Permeability of polymeric scaffolds with defined pore micro-architecture and interconnectivity fabricated by solid freeform microprinting
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Three-dimensional (3D) microprinting is a computerized fabrication technique that can produce porous objects with highly complicated pore micro-architecture using data generated by computer aided design (CAD) or other imaging modalities [1]. This technique has been used for fabrication of porous biodegradable polymeric scaffolds that are intended, by design, to have reproducible and well-defined pores and connections for skeletal tissue engineering applications [2-4]. Scaffold porosity and interconnectivity are important design variables for tissue regeneration [5,6]. One measure related to pore interconnectivity is hydraulic permeability, the flow velocity through a porous material at a fixed pressure gradient [7]. The objective of this work was to study the effect of pore size and interconnectivity (defined as the fraction of cubic empty spaces connected to the outside air) on hydraulic permeability of polymeric scaffolds with well design-defined interconnectivity and pore micro-architecture.

Commercial CAD software was used to create the original scaffold models of the cubic orthogonal geometry with different pore sizes. Each pore with six faces was connected to the adjacent pores by 300 µm diameter struts. These struts constituted the edges of the cubic lattice from which the pores were made. Opening-closing control of pores was implemented by closing a fraction of the pores of the original design randomly. All CAD data were converted to stereolithography (STL) files, imported to the 3D rapid prototyping machine, and printed by the PatternMaster’s build-jet (polystyrene) and support-jet (wax) materials. The build-jet material was dissolved in acetone, which made a wax mold with pores in the space previously occupied by the polystyrene. Next, degradable poly(propylene fumarate) (PPF) polymerizing macromer was injected into the mold, and the polymerizing mixture was allowed to crosslink. Finally, a porous PPF scaffold was made by melting and removing the wax. Hydraulic conductivity was measured using the falling head conductivity test based on Darcy’s law and hydraulic permeability was calculated by first multiplying the hydraulic conductivity by the viscosity of water, and then dividing the product by the specific weight of water.

As the pore size and the number of open pores were increased, porosity and hydraulic conductivity also increased. Porosities of PPF scaffolds with pore sizes of 600 and 900 µm were very similar to the values predicted from the original CAD models. Micro Computerized Tomography (µ-CT) imaging of a 600 µm pore scaffold (similar to the ones used in this permeability study) that was intended to have completely open connections between pores and with 600 µm struts showed the structure to be open and with few defects (Fig. 1a). A pore size analysis of the reconstructed 3D scaffold resulted in a calculated porosity of (55 ± 5)% (+ = u, the relative standard uncertainty of the mean of the porosity). The target porosity of the
CAD model was 50%. A smoothed plot of one measure of the pore size distribution calculated from the µ-CT images peaked near a pore with a radius of 650 µm, which is quite close to the target of 600 µm (Fig. 1b). The broad distribution of apparent pore sizes in Fig. 1b arises in part from the method of volume sampling in the image analysis method we used.
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Fig. 1a is a 3D view of a 600 µm pore scaffold that is similar to the ones used, except that struts are 600 µm thick. Micro-CT imaging analysis showed pores to be open and completely connected. The nominal distance from one edge of the scaffold to the opposite edge is 11 mm; distances between centers of the open, 600 µm, connections are 1,200 µm. Fig. 1b is a smoothed plot of pore fraction vs. pore size.
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Fig. 2a is permeability data of PPF scaffolds with different pore interconnectivities 100 to 70 % and pore sizes of 300, 600, and 900 µm. Fig.2b is permeability data of PPF scaffolds with a pore size of 300 µm only.

As the degree of interconnectivity was decreased from 100 % to 70 %, hydraulic permeability decreased by a factor of 10 ± 0.5, 2 ± 0.2, and 1.3 ± 0.1 (± = u) for nominal pore sizes of 300, 600, and 900 µm, respectively (Fig. 2a). The permeability of scaffolds having a pore size of 300 µm was particularly sensitive to changes in the interconnectivity. This may be
partly due to a small pore size that is close to the PatternMaster’s minimum printable size of 250 µm. In this case, the wax might not be completely removed and the remaining wax might be responsible for causing pore occlusion [8], especially as the number of closed pores increases and the ability to remove wax becomes increasingly difficult.

Our results reveal that hydraulic permeability depends on the micro-structure, such as pore size and pore interconnectivity, of polymeric scaffolds. Although fabrication of scaffolds with small pore sizes is still challenging, this new process can create shape specific biodegradable polymeric scaffolds with a design intended to produce well-defined reproducible micro-architectures for a variety of tissue engineering applications.
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