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Abstract In this paper, a basic property that a Liapunov-Krasovskii functional must have when used for studying the stability of time delay systems under Carathéodory conditions is studied. This property concerns the equality, almost everywhere, of the upper right-hand Dini derivative of the Liapunov-Krasovskii functional evaluated at the solution, and of the Liapunov-Krasovskii derivative (computed by using everywhere the system dynamics) evaluated at the solution and at the forcing input. Sufficient conditions for that property are provided. Copyright © 2005 IFAC.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, time invariant functional differential equations, forced by measurable, locally essentially bounded inputs, are considered (the parallel delayed case of system 5 in Angeli et. al., 2000). The importance in applications of the equations here considered is well known (see Burton, 1985, Hale and Lunel, 1993, Kolmanovskii and Myshkis, 1999, Niculescu, 2001, Gu et al., 2003). It is assumed that the function involved in the dynamics and the input are such that the Carathéodory conditions are verified. As well known, a functional differential equation, under Carathéodory conditions, admits an absolutely continuous solution which satisfies such an equation almost everywhere on a maximal right-open time interval (see Kolmanovskii and Myshkis, 1999, Section 2.4, pp. 100).

When using Liapunov-Krasovskii functionals for studying the stability of functional differential equations in this general case by the Liapunov’s second method, the following two assumptions must be introduced:

A1) the Liapunov-Krasovskii functional, evaluated at the solution of the system, returns a locally absolutely continuous time function;

A2) the upper right-hand Dini derivative of such absolutely continuous time function is equal, almost everywhere, to the Liapunov-
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Moreover it is proved that: if for any function
describing the dynamics of the system,
any input function and any initial state, the
upper right-hand Dini derivative at zero of
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functional) of the initial state and of the
right-hand Dini derivative at zero of the so-
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The theorems here developed are useful for
studying the Input-to-State Stability (ISS)
of time delay systems (see Teel, 1998)
on a Liapunov-Krasovskii framework. The
Carathéodory environment here proposed is
common, for instance, when considering the
ISS with respect to measurable, locally essen-
tially bounded disturbances.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let us consider the following nonlinear time-
delay system
\[
\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)), \quad t \geq 0, \quad a.e., \\
x(\tau) = \xi(\tau), \quad \tau \in [-\Delta, 0],
\]
where \(x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n, u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m\) is the input
function, \(n, m\) are positive integers, for \(t \geq 0\)
\(x_t : [-\Delta, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n\) is the standard function
(see Section 2.1, pp. 38 in Hale and Lunel,
1993) given by \(x_t(\tau) = x(t + \tau), \Delta\) is the
maximum involved delay, \(f\) is a function from
\(C([-\Delta, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^m\) to \(\mathbb{R}^n, C([-\Delta, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)\) de-
notes the set of the continuous functions which
are defined on \([-\Delta, 0]\) and take values in \(\mathbb{R}^n\),
\(\xi(\tau) \in C([-\Delta, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)\). Without loss of gener-
ality we suppose that \(f(0, 0) = 0\), thus ensuring that
\(x(t) = 0\) is the trivial solution for the un-
forced system \(\dot{x}(0, 0) = f(x, 0)\) with zero initial
conditions. Multiple discrete non commensu-
rates as well as distributed delays can appear in (1).
The symbol \(|\cdot|\) stands for the Euclidean norm of
a real vector, or the induced Euclidean norm of
a matrix. A function \(u\) is said to be essen-
tially bounded if \(\text{ess sup}_{t \geq 0} |u(t)| < \infty\). We
indicate the essential supremum norm of an
essentially bounded function with the symbol
\(\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\). For given times \(0 \leq T_1 < T_2\), we
indicate with \(u_{[T_1, T_2]} : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m\) the
very simple and natural, is the following:
almost everywhere, the Lebesgue mean of suit-
able functions of the input converges to zero
as the interval of integration decreases to zero.

As far as the assumption A1 is concerned, it
can be taken care of in the choice of Liapunov-
Krasovskii functionals by the facts that a lo-
ably Lipschitz function of an absolutely con-
tinuous function returns an absolutely continu-
ous function, and that the integral of a con-
tinuous function (the initial conditions of the
functional differential equation are assumed continuous) returns an absolutely continuous
function. In the delayless case, since euclidean
spaces are considered, the locally Lipschitz
property of the Liapunov function is sufficient
for the assumption A1 to hold.

As far as the assumption A2 is concerned, the
Theorem 4.2.3, pp. 258 in (Burton, 1985) (due
to Driver, 1962), states that, when the func-
tion describing the (time varying) functional
differential equation is continuous and locally
Lipschitz with respect to the state argument,
and the Liapunov-Krasovskii functional is lo-
ably Lipschitz, then such assumption holds
(moreover the equality claimed in A2 holds
everywhere). Note that the hypothesis of con-
tinuity of the function describing the delay dif-
ferential equation would involve, for the sys-
tems studied here, the hypothesis of continuity
of the input which in general is not possible to
introduce when disturbances are considered.

On the basis of the Theorem 4.2.3 in (Burton,
1985), conditions for the assumption A2 to
hold without assuming continuity of functions
involved in the system equations are studied
here. The Carathéodory conditions are in-
stead assumed.

It is shown here that the locally Lipschitz
condition of the Liapunov-Krasovskii func-
tional, and a further condition, besides the
Carathéodory and locally Lipschitz ones, on
the function describing the system dynamics
and on the input function are sufficient for A2
to hold.

Such further condition, which appears to be

Krasovskii functional derivative (computed by
using everywhere the functional differential
equation, without knowing the solution) eval-
uated at the solution and at the forcing input.

The assumption A1 must be introduced be-
cause otherwise the non positivity, almost ev-
erywhere, of the upper right-hand Dini deriva-
tive of the time function obtained by evaluat-
ing the Liapunov-Krasovskii functional at the
solution is not sufficient for such time func-
tion to be non-increasing (as proved by the fa-
mous counter example of the devil’s staircase).
The assumption A2 must be introduced in or-
der to get the utility of the Liapunov’s second
method for practical use, which stands upon
the fact that it does not require the knowledge
of the solution.
function given by \( u(T,x) = u(t) \) for all \( t \in [T_1, T_2] \) and \( = 0 \) elsewhere. An input \( u \) is said to be locally essentially bounded if, for any \( T > 0, u_{[0,T]} \) is essentially bounded. A function \( w : [0,b) \to R, 0 < b \leq +\infty \), is said to be locally absolutely continuous if it is absolutely continuous in any interval \( [0,c], 0 < c < b \). \( L_2([-\Delta,0]; R^n) \) is the space of square Lebesgue integrable functions from \([-\Delta,0] \) to \( R^n \).

The following standard hypothesis (see Hale and Lunel, 1993, Kolmanovskii and Myshkis, 1999) is assumed throughout the paper:

\( H_{p0} \) The function \( f : C([-\Delta,0]; R^n) \times R^m \to R^n \) and the input function \( u : R^+ \to R^m \) are such that the function \( g : C([-\Delta,0]; R^n) \times R^+ \to R^n \) given, for \((\phi,t) \in C([-\Delta,0]; R^n) \times R^+ \), by \( g(\phi,t) = f(\phi,u(t)) \), is bounded on any bounded set \( U \subset C([-\Delta,0]; R^n) \times R^+ \) (the set \( C([-\Delta,0]; R^n) \) being endowed with the supremum norm), and satisfies the Carathéodory conditions in \( C([-\Delta,0]; R^n) \times R^+ \).

**Remark 1.** As is well known, from the hypothesis \( H_{p0} \) it follows that the system (1) admits a unique solution on a maximal interval \([0,b), 0 < b \leq +\infty \), which is (componentwise) locally absolutely continuous and, if \( b < +\infty \), is unbounded in \([0,b) \) (see Section 2.6, pp. 58 in Hale and Lunel, 1993, and Sections 2.2 and 2.4, pp. 96, 100 in Kolmanovskii and Myshkis, 1999).

In the following, the continuity of a functional \( V : C([-\Delta,0]; R^n) \to R^+ \) with respect to the supremum norm. Given a continuous functional \( V : C([-\Delta,0]; R^n) \to R^+ \), the derivative \( D^+ V \) of the functional \( V \) is defined by (see Burton, 1985, Definition 4.2.4, pp. 258)

\[
D^+ V(\phi,v) = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \sup \frac{1}{h} (V(\phi_h^* \phi) - V(\phi)).
\]

(3)

where \( \phi_h^* \in C([-\Delta,0]; R^n) \) is given by

\[
\phi_h^*(s) = \begin{cases} \phi(s + h), & s \in [-\Delta, -h], \\ \phi(0) + f(\phi,v)(h + s), & s \in (-h,0]. \end{cases}
\]

(4)

The functional \( D^+ V \) is generalized because it can take infinite values (see Kolmanovskii and Myshkis, 1999, pp. 205), it is computed by using the system equations without knowing the solution.

3. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.** Let the function \( u \) in (1) be measurable and locally essentially bounded. Let \( V : C([-\Delta,0]; R^n) \to R^+ \) be a continuous functional. Let the function \( f \) and the function \( u \) in system (1) and the functional \( V \) satisfy the following hypotheses:

\( H_{S_1} \) \( \forall \psi \in C([-\Delta,0]; R^n), \) there exist a neighborhood of \( \psi \) and a locally bounded functional \( K_{\psi} : R^m \to R^+, \) such that, \( \forall \phi_1, \phi_2 \) in that neighborhood, \( \forall \psi \in R^m, \) the inequality holds

\[
|f(\phi_1,v) - f(\phi_2,v)| \leq K_{\psi}(v) \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{\infty};
\]

(5)

such that:

i) \( \forall \psi \in C([-\Delta,0]; R^n), \) there exists a positive real \( M_\psi \) such that, \( \forall \phi_1, \phi_2 \in R^m, \) the inequality holds

\[
|f(\psi,v_1) - f(\psi,v_2)| \leq M_\psi P(v_1,v_2);
\]

(6)

ii) the input function \( u \) satisfies, for almost all \( t \in R^+ \),

\[
\limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} P(u(s),u(t)) ds = 0;
\]

(7)

\( H_{S_2} \) \( \forall \psi \in C([-\Delta,0], \) there exist a neighborhood of \( \psi \) and a function \( L_{\psi}, \) such that, \( \forall \phi_1, \phi_2 \) in that neighborhood, the inequality holds

\[
|V(\phi_1) - V(\phi_2)| \leq L_{\psi} \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{\infty}.
\]

(8)

Let \( x(t) \) be the locally absolutely continuous solution of system (1) in a maximal interval \([0,b), \) \( w : \) \( R^+ \to R \) be the function defined by \( w(t) = V(x_t). \) Let \( D^+ w \) be the upper right-hand Dini derivative of \( w, \) that is

\[
D^+ w(t) = \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{w(t+h) - w(t)}{h}.
\]

(9)

Then, almost everywhere,

\[
D^+ w(t) = D^+ V(x_t,u(t)), \quad t \in [0,b).
\]

(10)

**Proof.** Let \( x_t \) be the function obtained from the solution \( x(t) \) of (1) as usual. Let \( t^* \in [0,b). \) Let us consider the following system with unknown variable \( \bar{x} \)
\[ \dot{x}(t) = f(x_t, u(t^*)), \quad t \geq t^*, \]
\[ \dot{x}_r(\tau) = x_r(\tau), \quad \tau \in [-\Delta, 0], \]  
(11)

Let \( \dot{x}(t), t \geq t^* \), be the solution of system (11) and let \( \dot{x}_r \) be the function obtained from \( \dot{x}(t) \) as usual. From (3) (4) it follows that
\[ D^+V(x_{t^*}, u(t^*)) = \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{V(\dot{x}_{t^*+h}) - V(x_{t^*})}{h} \]
(12)

From (12), from (9) i.e. from
\[ D^+w(t^*) = \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{V(x_{t^*+h}) - V(x_{t^*})}{h} \]
and from the equalities
\[ V(x_{t^*+h}) - V(x_t) = \frac{V(x_{t^*+h}) - V(\dot{x}_{t^*+h})}{h} + \frac{V(\dot{x}_{t^*+h}) - V(x_{t^*})}{h} \]
(14)

it follows right by the definition of \( \limsup \) that, in order to prove the theorem, it is sufficient to prove that, for almost all \( t^* \in [0, b) \),
\[ \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{|V(x_{t^*+h}) - V(\dot{x}_{t^*+h})|}{h} = 0. \]
(15)

From the hypotheses \( HS_1, HS_2, HS_3 \), since the functions \( t \to x_t, t \to \dot{x}_r \) are continuous (see Lemma 2.1, pp. 40, in Hale and Lunel, 1993), it follows that, for sufficiently small \( h < \Delta \),
\[ |V(x_{t^*+h}) - V(\dot{x}_{t^*+h})| \leq \]
\[ \leq L_{x_r} \|x_{t^*+h} - \dot{x}_{t^*+h}\|_{\infty} \leq \]
\[ \leq L_{x_r} \sup_{\theta \in [-\Delta, 0]} |x_{t^*+h}(\theta) - \dot{x}_{t^*+h}(\theta)| = \]
\[ = L_{x_r} \sup_{\theta \in [-h, 0]} |x_{t^*+h}(-h) + \]
\[ + \int_{t^*}^{t^*+\theta} f(x_s, u(s)) \, ds - x_{t^*+h}(-h) - \]
\[ - \int_{t^*}^{t^*+\theta} f(x_s, u(t^*)) \, ds \leq \]
\[ \leq L_{x_r} \sup_{\theta \in [-h, 0]} \int_{t^*}^{t^*+\theta} |f(x_s, u(s)) - f(x_s, u(t^*))| \, ds \leq \]
\[ \leq L_{x_r} \int_{t^*}^{t^*+h} |f(x_s, u(s)) - f(x_s, u(s)) + \]
\[ + f(x_s, u(s)) - f(x_s, u(t^*))| \, ds \leq \]
\[ \leq L_{x_r} \int_{t^*}^{t^*+h} K_{x_r}(u(s)) \|x_s - x_{t^*}\|_{\infty} \, ds + \]
\[ + L_{x_r} \int_{t^*}^{t^*+h} M_{x_r} P(u(s), u(t^*)) \, ds \]
(16)

Since the function \( u \) is locally essentially bounded, the function \( K_{x_r} \), is locally bounded, the function \( t \to x_t \) is continuous, it follows that
\[ \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \int_{t^*}^{t^*+h} K_{x_r}(u(s)) \|x_s - x_{t^*}\|_{\infty} \, ds = 0. \]
(17)

From the hypothesis \( HS_2 \) it follows that, for almost all \( t^* \in [0, b) \),
\[ \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \int_{t^*}^{t^*+h} M_{x_r} P(u(s), u(t^*)) \, ds = 0, \]
(18)
and the theorem is proved. \( \blacksquare \)

**Remark 3.** As far as the hypothesis \( HS_2 \) is concerned, note that, if
\[ P(v_1, v_2) = |v_1 - v_2|, \]
then (7) becomes
\[ \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \int_{t}^{t+h} |u(s) - u(t)| \, ds = 0, \quad a.e. \]
(20)

**Theorem 4.** Let \( V : C([-\Delta, 0]; \mathbb{R}^m) \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) be a continuous functional. Let there exist a functional \( D_V : \mathbb{C}([-\Delta, 0]; \mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R} \) such that, for any function \( f \), input \( u \) and initial condition \( x_0 \) in (1), the following proposition holds true: if the solution \( x(t) \) of system (1) admits finite right-hand derivative at zero, then the following equality holds
\[ \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{V(x_h) - V(x_0)}{h} = \]
\[ D_V \left( x_0, \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{x(h) - x(0)}{h} \right). \]
(21)

Let \( w : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R} \) be the function defined by \( w(t) = V(x_t) \). Let \( D^+w \) be the upper right-hand Dini derivative of \( w \) (see (9)).

Then, almost everywhere,
\[ D^+w(t) = D^+V(x_t, u(t)), \quad t \in [0, b). \]
(22)

**Proof.** Let \( \phi \in C([-\Delta, 0]; \mathbb{R}^m), \; v \in \mathbb{R}^m \), Let us consider the following system with unknown variable \( \dot{x} \)
\[ \dot{x}(t) = f(\phi, v), \quad t \geq 0, \]
\[ \dot{x}(\tau) = \phi(\tau), \quad \tau \in [-\Delta, 0], \]
(23)
Let \( \dot{x}(t), \ t \geq 0, \) be the solution of system (23) and let \( \dot{x}_1 \) be the function obtained from \( \dot{x}(t) \) as usual. From (3) (4) it follows that
\[
D^+ V(\phi, v) = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{V(\dot{x}_h) - V(\phi)}{h} \tag{24}
\]
Since the equality (21) holds for any \( f, u, \xi_0, \) from (24) and (21) the equalities follow
\[
D^+ V(\phi, v) = D_V \left( \phi, \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{\dot{x}(h) - \dot{x}(0)}{h} \right) = D_V (\phi, f(\phi, v)) \tag{25}
\]
Since the system (1) and the functional \( V \) are time invariant, the equality (21) holds for any \( f, u, \xi_0, \) and the solution \( x(\cdot) \) admits almost everywhere in \([0,b]\) finite right-hand derivative, the equality
\[
D^+ w(t) = D_V \left( x_t, \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{x(t+h) - x(t)}{h} \right) \tag{26}
\]
holds almost everywhere in \([0,b]\). Since, for almost all \( t \in [0,b], \)
\[
\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{x(t+h) - x(t)}{h} = f(x_t, u(t)) \tag{27}
\]
it follows that, for almost all \( t \in [0,b], \)
\[
D^+ w(t) = D_V (x_t, f(x_t, u(t))) = D^+ V(x_t, u(t)) \tag{28}
\]

**Remark 5.** In order to check the hypotheses of Theorem 4 it is not necessary to check whether the solution admits finite right-hand derivative at zero. For instance, the well known Liapunov-Krasovskii functional
\[
V(\phi) = \phi^T (0) Q \phi(0) + \int_{-\Delta}^0 \phi^T (\tau) S \phi(\tau), \tag{29}
\]
with \( Q, S \) symmetric positive matrices, satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 4. In this case
\[
D_V \left( \xi_0, \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{x(h) - x(0)}{h} \right) = \xi_0^T (0) S \xi_0 (0) - \xi_0^T (-\Delta) S \xi_0 (-\Delta) + 2 \xi_0^T (0) Q \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{x(h) - x(0)}{h} \tag{30}
\]

**Remark 6.** As far as the delayless case
\[
\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)), \quad t \geq 0, \quad a.e. \tag{31}
\]
is concerned, when \( f \) is continuous and the input \( u \) is continuous, then the Theorem 4.3, App. I, in (Rouche et al., 1977) (due to Yoshizawa, 1966), states that locally Lipschitz Liapunov functions yield that the assumption A2 (in a form equivalent for the delayless case) holds. In the case that only Carathéodory conditions are assumed, results parallel to the ones shown here for the delayed case hold true. If locally Lipschitz Liapunov functions are used, then the assumptions A1 and A2 hold true, provided that the hypotheses \( HS_1, HS_2 \) (rewritten substituting \( C([\Delta,0]; R^n) \) with \( R^n \)) are satisfied. However, when the Liapunov functions are chosen continuously differentiable (see Sontag 1989, Lin et al., 1996, Sontag, 1998, Sontag, 2000, Angeli et al., 2000), no further hypothesis, besides \( HP_0 \) (rewritten in the equivalent form for the delayless case), is needed for system (31), in order to have A1 and A2 to hold.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a basic property that a Liapunov-Krasovskii functional must have when used for studying the stability of time delay systems under Carathéodory conditions is studied. Time invariant time delay systems forced by measurable, locally essentially bounded inputs are considered. This property consists of the equality, almost everywhere, between the upper right-hand Dini derivative of the time function obtained by evaluating the Liapunov-Krasovskii functional at the solution, and of the Liapunov-Krasovskii derivative (computed by using everywhere the system dynamics), evaluated at the solution and at the input. Sufficient conditions on the Liapunov-Krasovskii functional, on the function involved in the system dynamics and on the input are given such that this property holds.
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