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Abstract:
This paper addresses the problem of obtaining finite dimensional models of distributed-parameter systems from measurement data using system identification. The data are used to construct approximations of the solution and the forcing function in a finite dimensional space, which are expressed in terms of a finite element basis. A discrete time model is then identified based on the resulting finite dimensional coordinate vector. The existence and convergence of such a representation is established for a class of abstract first order systems. The proposed approach is illustrated in practice using simulated noise contaminated data.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In most practical cases, the analysis, simulation and control of a distributed parameter system, which is described by partial differential equations (PDE’s) and is characterised by an infinite dimensional state-space, cannot be solved using only analytical methods (Bensoussan et al., 1992). The solution in these cases is to replace the original infinite dimensional PDE description with a finite dimensional approximation which captures, with sufficient accuracy, the properties of the original PDE.

Different techniques can be applied to transform the original PDE into an approximate system of ordinary differential or difference equations. The most commonly used approaches are the finite difference and the finite element methods. All methods require knowledge of the form and parameters of the PDE’s describing the distributed parameter system.

This paper addresses this problem from a system identification perspective. The idea is to obtain the finite dimensional approximate model from measurements, without assuming any a priori knowledge of the structure or the parameters of the PDE’s. There is a large body of work in the area of parameter estimation for distributed parameter systems. The literature dealing with this subject is quite extensive and has been reviewed by a number of authors (Kubrusly, 1977; Goodson and Polis, 1978). An in depth treatment of this subject can also be found in the monograph by Banks and Kunish (Banks and Kunish, 1989). However, the problem of identifying the model equations as well as the parameters has not been addressed.

The proposed approach involves two basic steps. In the first stage, finite dimensional approximations of the solution and the forcing functions are derived from the data. The approximations are expressed in terms of a suitably conditioned finite element basis that accounts for the boundary conditions that are assumed known. If the number of degrees of freedom is too large the dimension of the coordinate vector can be reduced by successive projections onto a lower dimensional subspaces subject to maintaining a certain degree of accuracy. System identification techniques are employed in the second stage to estimate a discrete-time model based on the resulting coordinate vector.

The theoretical aspects of the proposed identification approach are also investigated. In particular the existence, stability and convergence of the finite dimen-
sional models are established for a class of first order systems. The proposed approach is illustrated using simulated noise contaminated data.

2. THE EVOLUTION EQUATION

Let $H$ be a separable real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\| \cdot \|$ and $V$ another separable Hilbert space which is embedded continuously and densely in $H$. Here $H$ is identified with its own dual space. Let $V^*$ denote the dual space of $V$ and $\| \cdot \|_*$ denote the norm on $V^*$. It follows that $V \subset H \subset V^*$ with continuous and dense embeddings. Specifically the following inequality is assumed to hold

$$\| \varphi \| \leq \lambda^{-1/2} \| \varphi \|_*= \lambda^{-1/2} \| \varphi \|_* \quad (1)$$

The notation $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ will also be used to denote the duality pairing between $V$ and $V^*$ where the pairing between $\varphi \in H$ and $\psi \in V$ agrees with the inner product $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle$. It follows that $\| \varphi \|_* \leq \lambda \| \varphi \|$ and $\| \psi \|_* \leq \lambda^2 \| \psi \|$. Often in practice it will be assumed that $H = L^2(\Omega)$, $V$ is the Sobolev space $H^1(\Omega)$ with dual $V^* = \mathcal{H}^{-1}(\Omega)$.

Consider the following evolution equation

$$\frac{du}{dt} + Au = v(t) \quad (2)$$
$$u(0) = u_0 \quad (3)$$

and the equivalent variational formulation

$$\langle \frac{du}{dt}, \varphi \rangle + \langle Au, \varphi \rangle = \langle v(t), \varphi \rangle, \quad (4)$$
$$u(0) = u_0, \forall \varphi \in V \quad (5)$$

where it is assumed that:

(A1) $A \in \mathcal{L}(V, V^*)$.

(A2) The operator $A$ is coercive that is $\langle A\varphi, \varphi \rangle \geq \alpha \| \varphi \|^2, \forall \varphi \in V$ for some $\alpha > 0$.

(A3) The forcing function $v(t) \in C([\mathbb{R}_+; H) \cap L^2(0,T;H)$ is bounded, i.e. $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} |v(t)| \leq v_S$.

A solution of the initial value problem (2), (3) is a function $u \in L^2(0,T;V)$ with $D_t u \in L^2(0,T;V^*)$ that satisfies (2) and (3) for all $T > 0$. Specifically, here it is assumed that

(A4) $u(t,x) \in C([\mathbb{R}_+; H) \cap L^2(0,T;V), T > 0$ is a unique solution of (2), (3).

The equation (2) is usually complemented by boundary conditions which can be of the Dirichlet, Neumann or periodicity type for example. These can be accommodated by considering restrictions of $A$ and $v$ to corresponding closed subspaces $V$.

3. THE IDENTIFICATION METHOD

In general, the numerical integration of evolution equations is based on a finite dimensional approximation of the original infinite dimensional system.

The idea is to reduce the infinite dimensional system to a system of ordinary differential or difference equations which can be used either to compute an approximate solution or to design the controller. Most common approaches include the finite difference method and the finite element Galerkin method (FEM) (Brenner and Ridgway Scott, 1994).

The problem addressed in this paper is that of estimating from data, a finite dimensional, discrete-time dynamical system which approximates with sufficient accuracy the unknown infinite dimensional system. This approach assumes no a priori knowledge of the PDE’s which governs the distributed parameter system. The identification method can be viewed as an inverse finite element Galerkin approach where the solution is used to derive the finite dimensional model rather than the original PDE’s.

The identification is performed in two stages. In the first stage the data are used to construct approximations of the solution and the forcing function in a finite element subspace $V^n$. This involves computing the input and output coordinate vectors relative to the finite element basis. The second stage involves identifying a finite dimensional, discrete-time model which approximates this input/output behaviour.

3.1 Approximation Results

Let $\ldots V^n \subset V^{n+1} \subset \ldots \subset V$ with $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ be a sequence of nested finite dimensional subspaces of $H$ which is dense in $V$ and are spanned by a finite dimensional basis $\{ \varphi_j^n \}_{j=0}^n$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of $n$ such that for any $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j \varphi_j^n(x)$ in $V^n$

$$\sum_{j=0}^n |c_j|^2 \leq C_1 |f|^2 \quad (6)$$

For example, this condition is satisfied in the case of the B-spline basis. Let

$$y_n(t,x) = \sum_{j=0}^n y_{n,j}(t) \varphi_j^n(x), \quad t > 0 \quad (7)$$
$$v_n(t,x) = \sum_{j=0}^n v_{n,j}(t) \varphi_j^n(x) \quad t > 0 \quad (8)$$

denote the approximations of $u$ and $v$ respectively in $V^n$ such that $y_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(0,T;V)$ and $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L^2(0,T;H)$.

The following theorem establishes the existence, stability and convergence of a finite dimensional dynam-
Theorem 3.1. Assuming (A1)-(A4) to hold, let \( u(t,x) \) be the unique solution of (2) with initial conditions (3) and forcing function \( v(t,x) \). Let \( v_n(t) \) be the coordinate vectors of \( v_n(t,x) \) and \( y_n(t,x) \) defined in (8) and (7) respectively. An \( n+1 \)-dimensional dynamical system exists such that if \( u(t) = (u_{0}(t), \ldots, u_{n}(t)) \) is the trajectory of the system with input \( v_n(t) \) and initial conditions \( u_0(0) = y_0(0) \) and \( u_n(t,x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} u_{n,j}(t) \phi_j(t) \) then:

a) \( u_n(t,x) \) remain in a bounded set of \( L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;H) \) and \( u_n(t,x) \rightarrow y_n(t,x) \) strongly in \( L^2(0,T,H) \) and \( L^2(0,T,V) \) as \( n \rightarrow \infty \).

b) The trajectories \( u_n(t) \) belong to a bounded set of \( L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;L^2(0,\infty)) \) and \( u_n(t) \rightarrow y_n(t) \) in \( L^2(0,T;L^2(0,\infty)) \) as \( n \rightarrow \infty \).

Proof:

For each \( n = 0,1,2,\ldots \) define the operator \( A_n : V^n \rightarrow V^n \) by

\[
\langle Au_n, \varphi^n \rangle = \langle A_n u_n, \varphi^n \rangle, \quad \varphi^n \in V^n \tag{9}
\]

for any \( u_n \in V^n \). From the Riesz Representation Theorem (Naylor and Sell, 1989) applied to the Hilbert space \( V^n \subset H \) it follows that \( A_n \) is a well defined operator given that \( \langle A_n \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) is a bounded linear functional.

Consider the initial value problem in \( V^n \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{du_n}{dt} + A_n u_n &= v_n(t), \tag{10} \\
u_n(0) &= y_n(0) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
\]

which is an ordinary differential equation. For each \( n \geq 1 \) the existence of solutions on some interval \( (0,T_n) \) follows from standard theorems for ordinary differential equations. The \textit{a priori} estimates below show that these solutions are defined for all \( t > 0 \) (i.e. \( T_n = +\infty \)). From (10) and (9) it follows that for any \( \varphi^n \in V^n \), \( u_n \) is the solution in \( V^n \) of

\[
\langle \frac{du_n}{dt}, \varphi^n \rangle + \langle Au_n, \varphi^n \rangle = \langle v_n, \varphi^n \rangle \tag{12}
\]

\[
u_n(0) = y_n(0) \tag{13}
\]

For \( \varphi^n = u_n \) it follows that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \| u_n(t) \|^2 + \langle A u_n, u_n \rangle = \langle v_n, u_n \rangle \tag{14}
\]

Since \( A \) is coercive

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \| u_n(t) \|^2 + \alpha \| u_n \|^2 \leq |v_n| \| u_n \| \tag{15}
\]

and subsequently, using the well known inequality,

\[
a b \leq \frac{e}{2} a^2 + \frac{b^2}{2e} \tag{16}
\]

and (1) it follows that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \| u_n \|^2 + \alpha \| u_n \|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda \alpha} \| v_n \|^2 \tag{17}
\]

and subsequently, after using (1) again that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \| u_n \|^2 + \alpha \lambda \| u_n \|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda \alpha} \| v_n \|^2 \tag{18}
\]

Integrating (18) and using the classical Gronwall lemma yields

\[
|u_n|^2 \leq |u_n(0)|^2 e^{-\alpha \lambda t} + \frac{|v_n|^2}{(\alpha \lambda)^2} (1 - e^{-\alpha \lambda t}) \tag{19}
\]

where \( v_n = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |v_n| \). Therefore \( T_n \rightarrow +\infty \) as stated earlier i.e. the solution \( u_n \) is defined for all \( t > 0 \). It remains to prove that \( u_n \) converges to \( y_n \) as \( n \rightarrow \infty \). However, \( y_n \rightarrow u \) in \( L^2(0,T;V) \) and \( L^2(0,T;H) \) strongly as \( n \rightarrow \infty \). From the triangle inequality it follows that it is sufficient to show that \( u_n \rightarrow u \) strongly in \( L^2(0,T;V) \) as \( n \rightarrow \infty \).

Equation (19) implies that \( u_n \) remains in a bounded set of \( L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;H) \) as \( n \rightarrow \infty \). Going back to (17) it also follows that \( \| u_n \| \) is uniformly bounded for any \( t > 0 \) so that for any \( T > 0 \) \( u_n \) remains in a bounded set of \( L^2(0,T;V) \) as \( n \rightarrow \infty \).

These estimates ensure the existence of an element \( u' \) and a subsequence \( n' \rightarrow \infty \) such that for all \( T > 0 \), \( u_{n'} \rightarrow u' \) weakly in \( L^2(0,T;V) \) \( du_{n'}/dt \rightarrow du/dt \) weakly in \( L^2(0,T;V^*) \) and \( u_{n'} \rightarrow u' \) weak-star in \( L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;H) \), as \( n' \rightarrow \infty \). Owing to a classical compactness theorem (Temam, 1983) it follows that \( u_{n'} \rightarrow u' \) strongly in \( L^2(0,T;H) \) for all \( T > 0 \) as \( n' \rightarrow \infty \). By passing to the limit in (12) it follows that \( u' = u \) and the whole sequence converges to \( u \). The strong convergence result in \( L^2(0,T;V) \) follows easily by showing that the expression

\[
X_n = \frac{1}{2} \| u_n(T) - u(T) \|^2 + \int_{0}^{T} \| u_n - u \|^2 \, dt \tag{20}
\]

tends to zero as \( n \rightarrow \infty \).

If we expand \( u_n \) in equation (10) in terms of the finite element basis in \( V^n \) and take the inner product with \( \phi_j^0 \) for \( j = 0,\ldots,n \), this leads to the following system of differential equations

\[
M^n \frac{du_n}{dt} + E^n u_n = M^n v_n(t) \tag{21}
\]

where \( M^n_{ij} = \langle \phi_i^n, \phi_j^n \rangle \) and \( E^n_{ij} = \langle A \phi_i^n, \phi_j^n \rangle \).

The second part of the theorem follows easily since according to (6)

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{n} \| u_{n,j}(t) - y_{n,j}(t) \|^2 \leq C \| u_n - y_n \|^2 \tag{22}
\]
which after integrating with respect to $t$

$$
\int_0^T \sum_{j=0}^n |u_{n,j}(t) - y_{n,j}(t)|^2 \leq C_1 \int_0^T |u_n - y_n|^2 \quad (23)
$$

leads to the convergence result in $L^2(0,T; l^2(0,\infty))$.

3.2 Identification Problem

Consider the evolution equation (2) with Dirichlet boundary conditions satisfying (A1)-(A3) and $u(t,x)$ a solution satisfying (A4).

To account for the fact that in general it is not possible to measure the full state of the system, the following observation operator is introduced

$$\mathcal{Y}(\cdot): C([0,T], C(\Omega)) \to \mathcal{Y} \quad (24)$$

where $\mathcal{Y}$ is the observation space to which the measurements $y = \mathcal{Y}u$ belong.

In what follows it is assumed that point measurements are recorded from a finite number of locations distributed uniformly over the spatial domain. Here the data is spatially sampled at the $n-1$ nodal points $\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \ldots, \frac{n-1}{n}$. Note that this is not a strong requirement. Data which is not sampled at equally spaced points in the spatial domain can also be handled. For simplicity $\Omega$ is assumed one-dimensional, in particular $\Omega = (0,1)$. The results however are also valid for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d > 1$.

Specifically, in the case of discrete-discrete observations considered here, the observation operator is defined as

$$y_{n,n} = \mathcal{Y}u = \{ u(t_i, x_j) \}_{i=1,...,n} \quad (25)$$

and the observation space is $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$. This choice of observation operator requires that both $u(t,x)$ and $y(t,x)$ are continuous functions with respect to $x$.

It is assumed that in the time domain, the data is uniformly sampled over the interval $[0,T]$ of observation with a sampling time $\Delta t$. In practice it is assumed that both $\Delta x = \frac{1}{n}$ and $\Delta t$ are sufficiently small so that the full behaviour of the solution $u_n$ is captured.

Let $V^n$ be a finite dimensional subspace of $V$. The identification problem is to determine, based only on the given set of discrete observations $y_{N,n} = \{u(t_i, x_j)\}_{i=1,...,n}$ and $v_{N,n} = \{v(t_i, x_j)\}_{i=1,...,n}$ a finite dimensional dynamical system whose solution $u_n$ approximates the observed dynamical behaviour.

3.3 Finite Element Approximation

A common choice of finite element subspaces $V^n$ on $\Omega$ are the spaces of continuous piecewise polynomial functions defined with respect to a uniform mesh on $\Omega$. Let $\{ \phi^n_{j,n} \}_{j=0}^n$ be the standard $l$th order B-spline base (de Boor, 1978). In this case $V^n = \text{span}\{ \phi^n_{j,n} \}_{j=0}^n$ and $V$ is the Sobolev space $H^l(\Omega)$. Note that $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty V^n$ is dense in $H = L^2(\Omega)$ and $H^l(\Omega)$. Moreover, for the B-spline basis the inequality (6) holds.

When defining the approximation subspaces $V^n$ and the associated basis elements respectively, it is important to take into account the boundary conditions. For instance for zero Dirichlet boundary conditions $V = H^0_0$ and $V^n$ is the space of continuous, piecewise, $l$th order polynomial functions corresponding to the uniform partition $\{ 0, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \ldots, 1 \}$, which vanish at 0 and 1 and is denoted $S_n^{l,1}$. In practice the standard B-spline basis functions $\{ \phi^n_{j,n} \}_{j=0}^n$ can be modified to account for specific boundary conditions.

Let $y_n(t,x) = I_{n,1}^1 I_{n,1}^1 u(t,x)$ be the linear B-spline interpolation of the discrete data $y_{N,n} = \{ u(t_i, x_j) \}_{i=1,...,n}$ where $I_{n,1}^1$ and $I_{n,1}^1$ are the linear interpolation operators in $S_n^{l,1}(\{0,T\})$ and $S_n^{l,1}(\Omega)$ respectively. It follows that $y_n(t,x)$ can be expressed in terms of the two-dimensional linear tensor splines $\Phi_{N,n}^n(t,x) = \phi^N(t) \otimes \phi^n(x)$ such that

$$y_n(t,x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^n y_{n,j}(t) \Phi_{i,j}^N(t,x) \quad (26)$$

The interpolation $v_n(t,x)$ of the perturbation function from the pointwise data $v_{N,n}$ can be defined in a similar manner.

Choosing the optimal approximation subspace $V^n$, that is the mesh size $h = \frac{1}{n}$ is very important. In practice, the initial mesh size could be selected based on the frequency content of the solution along the spatial and temporal coordinates.

In identification, the mesh size is related to the number of measurement locations in the spatial domain. Recent developments in sensor technology mean that the number of measurement locations can be quite large and still cost-effective. For example, the data could represent a video recording of patterns in a chemical reaction or a sequence of MRI scans of brain activity.

As in the numerical integration of PDE’s, if the mesh is too fine the dimension of the resulting finite dimensional model will be too large and computationally expensive. Finding a more economical representation can be achieved by projecting the initial interpolate $y_n$ onto coarser spaces $V^{n-1}, V^{n-2}, \ldots$ in order to find the minimum number of basis functions $m = m_{\text{min}}$ for which the approximation error does not exceed a given threshold $\rho$. 
This section illustrates the identification of a finite dimensional, discrete-time dynamical model for the following diffusion equation (heat equation)

\[
\frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial t} - c \frac{\partial^2 u(t, x)}{\partial x^2} = 0, \quad (27)
\]

with domain \( \Omega = (0, 1) \), initial conditions

\[
u(0, x) = \begin{cases} 
2Bx & x \in (0, 0.5) \\
2B - 2Bx & x \in (0.5, 1) 
\end{cases} \quad (28)
\]

and Dirichlet boundary conditions. For \( B = \pi^2 \) the exact solution \( u(t, x) \) of the initial value problem (27), (28) is given by the following series expansion

\[
u(t, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{8(-1)^{k-1}}{(2k-1)^2} e^{-c(2k-1)^2\pi^2t} \sin((2k-1)\pi x). \quad (29)
\]

The solution, based on the first 50 terms of the expansion (29) with \( c = 1.0 \) was sampled uniformly in both the spatial and time domain with \( \Delta x = 1/128 \) and \( \Delta t = 0.5 \times 10^{-3} \).

From each location \( N=1000 \) data points were generated and superimposed with white noise with variance \( \sigma^2 = 0.01. \)

The data were interpolated using linear B-spline functions. The initial interpolated solution involving 127 basis functions was subsequently projected on a lower approximation subspace and expressed in terms of only 15 basis functions.

One thousand samples shown in Figure 1, corresponding to the noisy coordinate vector \( \tilde{y}_n(t) = (\tilde{y}_{n,1}(t), \ldots, \tilde{y}_{n,15}(t)) \), were used for identification. The data was used to estimate a MIMO-ARMA model (not given here for reasons of space) which included both deterministic and stochastic terms. The selection of the linear terms included in each of the 15 subsystems was performed using the Orthogonal Forward Regression algorithm (Billings et al., 1988).

The model prediction errors \( \epsilon_{n,k}(t) = y_{n,k}(t) - \tilde{y}_{n,k}(t) \), relative to the original noise-free coordinate vector \( y_n(t) \), plotted in figure (3), are very small with a NRMSE of less than 1%. The model output was used to compute the approximate PDE solution \( \tilde{y}(t, x) \) shown in Fig. (4).
Finite dimensional approximations of PDE’s play an essential role in the control and simulation of distributed parameter systems. This paper has developed, analysed and tested a method for deriving the finite dimensional approximation of a distributed parameter system, for which the governing PDE’s are not available, directly from noisy data using system identification.

However, even when the equations are known, this approach can be used to provide a more economical and even more accurate representation than the one obtained by classical methods. Indeed, in a companion paper it will be shown both in theory and by means of an example that, for a given subspace $V^n$, the identified model is more accurate than the equivalent finite element Galerkin approximation derived from the original PDE’s.
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