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Abstract—Lur’e systems, that are described by the feedback interconnection of a linear time invariant system and a nonlinear system, form an important class of nonlinear systems arising in many modern applications. A number of absolute stability criteria are available where the stability is guaranteed with the nonlinearity restricted to a pre-specified set. Most of these criteria provide sufficient conditions for absolute stability, thus lessening the conservativeness remains a challenge. A method of reducing conservativeness is to first estimate the part of the nonlinearity that is appropriate from an asymptotic sense followed by the application of a preferred absolute stability criteria to the more restricted nonlinearity. A comprehensive framework that incorporates the above approach is developed in this paper that employs a methodology based on Integral Quadratic Constraints as a means of describing the nonlinearity. It is shown that the developed framework can be used to conclude absolute stability of Lur’e interconnections where all of the existing criteria fail to be satisfied. Indeed, examples are provided where the nonlinearity does not fall into the classes assumed by existing absolute criteria. Another contribution of the article is the extension of IQC theory.

I. INTRODUCTION

In this work, we focus on an important class of nonlinear models given by the feedback interconnection of a linear time-invariant system \( G \) with a nonlinear block \( N \) (see Figure 1).

Models of this kind are known in the literature as Lur’e systems [1] and a large number of real systems have this structure. Recent examples are provided by Atomic Force Microscopes [2],[3] and other Microelectromechanical systems [4], [5], [6]. Many studies targeted the problem of “absolute stability”, where the stability is sought with respect to an entire class, and thus the related results provide a robust notion of stability. Classical results include the Popov (see [7]) and the circle criteria (see [8] and [9]) that provide sufficient conditions for global asymptotic stability when the nonlinearity is restricted to be time-invariant and time varying respectively. A relatively new approach is given by the Integral Quadratic Constraint (IQC) methods pioneered in [10]. In this approach, a quadratic constraint is used to characterize the nonlinearity playing the role of the sector condition used in classical absolute stability criteria. A challenge of the existing results is the possible conservativeness as the results provide only sufficient conditions. Thus it is possible that the nonlinearity does not satisfy the absolute stability criteria with the Lur’e interconnection being stable. Also, often it is possible that the nonlinearity does not fall under the standard sector conditions being assumed by many of absolute stability criteria and thus are inapplicable to the nonlinearity in question. Here too, the IQC framework provides a more general approach of describing the nonlinearity. Furthermore, since the prior sector conditions can be derived using the IQC approach, the IQC approach provides a powerful unifying theoretical framework.

In all the absolute stability approaches, the conditions on the linear and on the nonlinear parts are imposed separately. A closer coupling can be brought about by first estimating which is the important part of the phase space where the trajectory reside thereby limiting the real extent of the nonlinear regime being explored. This opens up a new way of reducing the conservativeness of the conditions for absolute stability. Indeed, it is possible that the nonlinearity admits a characterization, for example a sector condition, that is severe enough for the absolute stability result not to hold. However, it is possible that the nonlinearity that is eventually explored lies only in a restricted tractable region, for which standard tools of analysis can be employed. The above observation forms the central concept developed in the paper where a bounded region where the nonlinearity is eventually explored is estimated and then a preferred absolute stability criterion is applied to the restricted nonlinearity. We accomplish the estimate of the bounded region by extending Integral Quadratic Constraint techniques to analyze practical stability properties of the system. Similar concepts are suggested in [11] even though in a different context. Adequate machinery is developed to follow a time-domain analysis based on Lyapunov functions. In section III the problem of absolute stability is defined; in section IV and in section V the IQC framework is extended by the notions of the notion of Biased Local Quadratic Constraint (BLQC) and of Biased Integral Quadratic Constraint (BIQC); in section VI we show how dynamic BIQC’s can be translated to static BIQC’s and finally in section VII we provide illustrative examples of the presented techniques.

II. NOTATION

In this section we introduce the basic notation we will employ to present our results. First, we define a generalization of Positively Invariant sets
Definition 1: Let \( S \) be a dynamical system described by an initial condition problem
\[
\dot{x} = f(t,x), \quad x(t_0) = x_0
\]
where the uniqueness of solutions is guaranteed. Suppose that the state \( x \) of the system assumes values in a normed space \( D \) and that \( \phi(t,t_0,x_0) \) is the trajectory related to the initial condition \((t_0, x_0)\). We say that \( E \) is an eventually positively invariant (EPI) set for \( S \) if and only if, for all \( t_0 \) and for all \( x_0 \in E \), there exists \( t \geq t_0 \) such that
\[
\phi(t,t_0,x_0) \in E \quad \text{for all} \ t > T.
\]
As is clear from the definition, a trajectory starting from an initial condition \((t_0, x_0)\) in an EPI set \( E \) may leave the set, but there exists a time \( T \) after which it permanently remains in \( E \). We also remind the definition of a global attractor.

Definition 2: A subset \( E \) is a global attractor for the dynamical system (1) if and only if, for all \( x_0 \in D \) and for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists a time \( t_T = T(\varepsilon, x_0) \) such that, for any \( t > t_T \)
\[
\inf_{z \in E} ||\phi(t, t_0, x_0) - z|| < \varepsilon.
\]
Since we will deal with quadratic Lyapunov functions in finite dimensional state spaces, a handy notation for elliptical domains follows.

Definition 3: Let \( P \) be a strictly positive \( n \times n \) matrix and let \( H \) be a real nonnegative value. We define
\[
E_p(H) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^T Px \leq H \}.
\]
We will also need to decompose a signed function using non-negative functions.

Definition 4: Let \( y(t) \) be a real valued function. We define
\[
\gamma^+(t) := \begin{cases} y(t) & \text{if } y(t) \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
and \( \gamma^-(t) := (-y(t))^+ \).
Finally, we will denote by \( \hat{x}(\omega) \) the Fourier transform of the signal \( x(t) \).

III. Problem definition
Let us consider a Lur’e system depicted in Figure 1. Assume that the linear system \( G \) is strictly proper with transfer function \( G(s) \) and that the nonlinear block \( \Xi \) can be described by a possibly time-varying operator \( \Xi \). The differential equation representing such a feedback interconnection is symbolically expressed by
\[
y = G(d/dt) \Xi(t, y(t))
\]
where \( y \) is the output of the system. The problem we are considering is to derive conditions on \( G(s) \) and \( \Xi \) in order to determine the practical or asymptotic stability of the system. In the following sections we examine different kinds of quadratic constraints which, if fulfilled by the feedback operator \( \Xi \), allow the problem to be addressed via LMI’s.

IV. Biased Local Quadratic Constraints
We start our study by considering a strict form of quadratic constraints involving the input and the output of an operator \( \Xi \).

Definition 5: Given a constant matrix \( \Sigma \succeq 0 \), we define the quadratic form \( \sigma : \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \)
\[
\sigma(y, u) = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ u \end{pmatrix}^T \Sigma \begin{pmatrix} y \\ u \end{pmatrix}.
\]
We say that a nonlinearity \( \Xi(\cdot) \) satisfies the Biased Local Quadratic Constraint (BLQC) defined by \( \sigma \) with bias \( M > 0 \), if and only if
\[
\sigma(y(t), \xi(t)) + M \geq 0 \quad \text{for all} \ t > 0
\]
for any signals \( y(t), \xi(t) \in L_{2\nu} \), where \( \xi(t) = \Xi(y(t)) \). If the BLQC is satisfied for all \( M > 0 \), we say that (3) is an Unbiased Local Quadratic Constraint (ULQC).
Note that many conditions in absolute stability theory are expressed in the form of ULQC’s. Consider the standard sector condition for SISO nonlinearities which is employed in the Circle, Popov and many other criteria
\[
\alpha y^2 \leq y \Xi(y) \leq \beta y^2,
\]
where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are the sector bounds. It can be written in the equivalent matrix notation
\[
0 \leq \begin{pmatrix} y \\ \Xi \end{pmatrix}^T \Sigma \begin{pmatrix} y \\ \Xi \end{pmatrix} + M,
\]
in the SISO case, is satisfied by all the nonlinearities lying in a “hyperbolic sector”. This scenario is qualitatively depicted in Figure 1. We show the following result.

Theorem 4.1: Let \( S \) be the Lur’e system described by (2). Let \( G(s) \) be a strictly proper transfer function and let \((A, B, C, 0)\) be a minimal realization of \( G(s) \) with state \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \).
Suppose that \( \Xi \) satisfies the BLQC given by the quadratic form \( \sigma \)
\[
\sigma(y, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}^T \Sigma \begin{pmatrix} y \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} \geq -M
\]
with
\[
\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} Q & S^T \\ S & R \end{pmatrix}
\]
If there exists a solution \((P, r)\) to the following LMI
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
P^T A^T P + PA + C^T QC + rP & PB + C^T S \\ B^T P + S^T C & R
\end{bmatrix} < 0
\]
with \( P \in \mathbb{R}^{nxn} \), \( P = P^T \), \( P > 0 \), \( r \in \mathbb{R}^+ \), then, for every \( H > M/r \), the set \( E_p(H) \) is a positively invariant set and a global attractor for \( S \).

Proof: Consider the quadratic function \( V(x) = x^T P x \). The derivative of \( V(t) \) along a trajectory is given by
\[
\frac{dV}{dt} = x^T A^T P x + x^T P A x + \xi^T B^T P x + x^T P B \xi + \xi^T C^T Q C x + 2x^T C^T S \xi + \xi^T R \xi - \sigma(y, \xi) \leq -r x^T P x - \sigma(y, \xi).
\]
By hypothesis we have that
\[
\frac{dV}{dt} \leq -r x^T P x + M.
\]
Consider the set \( E_p(H) \).
First, we prove that there exists a time \( t_1 > 0 \) such that
V (x(t1)) < H which implies x(t1) ∈ EP(H).
By contradiction, V (x(t)) ≥ H for all t > 0. Let η be such
that 0 < η < H − M/r. Integrating both sides of (4) from 0
to yields

\[ V(x(t)) \leq V(x(0)) - r \int_0^t (x(\tau)^T P x(\tau) + M) d\tau \leq V(x(0)) - \eta \ t. \]

Since V(t) is non negative for all t, we obtain a contradiction
choosing t > x(t)/η.
Now, we prove that x(t) ∈ EP(H) for all t ≥ t1. This is
equivalent to say that t > t1 implies V(x(t)) ≤ H. The final
statement of the theorem follows by standard arguments.

V. BIASED INTEGRAL QUADRATIC CONSTRAINTS

BLQC’s are relations involving the input and the output of
an operator pointwise in time. A Biased Integral Quadratic
Constraint (BIQC), defined below, involves the input and the
output of an operator on an time interval (usually [0, +∞)).

**Definition 6:** A nonlinear function Ξ satisfies the BIQC
defined by σ with bias M > 0 if and only if

\[ \liminf_{t \to +\infty} \int_0^t [\sigma(y(\tau), \xi(\tau)) + M] d\tau \geq 0, \quad (5) \]

where \( \xi(t) = \Xi(y(t)) \). We also use Ξ ∈ BIQC, if Ξ
satisfies the condition (5). If \( Ξ \in BIQC(\sigma, M) \), for all \( M > 0 \), we say that Ξ satisfies the Unbiased Integral Quadratic
Constraint (UIQC) and abusing the notation we write \( BIQC(\sigma, 0) \).

**Observation 1:** If a nonlinearity satisfies a BLQC with
bias M, then it follows that a BIQC is satisfied by the same
nonlinearity with the same bias.

A. A stability result

We first introduce some preliminary results.

**Lemma 5.1:** Let V(t) be a non-negative, continuous and
differentiable function of time, defined for t ≥ 0. Assume
that there exists \( r > 0 \) and \( \sigma(t) \) such that

\[ \frac{dV}{dt}(t) \leq -rV(t) - \sigma(t) \quad (6) \]

for all \( V(t) \neq 0 \). Assume also that \( V(0) > 0 \) and

\[ \liminf_{t \to +\infty} \int_0^t [\sigma(\tau) + M] d\tau \geq 0 \]

Then

\[ \limsup_{t \to +\infty} V(t) \leq \frac{M}{r}. \]

**Proof:** Omitted for space reasons.

**Theorem 5.2:** Let G(s) be a strictly proper transfer
function and let \( (A, B, C, 0) \) be a minimal realization of G.
Consider a feedback nonlinearity \( \Xi(\cdot) \) satisfying the IQC

\[ \liminf_{t \to +\infty} \int_0^t [\sigma(y(\tau), \xi(\tau)) + M] d\tau \geq 0 \]

where \( \xi(t) = \Xi(y(t), \eta(t)) \) and

\[ \sigma(y, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} Q & S \\ S^T & R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}. \]

If there exists a solution \( P = P^T > 0, r > 0 \) to the following
LMI

\[ \begin{bmatrix} A^T P + PA + C^T QC + rP & PB + C^T S \\ B^T P + S^T C & R \end{bmatrix} < 0 \]

then, for every \( H > M/r \), the set \( EP(H) \) is an eventually
positively invariant set and a global attractor for the system
solutions.

**Proof:** Consider the quadratic function \( V(x) = x^T P x \).
The derivative along a trajectory is given by

\[ \frac{dV}{dt} = x^T P x + \xi^T \dot{P} x + \xi^T \dot{\xi} + \xi^T Q C x + 2x^T C^T S \xi + \xi^T R \xi - \sigma(y, \xi) \leq -r x^T P x - \sigma(y, \xi) = -r V(x(t)) - \sigma(y, \xi). \]

Applying the previous lemma to \( V(x(t)) \) we have the assertion
proved.

VI. STATIC AND DYNAMIC BIQC’s

The matrix \( \Sigma \) defining the BIQC in (5) was constant. When
\( \Sigma \) is constant we say that the BIQC is static. Conversely,
when \( \Sigma \) is time-dependent, we say it is dynamic. Standard
IQC’s (when \( M = 0 \) and the considered signals \( y(t) \) and \( \xi(t) \)
are \( L_2 \) signals) can be formulated in the frequency domain
by the means of the Parseval’s theorem, as given below

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \hat{\Xi}(\omega) \right)^* \hat{\Xi}(\omega) d\omega \geq 0. \]

When dealing with standard static IQC’s the formulation in the
frequency domain does not change much. Indeed, the matrix
\( \Sigma \) that defines the IQC in the time domain is left
unchanged by the Fourier transform. However, typically the
IQC is cast in the following form

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \hat{\Xi}(\omega) \right)^* \Pi(i\omega) \hat{\Xi}(\omega) d\omega \geq 0, \]

where \( \Pi(i\omega) \) is a hermitian matrix which is uniformly
bounded for \( \omega \in \mathbb{R} \) [10]. The corresponding formulation of
a standard dynamic IQC in the time domain can be obtained
when \( \Pi(i\omega) \) is a real rational function.

**Lemma 6.1:** Given a standard dynamic IQC in the form

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \hat{\Xi}(\omega) \right)^* \Pi(i\omega) \hat{\Xi}(\omega) d\omega \geq 0 \quad (7) \]

defined by a real rational and bounded matrix \( \Pi(i\omega) \),
then there exist a constant matrix \( \Sigma \) and a stable, minimum
phase and strictly proper transfer function \( G_\Sigma(s) \) minimally realized
by \( (A_\Sigma, B_\Sigma, C_\Sigma, 0) \) such that (7) implies

\[ \int_0^{+\infty} \sigma(y_\Sigma(\tau), y(\tau), \xi(\tau)) d\tau \geq 0 \]

where

\[ y_\Sigma = G_\Sigma(s) \begin{pmatrix} y \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma(y_\Sigma, y, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} y_\Sigma \\ y \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} y_\Sigma \\ y \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}. \]

**Proof:** Omitted for space reasons.

Following the approach that leads to the formulation of a
standard dynamic IQC into a standard static IQC with the
use of an auxiliary linear system \( G_\Sigma(s) = C_\Sigma(sI - A_\Sigma)^{-1} B_\Sigma \),
we can provide a generalization of definition 6 for dynamic
BIQC’s.

**Definition 7:** Consider a minimum phase, stable, linear
time-invariant system whose input is \( (y^T, \xi^T)^T \), whose output
is \( y_\Sigma \) and with transfer function \( G_\Sigma(s) \). We say that a nonlinear
function \( \Xi \) satisfies the BIQC with auxiliary dynamics \( G_\pi(s) \) defined by a quadratic form \( \sigma \) with bias \( M > 0 \) if and only if
\[
\liminf_{t \to +\infty} \int_0^t [\sigma(y_\pi(t), y(t), \xi(t)) + M] dt \geq 0
\]
where \( \xi(t) = \Xi(y(t)) \). As a notation we write that \( \Xi \in BIQC(G_\pi(s), \Sigma, M) \). If \( \Xi \in BIQC(G_\pi(s), \Sigma, M) \) for all \( M > 0 \), we also say that \( \Xi \) satisfies the Unbiased Integral Quadratic Constraint.

**Definition 8:** Consider a Lur’e system given by the interconnection of a strictly proper transfer function \( G(s) \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times m} \) and a non linear operator \( \Xi \in BIQC(G_\pi(s), \Sigma, M) \). Let \( x_\pi(t) \) be the initial state of \( G(s) \) Consider a minimal realization \((A_\pi, B_\pi, C_\pi, 0)\) of \( G(s) \) and any minimal realization \((A_\pi, B_\pi, C_\pi, 0)\) of the auxiliary dynamics \( G_\pi(s) \). Decompose \( B_\pi \) as
\[
B_\pi = \left( \begin{array}{cc} B_y & B_{\xi} \end{array} \right).
\]
We define the “augmented system” as the Lur’e system \( S_\pi \) with output \( y_\pi \) given by the feedback interconnection of \( G(s) = C_\pi(sI - A_\pi)^{-1} B_\pi \) with state \( x_\pi \) and the non linear operator \( \Xi_\pi \) where
\[
\begin{align*}
A_\pi &= \begin{pmatrix} A_\pi & B_\pi C_\pi \\ 0 & A_\pi \end{pmatrix}, \\
B_\pi &= \begin{pmatrix} B_{\xi} \\ B_y \end{pmatrix}, \\
C_\pi &= \begin{pmatrix} C_\pi & 0 \\ 0 & C_\pi \end{pmatrix}, \\
D_\pi &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
x_\pi(0) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x_\pi(0) \end{pmatrix}, \\
y_\pi &= C_\pi x_\pi,
\end{align*}
\]
\( \Xi_\pi(y_\pi) = \Xi([0 \ I]y_\pi) \).

**Lemma 6.2:** Consider a Lur’e system \( S \) given by the interconnection of a strictly proper transfer function \( G(s) \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times m} \) and a non linear operator \( \Xi \in BIQC(G_\pi(s), \Sigma, M) \). Consider its augmented system \( S_\pi \) as defined by definition 8. Then, for all \( t \)
\[
x_\pi(t) = [0 \ I]x_\pi(t)
\]
and \( \Xi_\pi \in BIQC(\Sigma, M) \)

**Proof:** The proof follows in a straightforward way from the definition of augmented system.

**Theorem 6.3:** Let \( G(s) \) be a strictly proper transfer function minimally realized by \((A, B, C, 0)\). Let \( \xi \) be its state and consider an initial condition \( x(0) \). Consider a feedback nonlinearity \( \Xi(s) \) satisfying the dynamic BIQC
\[
\liminf_{t \to +\infty} \int_0^t [\sigma(y_\pi(t), y(t), \xi(t)) + M] dt \geq 0
\]
where \( y_\pi \) is the output of a strictly proper linear stable system as in Lemma 6.1 minimally realized by \((A_\pi, B_\pi, C_\pi, 0)\) with state \( x_\pi \). Consider the “augmented system” \( S_\pi \) as defined by definition 8 with state \( x_\pi \). From Lemma 6.2 it follows that \( \Xi_\pi \in BIQC(\Sigma, M) \). The matrix \( \Sigma \) can be decomposed in a way such that
\[
\sigma(y_\pi, y, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(y_\pi) & \sigma(y) & \sigma(\xi) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & Q & S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_\pi \\ y \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}.
\]
If there exists a solution \( P > 0, r > 0 \) to the following LMI
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_\pi^T P + PA_\pi + C_\pi^T QC_\pi + rP & PB_\pi + C_\pi^T S \\
B_\pi^T P + S^T C_\pi & R
\end{pmatrix} < 0
\]
then, for every \( H > M/r \), the set \( E_p(H) \) is an EPI set for the augmented system solution with the initial condition \( x(0) \).

**Proof:** Consider the quadratic function \( V(x_\pi(t)) = x_\pi^T(t)P x_\pi(t) \). The derivative along the trajectory \( x_\pi(t) \) is given by
\[
dV/dt = x_\pi^T A_\pi^T P x_\pi + x_\pi^T PA_\pi x_\pi + \xi^T B_\pi^T P x_\pi + x_\pi^T PB_\pi \xi + x_\pi^T C_\pi^T Q C_\pi x_\pi + 2x_\pi^T C_\pi^T S \xi + \xi^T R \xi - \sigma(y_\pi, \xi) \leq -r x_\pi^T P x_\pi - \sigma(y_\pi, \xi) = -r V(x_\pi(t)) - \sigma(y_\pi, \xi).
\]

Applying Lemma (5.1) to \( V(x_\pi(t)) \) we have that \( x_\pi(t) \) eventually is contained in \( E_p(H) \).

**Theorem 6.4:** Consider a SISO Lur’e system defined by a proper linear system minimally realized by \((A, B, C, 0)\) and a non linear operator \( \Xi \). Let \( y \) be the scalar output of the linear system. Assume that \( \Xi \) satisfies the BIQC defined by the quadratic form \( \sigma_1 \) with bias \( M_1 \). Let \((P_1, r_1)\) be the solution of the LMI defined in (8). Assume also that if
\[
y^2 < \frac{M_1}{r_1} C P^{-1} C^T
\]
is satisfied then the BIQC defined by the quadratic form \( \sigma_2 \) is satisfied with no bias. Then the Lur’e system is globally asymptotically stable.

**Proof:** Using Theorem 6.3 we find that \( E_p(M_1/r_1) \) is an EPI set for the linear system state trajectories. Using simple projection geometry, this means that there exists a finite time \( T \) such that \( t > T \) implies \( y(t)^2 < M_1 C P^{-1} C^T / r_1 \). Now, we can exploit the fact that the BIQC defined by \( \sigma_2 \) is satisfied for \( t > T \). Applying standard IQC results we conclude the global asymptotic stability of the system.

**A multi-step variation of the previous theorem follows in a straightforward way.**

**Corollary 6.5:** Consider a SISO Lur’e system defined by a proper linear system minimally realized by \((A, B, C, 0)\) and a nonlinear operator \( \Xi \). Let \( y \) be the scalar output of the linear system. Consider \( N \) quadratic forms \( \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_N \) and for each of them let \((P_k, r_k)\) be the solution of the LMI defined in (8). Assume that \( \Xi \) satisfies the BIQC defined by the quadratic form \( \sigma_1 \) with bias \( M_1 \). Assume also that
\[
y^2 < \frac{M_k}{r_k} C P^{-1} C^T
\]
implies that there is \( M_{k+1} \) such that \( \Xi \in BIQC(\sigma_{k+1}, M_{k+1}) \) when \( 0 < k < n - 2 \). Assume, finally, that \( M_N = 0 \). Then the Lur’e system is globally asymptotically stable.

**VII. Illustrative Examples**

We present examples to show how our technique offers improvements in the stability analysis and controller design of Lur’e systems.
A. Complete rejection of a bounded noise

Let us consider a physical system of interest modeled by the stable and minimum phase transfer function

$$G(s) = \frac{(1 + s)^2}{(1 + \frac{s}{10})^2}$$

(9)

in feedback interconnection with a relay controller $F(t,y) = A \text{sgn}(y)$ where $A$ is the only design parameter. Suppose the system is affected by a continuous bounded additive disturbance $n(t,y)$ such that $|n(t,y)| \leq N$. A block diagram is depicted in Figure 2 b. Assume that there is an equilibrium point in the origin, that is $n(t,0) = 0$, and that we want to obtain the (practical) stabilization of the system. According to the formulation of the previous sections, we can consider both the controller and the noise together as the feedback nonlinear operator of a Lur’e system

$$\Xi(y, t) = A \text{sgn}(ky) + n(t, y).$$

Let us define $\gamma$ as the ratio between the noise bound and the controller amplitude

$$\gamma = \frac{N}{A}. $$

Since $A$ is a design parameter, suppose also that $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. This assumption puts the nonlinearity $\Xi(y, t)$ in the sector $[0, +\infty]$. From the Nyquist plot of $G(s)$ depicted in Figure 2 it can be concluded that $G(s)$ is not positive real, so the standard Circle Criterion cannot determine the stability of the system. The Popov and the Narendra Criteria [12] only hold for time-invariant nonlinearities, so they are not applicable. At the same time it is not trivial to find a standard IQC satisfied by the nonlinearity which is time-varying, non-monotonic and spanning a large sector. We will show that it is possible to design the parameter $A$ of the feedback controller in order to obtain a complete rejection of the noise $n(t)$. The nonlinearity $\Xi(y, t)$ satisfies the static BIQC defined by

$$\Sigma_1 = \frac{1}{\beta_1 - \alpha_1} \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha_1 \beta_1 - (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\alpha_1 = 0$, $\beta_1 = 0.1$ and

$$M_1 = \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} (1 + \gamma)^2 A^2 = 10(1 + \gamma)^2 A^2.$$

Exploiting Theorem 6.4 and solving the related LMI problem, we find that

$$\bar{Y}_1 \leq \sqrt{M_1 \frac{C P^{-1}_1 C^T}{r_1}} \leq 54.03(1 + \gamma)A,$$

and we conclude that, eventually, the system has a bounded output

$$|y| \leq \bar{Y}_1 \leq 54.03(1 + \gamma)A.$$

Thus, the nonlinearity will be eventually explored in a reduced sector, namely $\frac{1 - \gamma}{54.03(1 + \gamma)}$. If the circle corresponding to the sector $\frac{1 - \gamma}{54.03(1 + \gamma)}, +\infty$ does not intersect the Nyquist plot of $G$, we can apply the standard circle criterion and conclude global asymptotic stability for the system. This is the case for $\gamma \leq 0.15$, as it can be confirmed by checking the feasibility of the standard Circle Criterion in the LMI formulation. Therefore, choosing

$$A > \frac{N}{0.15}$$

(10)

we have complete rejection of the disturbance. Furthermore suppose that the interest is in $\gamma = 0.2$ ($N$ is considered fixed and therefore $A$ is smaller). In the case $\gamma = 0.2$, following the same approach, choosing

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{1 - \gamma}{54.03(1 + \gamma)}; \quad \beta_2 = 0.18;$$

$$M_2 = \frac{1}{\beta_2 - \alpha_2}(1 + \gamma)^2 A^2$$

and solving another LMI problem, we further reduce the bound of the signal $y$ to

$$\bar{Y}_2 \leq \sqrt{M_2 \frac{C P^{-1}_2 C^T}{r_2}} \leq 48.5(1 + \gamma)A,$$

which is a small reduction, but sufficient to apply the standard Circle Criterion to the sector $\frac{1 - \gamma}{48.5(1 + \gamma)}, +\infty$ and conclude absolute stability also for $\gamma \leq 0.2$. The procedure can be applied again trying to obtain global asymptotic stability for even larger values of $\gamma$.

B. Saturation with relative uncertainty

Consider the linear system $G(s)$ which was analyzed also in [10]

$$G(s) = \frac{s^2}{s^3 + 2s^2 + 2s + 1}.$$  

(11)

Its Nyquist plot is shown in Figure 3. We consider the transfer function $G(s)$ in feedback connection with the nonlinearity

$$\Xi(y) = (1 + \delta(y)) \text{sat}_A(ky)$$

where $\text{sat}_A(z) := \text{sgn}(z) \min[|z|, A]$ is the saturation function and $\delta(y)$ is a bounded relative uncertainty $|\delta(y)| \leq D \leq 1$ on the feedback output. This scenario is more general than the scenario provided in [10] where there is no uncertainty ($D = 0$). We want to study how the parameter $k$ influences the stability of the system. It immediately follows that the nonlinearity $\Xi(\cdot)$ is in the sector $[0, k(1 + D)]$. The application of the Circle Criterion provides a conservative result stating that the system is stable for $k(1 + D) < k_{cc} \approx 8.13$. The Popov criterion improves the result by a small amount, guaranteeing stability for $k(1 + D) < k_{pc} \approx 8.90$. When there is no uncertainty ($D = 0$), it would possible to exploit a powerful result by Zames and Falb for monotonic and odd nonlinearities which guarantees stability for any positive

Applying Theorem 6.3 we find that eventually the output
the Popov or the Circle criterion. Results for di
of the uncertainty parameter
conclude eventual global boundedness of the system trajec-
Integral Quadratic Constraint and we have derived results to
provides stability can be found in a second step by applying
information in order to prove asymptotic stability. Indeed, the
boundedness property allows one to consider the nonlinearity
to be explored in a smaller region (after a finite time). Stricter
BIQC’s can be then exploited to obtain a better bound or to
conclude the stability of the system.
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bound. Figure 3 shows the Nyquist plot of transfer function

Fig. 3. Nyquist plot of transfer function (11) in example VII-B (left) and
maximum $k$ of proved stability versus D (right) for our BIQC method (blue),
Popov (green) and circle criterion (red).

value of $k$. Indeed, it can be shown that monotonic and odd
nonlinearities satisfy the IQC

where $H(s)$ is any transfer function such that its $l_1$ norm is
less or equal than 1. In the uncertain case, such a result can
not be used at least in the standard form. However, as in
Lemma 6.1, we can consider the standard associated IQC formulat-
ed in the time domain

and note that in our case

Therefore, even though the standard Zames-Falb IQC is not
satisfied, the associated time-domain BIQC is met

Therefore, the nonlinearity will be explored in the interval
$[-ar{Y}, ar{Y}]$, making it possible to consider just the sector $[A(1 – D)/ar{Y}, k(1 + D)]$. Given $D$, the maximum value for $k$ which
provides stability can be found in a second step by applying
the Popov or the Circle criterion. Results for different values of
the uncertainty parameter $D$ are provided in Figure 3.
When $D$ is close to zero we find that the gain $k$ can be very
large and can still provide global asymptotic stability of the
system. Conversely, when $D$ increases the interval of values
for which $k$ provides guaranteed stability shrinks.

VIII. Conclusion

In this paper we have introduced the concept of Biased
Integral Quadratic Constraint and we have derived results to
conclude eventual global boundedness of the system trajec-
tories. This notion is also known in literature as practical
stability. Further it is shown how to exploit the bound
information in order to prove asymptotic stability. Indeed, the