Prediction of Physical Properties of Crude Oil Based on Ensemble Random Weights Neural Network
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Abstract: Prediction of physical properties of crude oil plays a key role in the petroleum refining industry, therefore, it is of great significance to establish the prediction model of physical properties of crude oil. In this paper, we propose an ensemble random weights neural network based prediction model whose inputs are nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra and outputs are carbon residual and asphaltene of crude oil. The model uses random vector functional link (RVFL) networks as the basic components and employs the regularized negative correlation learning strategy to build neural network ensemble and the online method to learn the new data. The experiment using the practical data collected from a refinery is carried out and compared with the decorrelated neural network ensembles with random weights (DNNE), least squares support vector machine (LS-SVM), partial least squares regression (PLS) and multiple linear regression (MLR). The results indicate the effectiveness of the proposed approach.
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1. INTRODUCTION

With the rapid development of the world economy, oil refineries and petrochemical companies in China are confronted with increasingly competitive pressure from the international oil companies (Barunik and Malinská 2015). In order to reduce the production costs, online blending technology for crude oil is adopted by refineries to make reasonable mixing of various kinds of crude oil. Prediction of physical properties of crude oil is the precondition of online blending of crude oil, therefore fast evaluation of physical properties of crude oil before refining is important to refineries (Sriram et al. 2007).

Carbon residual and asphaltene of crude oil are two significant physical properties in crude oil blending. The value of carbon residual is the percentage of the residue carbon formed in the oil after the evaporation and pyrolysis process at the especially high temperature condition. The value of carbon residual can be used to characterize the relative coke tendency of oil, which is regarded as one of the selection criteria of raw material and production process, and it is also one of the important control indexes in the production process. The value of carbon residual in the catalytic cracking material is an important parameter to evaluate the crude oil. The deposition of asphaltenes in the petroleum industry can result in a significant increase of production costs. Asphaltenes in crude oil contain higher acid functional groups and a large amount of condensed aromatic hydrocarbons that seriously affect the refining process and lead to the blockage of pipelines. Thus, prediction of the two physical properties of crude oil is extremely important.

Most of the fast evaluation systems of physical properties of crude oil are based on near infrared (NIR) technology at present (He et al. 2015). Higher quality of crude oil and longer optical path are required by NIR, but its sensitivity is relatively low. With the development of computer technology, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) has become one of the most advanced and promising process analysis techniques. The efficiency of industrial production is improved significantly by applying NMR combined with the advanced control technology. In some international oil companies such as BP, BASF, Shell and AGIP, NMR is used for monitoring and control in the crude oil distillation and blending, gasoline and diesel blending, catalytic cracking, catalytic reforming and ethylene cracking processes (Lin et al. 2004). Online measured data are used to supervise the operation process which can improve the oil yield and quality that bring great economic benefits to the petrochemical industry. NMR requires simple sample pretreatment and the accuracy is not affected by viscosity, purity, color and water content of the sample. However, the prediction models of physical properties of crude oil based on NMR are inadequate at present as most are linear models such as partial least squares (PLS) regression (Behera et al. 2008) and multiple linear regression (MLR) (Barbosa et al. 2016) which are impossible to characterize the complicated relation between the NMR spectrum and the physical properties of crude oil accurately. Effective prediction model is the premise and basis of fast evaluation and analysis of crude oil and it is an urgent problem to be solved.

A prediction model of carbon residue and asphaltene of crude oil based on ensemble random weights neural network is put
forward in this paper to solve the problem that it is difficult to establish the mechanism model between NMR spectrum and the physical properties of crude oil. The model can be used to obtain evaluation data of crude oil which can provide fast, simple, effective and available information for the determination of crude oil processing scheme and the optimization of production decision. The proposed model also lays foundation for the application of NMR technology in petrochemical industry. The algorithm proposed in this paper can be extended to the prediction and analysis of other physical properties of crude oil which has extraordinary broad application prospects.

The organization of the rest of this paper is as follows. In section II, the evaluation system of physical properties of crude oil based on NMR is presented. The prediction model is given in section III, where the ensemble random weights neural network is discussed in detail. In section IV, the experiment is carried out and the results are discussed. At last, our conclusion is given in section V.

2. EVALUATION SYSTEM OF PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF CRUDE OIL BASED ON NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE

The fast evaluation system of crude oil based on nuclear magnetic resonance mainly consists of filter, heater, nuclear magnetic resonance and host computer. First, the crude oil sample is sent to the sample tank after filtration and heating. Then the sample is taken to the NMR by nitrogen power and the results are uploaded to the host computer by the communication system. Finally, physical properties of crude oil are obtained by the NMR spectrum and the prediction model. Fig.1 is the structure chart of fast evaluation system of crude oil based on NMR.

The data used in this paper are collected from a refinery in south China. The spectrum is analysed by Aspect Imaging AI-60 online NMR. Fig.3 is the spectrum obtained at the conditions that the operating frequency is 60MHz and the chemical shift reference is tetramethylsilane (TMS).

3. PREDICTION MODEL OF PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF CRUDE OIL BASED ON ENSEMBLE RANDOM WEIGHTS NEURAL NETWORK

From the analysis above, we know that there is a complex relation between the NMR spectrum and the physical properties of crude oil. While most of the prediction models are linear models such as PLS and MLR at present, which are impossible to characterize the complex relation between the NMR spectrum and the physical properties of crude oil. Thus, the complicated data-based models should be selected because of their abilities to characterize complex relationships.

3.1 Selection of Model Input and Output

According to the evaluation system of physical properties of crude oil based on NMR and the modelling objectives, the input vector of the prediction model is selected as the NMR spectrum data $x \in R^{700}$. The output of the prediction model is the physical property of crude oil $y \in R^1$. In this paper, the prediction models of carbon residual content and asphaltene content which are two important physical properties for evaluating the quality of crude oil are built.
\[ f(x(k)) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \beta_i G(w_i^T \cdot x(k) + b_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \beta_i H_i \]  

(1)

where \( \beta_i \) denotes the output weight of \( i \)th node, \( H_i \) is the output of \( i \)th hidden node, \( L \) represents the number of hidden nodes, \( w_i \) and \( b_i \) are input weights and hidden layer bias of \( i \)th hidden node and \( G(\cdot) \) is the activation function.

The output of ensemble neural network (Chen and Yao, 2009) based prediction model is the linear combinations of RVFLs:

\[ f_{\text{ens}}(x(k)) = \omega_n \sum_{m=1}^{M} f_m(x(k)) \]  

(2)

where \( f_m(x(k)) \) is the output of the \( m \)th basic RVFL, \( \omega_n \) is the weight of the \( m \)th basic RVFL and in this paper, \( \omega_n \) is set as \( 1/M \).

However, the correlations between the basic models are not taken into account in the ensemble learning above. To address this issue, negative correlation learning (NCL) is proposed (Liu and Yao 1999). In the NCL ensemble neural network model, the cost function \( e_n \) of each basic model \( f_m(x(k)) \) is defined as follows:

\[ e_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (f_m(x(k)) - y(k))^2 + \lambda p_m \]  

(3)

where \( p_m \) is the correlation penalty function, \( \lambda \) is penalty function parameter and \( \lambda \in [0,1] \).

\[ p_m = -\sum_{j=i}^{N} (f_m(x(k)) - f_m(x(k)))^2 \]  

(4)

Regularized NCL based Neural Network Ensemble: By introducing a regularization function, the RNCL neural network ensemble is established. The cost function of each individual network in RNCL (Chen and Yao. 2009) can be shown as:

\[ e_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (f_n(x(k)) - y(k))^2 + \lambda p_m + \alpha_n \| \beta_n \| \]  

(5)

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \| H_n \beta_n^T - \hat{y} \| + \alpha_n \| \beta_n \| + \lambda \left( \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} H_i \beta_i \right) \]

where \( H_n \) is the hidden layer output matrix of the basic model \( m \), \( T = [y(1), y(2), \ldots, y(N)]^T \) is the target matrix, \( \beta_n = [\beta_{n1}, \beta_{n2}, \ldots, \beta_{nM}]^T \) is the output weights of the basic model \( m \) and \( \alpha_n \in [0,1] \) is the regularization parameter.

When the gradient of \( e_n \) vanishes with respect to the output weight \( \beta_n \), the RNCL neural network ensemble can get a best generalization performance. It can be given as:

\[ (C_1 H_n^T H_n + 2 \alpha_n I) \beta_n + C_2 \sum_{j=1}^{M} H_j^T H_j \beta_j = H_n^T \hat{y} \]  

(6)

where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are two constant parameters which can be expressed as follows:

\[ C_1 = 1 - 2 \times \lambda (1 - \frac{1}{M}) + 2 \times \frac{\lambda}{M} (1 - \frac{1}{M}) \]

(7)
The relationship between the hidden layer and the output layer can be denoted in a matrix form as follows:

\[
H_{\text{corr}} \beta_{\text{ens}} = T_h \\
T_k = [H_1^T, H_2^T, \ldots, H_M^T]_{M \times 1}
\]

where \( H_{\text{corr}} \) is the hidden correlation matrix, \( T_s \) is hidden layer target matrix and \( \beta_{\text{ens}} \) is the global output matrix.

The global output matrix can be derived from (9):

\[
\beta_{\text{ens}} = H_{\text{corr}}^T T_h
\]

Online Ensemble Random Weights Neural Network: In order to make the ensemble neural network learn the sequential data stream one-by-one or chunk-by-chunk, the online learning method (Ding et al. 2016) is adopted in this paper.

For the existing sample \( \{x(k), y(k)\} \) and new sample \( \{x(k+1), y(k+1)\} \), according to (11), the new hidden correlation matrix can be denoted as:

\[
H_{\text{corr}} = \\
\begin{bmatrix}
C_1 H_1^T H_1 + 2\alpha_1 & \cdots & C_1 H_1^T H_M \\
C_1 H_2^T H_1 & \cdots & C_1 H_2^T H_M \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
C_1 H_M^T H_1 & \cdots & C_1 H_M^T H_M + 2\alpha_M
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(13)

That is:

\[
H_{\text{corr}} = H_{\text{corr}}^k + H_{\text{corr}}^{k+1}
\]

In the similar way, we can derive:

\[
T_h = T_h^k + T_h^{k+1}
\]

(15)

Thus, the model can be updated by hidden layer correlation matrix and target matrix according to (14) and (15), and the output weights are obtained by (12). Fig.5 is the structure chart of online learning ERNN. The algorithm of online learning ERNN is outlined as follows.

Algorithm of online learning ERNN

**Inputs:** data sets (carbon residual and asphaltene)

**Parameters:** ensemble size \( M \), number of hidden node \( L \), penalty coefficient \( \lambda \), regularization parameter \( \alpha_m \).

1. Initialize the input weights and hidden node basis;
2. Calculate the parameters \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \);
3. Calculate the hidden output matrix of each basic network;
4. Calculate the hidden correlation matrix, hidden target matrix;
   - if the data are new data, go to step 8;
5. Get the global output matrix by (12);
6. Return the ensemble model and calculate outputs and error;
7. If new data, go to step 3; else, go to step 10
8. Update the hidden correlation matrix, hidden target matrix;
9. Go back to step 5;
10. End.

**4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULT**

**4.1 Experimental Setup**

In this paper, 595 groups of NMR spectra and the corresponding carbon residual and asphaltene data were collected from the refinery in south China between May 2016 and December 2016, of which 495 groups collected from May 2016 to November 2016 were used as the training data, and the remaining 100 groups collected in December 2016 were used for the model validation.

The sigmoid function is chosen as the activation function in ERNN:

\[
G(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}
\]

(16)

The optimal parameters of ERNN are determined by exhaustive linear search. The number of hidden nodes \( L \) was searched between 100 and 300 with step 10, the ensemble size \( M \) was searched between 3 and 10 with step 1. Similarly, the penalty term coefficient \( \lambda \) and the regularization coefficient \( \alpha_m \) were searched in range \([0, 0.5]\) with step 0.01 and in range \([0, 1]\) with step 0.05, respectively. Finally, the optimal parameters are \( L^*=200, M^*=6, \lambda^*=0.09 \) and \( \alpha_m^*=0.55 \). In order to show the effectiveness of the proposed ERNN, experiments are carried out to compare ERNN with DNNE (Alhamdoosh and Wang, 2014), LS-SVM, PLS and MLR.
Fig. 6. Prediction results and errors of carbon residual of ERNN, DNNE and LS-SVM.

Fig. 7. Prediction results and errors of carbon residual of ERNN, PLS and MLR.

Fig. 8. Prediction results and errors of asphaltene of ERNN, DNNE and LS-SVM.

Fig. 9. Prediction results and errors of asphaltene of ERNN, PLS and MLR.

Table 1. Efficiency comparison of ERNN, LS-SVM, DNNE, PLS and MLR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Testing time(s)</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>STD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLS</td>
<td>0.1766</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLR</td>
<td>1.9861</td>
<td>0.0241</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS-SVM</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNNE</td>
<td>0.4153</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERNN</td>
<td><strong>0.5733</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.0053</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Comparison and Discussion

All experiments are conducted ten times. Results of the ERNN based model and the comparative models based on DNNE, LS-SVM, PLS and MLR of carbon residual and asphaltene are shown in Figs.6-10. Fig.6 is the prediction results and prediction errors of carbon residual of ERNN, DNNE and LS-SVM. Fig.7 is the prediction results and prediction errors of carbon residual of ERNN, PLS and MLR. From Figs.6 and 7, it can be seen that the prediction errors of carbon residual of ERNN are almost smaller than that of DNNE, LS-SVM PLS and MLR. Thus, comparing with the data-based nonlinear models (DNNE, LS-SVM) and the data-based linear model (PLS, MLR), ERNN fits the data better and has the higher prediction accuracy. Figs.8 and 9 show the results of asphaltene of ERNN and the four comparative algorithms. From Figs.8 and 9, it can be observed that except the beginning and the end of the testing data, the prediction errors of ERNN are smaller than that of other involved data-based models on the whole. In addition, we report the testing.
Table 2. Performance comparison of ERNN, LS-SVM, DNNE PLS and MLR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modelling objective</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>MAPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon residual</td>
<td>PLS</td>
<td>0.2461±0.0006</td>
<td>3.903±0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MLR</td>
<td>0.2272±0.0023</td>
<td>3.749±0.0018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LS-SVM</td>
<td>0.2053±0.0023</td>
<td>3.364±0.0200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DNNE</td>
<td>0.1833±0.0025</td>
<td>2.868±0.0280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ERNN</td>
<td>0.1613±0.0018</td>
<td>2.604±0.0222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphaltene</td>
<td>PLS</td>
<td>0.1213±0.0009</td>
<td>9.031±0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MLR</td>
<td>0.1195±0.0012</td>
<td>8.526±0.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LS-SVM</td>
<td>0.1129±0.0006</td>
<td>8.223±0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DNNE</td>
<td>0.1095±0.0012</td>
<td>7.727±0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ERNN</td>
<td>0.0986±0.0010</td>
<td>7.025±0.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. CONCLUSIONS

Fast evaluation of physical properties of crude oil is an important part in the oil refining industry, while the effective prediction model of physical properties of crude oil is the key to the fast evaluation of crude oil. Carbon residual and asphaltene are considered as two significant indices in crude oil blending, production planning and scheduling and process control of oil refinery. The prediction model of carbon residual and asphaltene based on regularized negative correlation ensemble random weights neural network is presented in this paper, and we use online learning to update the hidden correlation matrix and hidden target matrix by using new data. Furthermore, experiments are carried out using the data collected from a refinery and compared with DNNE, LS-SVM, PLS and MLR. The results demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed model and its significance of practical application.
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