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Abstract

It is shown that a nonlinear continuous-time control system with output is externally dynamically linearizable if and only if its differential output universe is free. Some regularity conditions are assumed, but the system does not have to be observable. Using universes instead of algebras or fields is essential as they allow for substitutions and amalgamations of functions.

1 Introduction

Dynamic state feedback linearization has been studied for several years by many authors. To obtain a linear system one uses a dynamical system in a feedback loop (e.g. [3]) or state and control transformations that involve derivatives of states and outputs (e.g. [4, 9]). We consider here systems with output and are interested in linearizing the external behavior of the system using dynamic transformation of output and control. The concept of flatness [6] is close to our investigations as flat output is close to free generator of a universe – the main concept we use here (see [1, 5]). The difference lies in the problem: we are interested in transformation of output and control and not state and control. This requires getting state from output and control. The tools available in differential algebra are not enough to achieve this in an analytic case. Besides algebraic operations we need also substitutions into analytic functions and amalgamation (gluing-up) of partially defined functions. These are exactly the operations that constitute function universe.

We show that the differential output universe of a system is the only invariant of external dynamic equivalence. This is an extension of the result of Jakubczyk [4] which says that the differential algebra of a system without output is the only invariant of dynamic (state) feedback equivalence. In this case one can put \( y = x \) (the output is the entire state) and the universe may be replaced by the algebra. On the other hand our characterization of external dynamic equivalence is parallel to our earlier result for discrete-time system [2, 7] where the invariant was the difference output universe. The idea of the proof for the continuous-time case is similar to that of the discrete-time case so we omit the proof.

We do not have to assume observability as we show that the quotient system with respect to the indistinguishability relation has the same differential output universe as the original (unobservable) system and the two systems are externally dynamically equivalent. Thus the main construction can only be done for an observable system which allows for recovering the state from the output and the control.

The main result of this paper is an application of the theorem on equivalence and it states that a nonlinear continuous-time system with output is externally dynamically linearizable if and only if its differential output universe is free. This is again parallel to the discrete-time version given in [8].

2 External dynamic equivalence

Let us consider an analytic continuous-time control system defined on \( \mathbb{R}^n \):

\[
\Sigma : \dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)), \quad y(t) = h(x(t), u(t))
\]

where \( y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p \) and \( u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m \). By \( x(t, x_0, u) \) we will denote the solution at time \( t \) of the equation \( \dot{x} = f(x, u) \) that satisfies initial condition \( x(0) = x_0 \) and corresponds to control \( u \). We will assume that controls are analytic functions of time \( t \). By a trajectory of system \( \Sigma \) we will mean any triple of functions \((y(\cdot), x(\cdot), u(\cdot))\) defined on some interval that satisfies the equations of \( \Sigma \). Then the pair \((x(\cdot), u(\cdot))\) is an inner trajectory and the pair \((y(\cdot), u(\cdot))\) is an external trajectory of \( \Sigma \). The set of all inner (external) trajectories of the system \( \Sigma \) forms the inner (external) behavior of the system. It will be denoted by \( B^i(\Sigma) \) (respectively by \( B^e(\Sigma) \)).

By \( J(r) \) we will denote the space of all infinite sequences \((s(i))_{i \geq 0}, s(i) \in \mathbb{R}^r \). We will denote \( S = (s(i))_{i \geq 0} \). The space \( J(r) \) may be interpreted as the space of jets at \( t = 0 \) of smooth functions \( s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r \). Elements \( S \in J(s) \) are called infinite jets.

We shall consider real maps defined on the space of infinite jets. We assume that such maps depend only on a finite number of elements of the sequence \( S \) (but the number of these elements depends on the given map \( \phi \)). In this case we say that function \( \phi \) is finitely presented. A map \( \phi : J(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^f \) is finitely presented if all its components have this property.

Let us denote by \( X \in J(n), Y \in J(p) \) and \( U \in J(m) \) - the infinite jets of, respectively, states, outputs and controls. Let us
consider two continuous-time systems

\[
\Sigma: \quad \dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma': \quad \dot{x}(t) = \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}(t), \tilde{u}(t))
\]

where \(x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tilde{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n, y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p, \tilde{y}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p, u(t), \tilde{u}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m, t \in \mathbb{R}\). We say that \(\Sigma\) and \(\Sigma'\) are dynamically externally equivalent, if there exist transformations:

\[
y = \phi^*(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{U}), \quad u = \psi^*(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{U})
\]

where \(\phi^*, \tilde{\phi}^*, \psi^*, \tilde{\psi}^*\) are finitely presented maps of the class \(C^\omega\) such that the induced maps on pairs \((y(\cdot), u(\cdot))\) and \((\tilde{y}(\cdot), \tilde{u}(\cdot))\) transform external behavior of one system onto external behavior of the second one and are mutually inverse on these behaviors. Then the relation between the external trajectories of both systems can be written as follows:

\[
y(t) = \phi^*(\tilde{y}(t), \tilde{u}(t)), \ldots, \phi^*(\tilde{y}(t), \tilde{u}(t), \ldots, \tilde{u}(t)), \ldots, \phi^*(\tilde{y}(t), \tilde{u}(t), \ldots, \tilde{u}(t), \ldots, \tilde{u}(t))
\]

\[
u(t) = \psi^*(\tilde{y}(t), \tilde{u}(t)), \ldots, \psi^*(\tilde{y}(t), \tilde{u}(t), \ldots, \tilde{u}(t)), \ldots, \psi^*(\tilde{y}(t), \tilde{u}(t), \ldots, \tilde{u}(t), \ldots, \tilde{u}(t))
\]

for some \(k \geq 0\). Similarly for \((\tilde{y}(t), \tilde{u}(t))\).

We say that the system \(\Sigma\) is dynamically externally linearizable if it is dynamically externally equivalent to a linear minimal one (i.e. controllable and observable).

## 3 Function universes

Let \(X, Y\) be sets. A partially defined function on \(X\) with values in \(Y\) is any map \(\varphi: A \rightarrow Y\), where \(A \subseteq X\) is called domain of \(\varphi\) and denoted by \(\text{dom}\varphi\). If \(\text{dom}\varphi = X\) then \(\varphi\) is global. Let \(Y_X\) be the set of all partially defined functions on \(X\). One can extend any \(\varphi \in Y_X\) to one defined on \(X\) by assigning \(\varphi(x) = \emptyset_0\) for \(x \notin \text{dom}\varphi\). We call \(\emptyset_0\) the phantom. Now \(\text{dom}\varphi = \{x \in X : \varphi(x) \neq \emptyset_0\}\). If \(a \in Y, x \in X\) then we set \(ax(x) := a\).

Let \(A_0\) denote the set of functions of class \(C^\omega\), partially defined on open subsets in \(\mathbb{R}^n\) with values in \(\mathbb{R}\). In particular, \(A_0\) can be identified with \(\mathbb{R} \cup \emptyset_0\). The topology in \(A_0\) can be defined as follows: a subset \(B \subset A_0\) is open if \(B = A_0\) or \(B\) is an open subset in \(\mathbb{R}\).

Functions \(\varphi, \psi \in Y_X\) are matching, if they take on the same values on \(\text{dom}\varphi \cap \text{dom}\psi\). Let us consider a set \(M \subseteq Y_X\) of functions that are matching and define a function \(\hat{M} \in Y_X:\)

\[
\hat{M}(x) = \emptyset_0 \text{ if no function in } M \text{ is defined at } x \text{ and } \hat{M}(x) = \varphi(x) \text{ for any function } \varphi \in M \text{ defined at } x.
\]

The process of constructing \(\hat{M}\) is called amalgamation of the functions of \(M\).

Let \(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k \in Y_X\) and \(F \in A_k\). Then \(F \circ (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)\) is a partially defined function on \(X\) given by \((F \circ (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k))(x) = F(\varphi_1(x), \ldots, \varphi_k(x))\) for \(x \in X\). If \(\varphi_i(x) = \emptyset_0\) or \((\varphi_1(x), \ldots, \varphi_k(x)) \notin \text{dom} F\) then \(F(\varphi_0(x), \ldots, \varphi_n(x)) = \emptyset_0\). The map \((\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k) \mapsto F \circ (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)\) is called a substitution.

A set \(U \subseteq Y_X\) containing \(0_X\) and closed under substitutions and amalgamation is called a function universe on the set \(X\) [Hj]. A function subuniverse of the universe \(U\) is a subset \(\hat{U} \subseteq U\) that is a function universe on \(X\). If \(U \subseteq U\), then function subuniverse generated by \(U\) is the smallest subuniverse of \(U\) containing \(H\) [1].

In a natural way a function universe \(U\) on \(X\) induces a topology on \(X\): the open sets have the form \(\text{dom}\varphi : \varphi \in U\).

Let \(U_1, U_2\) be function universes on \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) respectively. A map \(\tau : U_1 \rightarrow U_2\) is a homomorphism of function universes \(U_1\) and \(U_2\) if

1. \(\tau(F \circ (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)) = F(\tau \varphi_1, \ldots, \tau \varphi_k)\) for \(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k \in U_1\), \(F \in A_k\)
2. \(\tau(M) = \tau(M)\) for any matching set \(M \subset U_1\)
3. \(\tau(0_X) = 0_{X_2}\)

If a homomorphism \(\tau\) is a bijective map then it is an isomorphism of function universes.

A differential universe is a function universe \(U\) together with an operator \(D : U \rightarrow U\) that satisfies the chain rule, i.e.

\[
D(F(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k) \cdot D\varphi_i
\]

for \(F \in A_k\) and \(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k \in U\). \(D\) is called a differential operator. A map \(\tau : U_1 \rightarrow U_2\) is a homomorphism of differential universes \((U_1, D_1)\) and \((U_2, D_2)\) if it is a homomorphism of universes and \(D_2 \circ \tau = \tau \circ D_1\).

Let \(U(n, m)\) denote the function universe of all real finitely presented functions of class \(C^\omega\) defined on open subsets of \(\mathbb{R}^n \times J(m)\).

By the differential operator associated with the system \(\Sigma\) we will mean the map \(D_\Sigma : U(n, m) \rightarrow U(n, m)\):

\[
D_\Sigma := \sum_{i \leq j \leq m} f_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_q} + \sum_{i, j} u_j^{(i+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j^{(i)}}
\]

where \(U = \{u_j^{(i)} : j = 1, \ldots, m : i \geq 0\} \in J(m)\). The second sum is treated as a formal sum. For \(\varphi \in U(n, m)\), \(D_\Sigma \varphi\) is a well defined, finitely presented function. If \(l \geq 2\), then \(D_{\Sigma}^2 \varphi := D_{\Sigma}(D_{\Sigma}^{-1} \varphi)\). The universe \(U(n, m)\) together with the operator \(D_\Sigma\) forms a differential universe called the differential universe of the system \(\Sigma\) and denoted by \(U_\Sigma\). By \(H_\Sigma\) we will denote the subuniverse of \(U_\Sigma\), called differential output universe of the system, generated (as a function universe) by functions \(h_k, D_{\Sigma} h_k, u_j^{(i)} \in U(n, m), k = 1, \ldots, p, j = 1, \ldots, m, i = 0, 1, \ldots\), where \(u_j^{(i)}\) is the coordinate function assigning to \((x, U)\) the \(i\)-th coordinate of the sequence \(U\).

The operator \(D_\Sigma\) may be interpreted as an abstract vector field on the space \(\mathbb{R}^n \times J(m)\) that corresponds to the following infinite system

\[
\dot{x} = f(x, u_0)
\]

\[
u_0 = u_1
\]

\[
u_1 = u_2
\]

\[\ldots\]

\[
y = h(x, u_0)
\]
4 Indistinguishability relation

We say that states \( x, z \) are indistinguishable, if for every control \( u \)
\[
h(x(t, x, u)) = h(x(t, z, u))
\]
for every \( t \geq 0 \) for which both sides are defined. Indistinguis-
hability relation is an equivalence relation. It will be
denoted by \( \sim \) and the equivalence class of element \( x \) by \([x]\).

Let us consider the map \( \Pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n / \sim =: \mathcal{M} \), \( \Pi(x) := [x] \).
\( \mathcal{M} \) is a topological Hausdorff space with quotient topology. On
this space let us define the quotient system:
\[
\Sigma : \overline{\pi}(t) = \overline{\tau}(\pi(t), \pi(t))
\]
\[
\overline{\pi}(t) = \overline{\pi}(\tau(t), \pi(t))
\]  
(6)

where \( \overline{\pi} := \Pi(x), \overline{\pi} := u, \overline{h} (\pi, \overline{\pi}) := h(x, u) \). \( \overline{\pi} \) is a vector
field on \( \mathcal{M} \) defined as a derivation operator by \( \overline{\pi}_x(\overline{\pi} \circ \Pi)(x) := f_xu = f_x(u) \) is
identified with a derivation. Then \( D_{\overline{\pi}} \), defined by
\( (D_{\overline{\pi}})(\overline{\pi}(x, U)) = D_{\overline{\pi}}( \overline{\pi}(\Pi, \Pi))(x, U) \), is the differential
operator for the quotient system. Functions \( \overline{\tau}, \overline{h} \) do not depend
on the choice of representatives (see [1] for more details).

Remark 4.1. The external behaviors of the original and quotient systems coincide. The original and quotient systems are
eexternally dynamically equivalent. It is enough to put \( \overline{y} := y \) and \( \overline{\pi} := u \).

Proposition 4.2. \( x \sim z \iff (D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k)(x, U) = (D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k)(z, U) \) for
any \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \).

Let \( \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\Sigma}} \) be the differential output universe of the quotient sys-
tem. It is generated by functions \( D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k \overline{\pi}, D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^j \overline{u} \), \( i, l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \),
\( k = 1, \ldots, p, j = 1, \ldots, m \).

Proposition 4.3. The differential output universes \( \mathcal{H}_\Sigma \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\Sigma}} \)
are isomorphic.

Proof. Let \( \Pi_x : \mathbb{R}^n \times J(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \times J(m), \Pi_x(x, U) :=
(\Pi(x), U) \). Let \( \overline{\tau} : \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\Sigma}}, (\overline{\varphi} \circ \Pi_\mathcal{M})(x, U) \).
Then \( \overline{\tau} = \Pi_x^*|_{\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{M}} \) and from Proposition 4.2 \( \Pi_x^*|_{\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{M}} \) is one-to-
one.

Let us consider a homomorphism of function universes
\[
\Pi_x^* : \mathcal{M} \times J(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{M} \times J(m).
\]
Then for any \( \overline{\varphi} \in \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\Sigma}} \)
\[
\Pi_x^*(D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k \overline{\pi})(x, U) = (D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k \overline{\pi})(\Pi(x), U)
\]  
\[
= (D_{\overline{\Sigma}}(\overline{\pi} \circ (\Pi, \Pi)))(x, U) =\frac{\overline{h}(x(t, u))}{\overline{h}(x(t, u))}
\]
\[
= (D_{\overline{\Sigma}}h)(x, U).
\]
Similarly we can show that \( \Pi_x^*(D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^j \overline{u}) = (D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^j h)(x, U) \)
for \( l = 1, 2, \ldots \). Moreover,
\[
\Pi_x^*(D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^j \overline{u})(x, U) = (D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^j \overline{u})(\Pi(x), U) =
\]
and similarly, \( \Pi_x^*(D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^j \overline{u})(x, U) = (D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^j h)(x, U) \) for \( l = 1, 2, \ldots \). Because functions \( \overline{\varphi}, D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k \overline{\pi}, D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^j \overline{u} \) are generators of \( \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\Sigma}} \)
and \( h, D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^j h, u \) are generators of \( \mathcal{H}_\Sigma \), hence \( \Pi_x^*(\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\Sigma}}) = \mathcal{H}_\Sigma \).
Bijectivity of the map \( \Pi_x^* \) implies that \( \tau = \Pi_x^*|_{\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\Sigma}}} \) is an isomorphism of function universes. Moreover, because
\[
(D_{\overline{\Sigma}}(\varphi\overline{\varphi})(x, U) = \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}(\varphi\overline{\varphi})(x, U) = \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}\varphi(\Pi(x, U))
\]
\[
= (D_{\overline{\Sigma}}\varphi)(\Pi(x, U)) = (D_{\overline{\Sigma}}\varphi)(x, U)
\]
\( \tau \) is an isomorphism of the differential universes. \( \square \)

5 Conditions of equivalence

The control system is observable if any two distinct points are
indistinguishable. It can be noticed that the quotient system is observable.

Let us consider a map \( T : \mathcal{M} \times J(m) \rightarrow J(p, m) \), where
\( J(p, m) \) denotes the space of jets of outputs and controls, de-
defined as follows:
\[
T(\overline{\pi}, \overline{U}) = (\overline{h}, D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k \overline{\pi}, D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k \overline{\pi}, \ldots, \overline{h}, D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k \overline{\pi}, D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k \overline{\pi}, \ldots)(\overline{\pi}, \overline{U})
\]
where \( \overline{U} = U \in J(m) \). Let
\[
T_{\overline{\pi}}(\overline{\pi}) = (\overline{h}, D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k \overline{\pi}, D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k \overline{\pi}, \ldots, D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k \overline{\pi})(\overline{\pi}, \overline{U}).
\]
For fixed \( \overline{U} \)
\[
T(\overline{\pi}) = (\overline{h}, D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k \overline{\pi}, D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k \overline{\pi}, \ldots, D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k \overline{\pi})(\overline{\pi}, \overline{U})
\]  
and
\[
T_{\overline{\pi}}(\overline{\pi}) = (\overline{h}, D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k \overline{\pi}, D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k \overline{\pi}, \ldots, D_{\overline{\Sigma}}^k \overline{\pi})(\overline{\pi}, \overline{U}).
\]
We say that \( T_{\overline{\pi}} \) is an immersion at point \( \overline{\pi} \) if there exists \( k \in \mathbb{N} \)
such that \( T_{\overline{\pi}} \) is an immersion at \( \overline{\pi} \).
From now on we shall assume the following
A1. \( \mathcal{M} \) is a manifold of the class \( C^\omega \).
A2. For every \( \overline{\pi} \in \mathcal{M} \) and every \( \overline{U} \in J(m) \) there exists \( k \in \mathbb{N} \)
such that \( T_{\overline{\pi}} \) is a proper map on some neighborhood of \( (\overline{\pi}, \overline{U}) \).
A3. For every \( \overline{U} \in J(m) \) the map \( T|_{\overline{\pi}} \) is an imbedding.

Theorem 5.1. Under conditions A1-A3 continuous-time sys-
tems \( \Sigma \) and \( \overline{\Sigma} \) are externally dynamically equivalent if and only
if their differential output universes \( \mathcal{H}_\Sigma \) and \( \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\Sigma}} \) are isomor-
phic.

6 Linearization

Let us recall that linear systems
\[
\Lambda : \dot{x} = Ax + Bu \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Lambda} : \dot{\tilde{x}} = A\tilde{x} + \tilde{B}u
\]
or shortly: \((A, B, C, D)\), \((\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{C}, \tilde{D})\) are equivalent with respect to a linear static state feedback if there exist matrices \(T, F, G\), such that \(T\) and \(G\) are invertible and \(A\) is transformed onto \(T\) by \(\tilde{A}\) by transformations \(\tilde{x} = T\tilde{x},\ u = F\tilde{x} + \tilde{G}\tilde{u}\) and vice versa.

**Lemma 6.1.** If two observable linear systems \((A, B, C, D)\) and \((\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{C}, \tilde{D})\) are equivalent with respect to a linear static state feedback transformations then they are equivalent with respect to a linear external dynamic transformations.

**Proof.** It is clear that for an observable linear system the state \(x(t)\) may be expressed as a function of \(y^{(k)}(t)\) and \(u^{(k)}(t)\), where \(k = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1\). Thus \(x = \gamma(Y, U)\) with \(\gamma\) a finitely presented function, and similarly \(\tilde{x} = \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{U})\).

The static state transformations \(x = T\tilde{x}, u = F\tilde{x} + \tilde{G}\tilde{u}\) - invertible, give the corresponding external dynamic transformations:

\[
y = CT\tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{U}) + DF\tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{U}) \quad \text{and} \quad u = F\tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{U}).
\]

Similarly in the other way.

We say that a differential universe \((U, D)\) is free if there exist functions \(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_r \in U\) such that

1. any finitely presented function \(\nu : J(p) \rightarrow A_0\) of class \(C^\omega : \nu \circ W \equiv 0 \Rightarrow \nu \equiv 0,\) where \(W = \{D^i\omega_j\}_{i=0}^{\alpha} \wedge j = \{0, 1, \ldots, r\}\).

2. for any \(\varphi \in U\) there exists a finitely presented function \(\nu : J(p) \rightarrow A_0\) of class \(C^\omega\) such that \(\varphi = \nu \circ W\).

The first condition means that there is no functional relation between \(\omega_i, i = 1, \ldots, r\) and their differentials. The second one says that any function from universe \(U\) can be expressed as a function on \(\omega_i\)’s and their differentials. Functions \(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_r\) are called free generators of universe \((U, D)\).

**Lemma 6.2.** Let \(\Lambda\) be a controllable linear system. The differential output universe \(\mathcal{H}_\Lambda\) of this system is free.

The proof is similar as in discrete-time case [8].

**Theorem 6.3.** The system \(\Sigma\) is externally dynamically linearizable if and only if \(\mathcal{H}_\Sigma\) is a free universe.

**Proof.** Implication “\(\Rightarrow\)” follows from Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 6.2. To prove the converse let us consider the systems

\[
\Sigma: \begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= f(x,u) \\
y &= h(x,u)
\end{align*} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda: \begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= \tilde{u}_i \\
\dot{y}_i &= \tilde{x}_i
\end{align*}
\]

where \(i = 1, \ldots, m, u \in \mathbb{R}^m\). Let \(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m\) be free generators of the universe \(\mathcal{H}_\Sigma\). Let us consider a map \(\tau: \mathcal{H}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\Lambda\) defined by \(\tau(u_i) = \tilde{x}_i, \tau(D\omega_i) = \tilde{u}_i\). The second condition of free universe allows us to extend \(\tau\) on the whole universe \(\mathcal{H}_\Sigma\) via substitutions. It is clear that \(\tau\) is “onto”. If \(\alpha\) is a finitely presented function, then

\[
\tau(\alpha(w_1, \ldots, w_m, D\omega w_1, \ldots, D\omega w_m, \ldots)) = \alpha(\tau w_1, \ldots, \tau w_m, \tau(D\omega w_1), \ldots, \tau(D\omega w_m), \ldots)
\]

Hence \(\tau\) is “1-1”. Moreover because \(\tau(D\omega w_i) = \tilde{u}_i = D\alpha \tilde{x}_i = D\tilde{\alpha} \tau w_i\) then \(\tau\) commutes with differential operators. Hence \(\tau: \mathcal{H}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\Lambda\) is an isomorphism and systems \(\Sigma\) and \(\Lambda\) are externally dynamically equivalent.

**Acknowledgement.** This work was supported by KBN through a Bialystok Technical University grant No W01/MF/1/02.

**References**


