our proposed control laws could achieve desired formations for nonholonomic systems.

Several control strategies for networked multi-nonholonomic vehicle systems in order to achieve VS consensus, VS Flocking and VS Flocking with collision-avoidance were proposed.

The asymptotical stabilities of the closed-loop system with the networked multi-nonholonomic vehicle and the proposed control strategies were proven theoretically.

Finally, the effect of the proposed control laws were evaluated via control simulations and experiments which demonstrated the effectiveness of our approaches.
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