# TFY4205 Quantum Mechanics II Problemset 4 fall 2022



#### SUGGESTED SOLUTION

## Problem 1

Let us start by defining the quantity  $\kappa(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\hbar^2}[V(x) - E]}$ . To describe an incident particle from the left region x < 0, as well as the possibility that it may be reflected, we write for the wavefunction:

$$\Psi = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}kx} + B\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}kx}, \, x < 0. \tag{1}$$

In the right region, x > a, we write down a plane-wave moving toward positive x. This represents the possibility that the incident particle has been transmitted through the potential region, and thus

...

$$\Psi = F e^{ikx}, \, x > 0. \tag{2}$$

In the central region, 0 < x < a, the WKB approximation gives the following solution according to our treatment in the lectures:

$$\Psi \simeq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\kappa(x)}} e^{\int_0^x \kappa(t)dt} + \frac{D}{\sqrt{\kappa(x)}} e^{-\int_0^x \kappa(t)dt}, \ 0 < x < a.$$
(3)

We have here absorbed some numerical prefactors into the unknown coefficients *C* and *D*, which can be done without loss of generality. We have four unknown coefficients  $\{B, C, D, F\}$  and four boundary conditions (continuity of the wavefunction and its derivative at x = 0 and x = a), so all coefficients may be determined. In turn, this allows us to compute the transmission probability  $T = |F|^2$ . Note that since we expect the wavefunction to decrease exponentially with respect to *x* between [0,a], the higher the potential, the smaller the coefficient *C* should be.

## Problem 2

The solution to the paradox is as follows. We see that the first order term in the expansion of  $a_b = a_{b\to b}$  contributes to  $|a_b|^2$ . However, the second order term of in the expansion of  $a_b$ , which is not included, will also contribute to  $|a_b|^2$ . These two contributions will partly cancel each other forcing  $P_{b\to b} \leq 1$ .

A toy example: for a real  $c_1$ , the equation  $a = 1 + i\lambda c_1$  gives  $|a|^2 = 1 + \lambda^2 c_1^2 > 1$ . However, the equation  $a = 1 + i\lambda c_1 + \lambda^2 c_2$  gives  $|a|^2 = 1 + \lambda^2 (c_1^2 + c_2 + c_2^*) + O(\lambda^3)$ , which is not necessary larger than 1 since  $(c_1^2 + c_2 + c_2^*)$  may be a negative number.

The order of the perturbation is given as powers of  $\lambda$  in the toy example and also in the real problem if we write the perturbing potential as  $\lambda V$  instead of V. Now, you are encouraged to compute the transition probability to second order instead of first. Start with the exact equation

$$da_n/dt = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \sum_k \lambda V_{nk}(t) e^{i\omega_{nk}t} a_k(t).$$
(4)

#### TFY4205 PROBLEMSET 4 FALL 2022

PAGE 2 OF 4

We now expand the coefficients in  $\lambda$  according to:

$$a_n = a_n^{(0)} + \lambda a_n^{(1)} + \lambda^2 a_n^{(2)} + \dots$$
(5)

Inserted into the exact equation, we then get the following equations order for order:

 $\langle \alpha \rangle$ 

$$\lambda^{0} : da_{n}^{(0)}/dt = 0,$$
  

$$\lambda^{1} : da_{n}^{(1)}/dt = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \sum_{k} V_{nk}(t) e^{i\omega_{nk}t} a_{k}^{(0)}(t),$$
  

$$\lambda^{2} : da_{n}^{(2)}/dt = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \sum_{k} V_{nk}(t) e^{i\omega_{nk}t} a_{k}^{(1)}(t).$$
(6)

Assuming the system is initially in state *b*, the solution to the zeroth order equation is  $a_n^{(0)}(t) = \delta_{nb}$ . Inserting this value into the first order equation, only one term survives: k = b. Time integration gives

$$a_n^{(1)}(t) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t V_{nb}(\tau) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{nb}\tau} d\tau.$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

Inserting this into the second order equation, we obtain for  $a_b^{(2)}$ :

$$\frac{da_b^{(2)}(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \sum_k V_{bk}(t) e^{i\omega_{bk}t} a_k^{(1)}(t) = \frac{1}{(i\hbar)^2} \sum_k V_{bk}(t) e^{i\omega_{bk}t} \int_{t_0}^t V_{kb}(\tau) e^{i\omega_{kb}\tau} d\tau.$$
(8)

We can thus find  $a_b^{(2)}$  by integrating the above equation. In total, to second order in  $\lambda$  the probability amplitude for the system to remain in state *b* at time *t* becomes a sum of three terms:

$$a_{b}(t) = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{i\hbar} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} V_{bb}(\tau) d\tau - \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} \sum_{k} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} V_{bk}(\tau_{1}) e^{i\omega_{bk}\tau_{1}} \int_{t_{0}}^{\tau_{1}} V_{kb}(\tau) e^{i\omega_{kb}\tau} d\tau d\tau_{1} + O(\lambda^{3}).$$
(9)

Using that  $V_{kb}^* = V_{bk}$  and  $\omega_{bk} = -\omega_{kb}$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{b}(t)|^{2} &= 1 + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} \Bigg[ \left( \int_{t_{0}}^{t} V_{bb}(\tau) d\tau \right)^{2} - \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{\tau_{1}} \sum_{k} V_{bk}(\tau_{1}) V_{kb}(\tau) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{bk}\tau_{1} + \mathrm{i}\omega_{kb}\tau} d\tau d\tau_{1} \\ &- \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{\tau_{1}} \sum_{k} V_{bk}^{*}(\tau_{1}) V_{kb}^{*}(\tau) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_{bk}\tau_{1} - \mathrm{i}\omega_{kb}\tau} d\tau d\tau_{1} \Bigg]. \end{aligned}$$
(10)

Using the above relations for *V* and  $\omega$  and interchanging the variables in the last double integral, we see that the integrands are identical. The limits in the first double integral are such that we integrate over  $t_0 \le \tau < \tau_1 \le t$ . After the variable change in the second double integral we integrate over  $t_0 \le \tau_1 < \tau \le t$ . Together, this means that we integrate over  $t_0 \le \tau \le t \le t$  and  $t_0 \le \tau_1 \le t$ . Therefore, we end up with

$$|a_b(t)|^2 = 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{\hbar^2} \left[ \left( \int_{t_0}^t V_{bb}(\tau) d\tau \right)^2 - \sum_k \left| \int_{t_0}^t V_{bk}(\tau) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{bk}\tau} d\tau \right|^2 \right].$$
(11)

The term k = b in the sum exactly cancels the first term inside the brackets, and so

$$P_{b\to b} = |a_b(t)|^2 = 1 - \sum_{k \neq b} \frac{\lambda^2}{\hbar^2} \left| \int_{t_0}^t V_{bk}(\tau) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{bk}} \tau d\tau \right|^2.$$
(12)

TFY4205 PROBLEMSET 4 FALL 2022

PAGE 3 OF 4

The paradox is then resolved since  $P_{b\to b} < 1$ . More precisely, we see that the final result is nothing but a statement of probability conservation:

$$\sum_{k} |a_k|^2 = 1.$$
(13)

A moral which can be taken away is then that it is easier to calculate 1 minus the probability for the system to leave state b than to directly calculate the probability for the system to remain in state b.

# Problem 3

1. We write the state at time t in terms of stationary states of the oscillator:

$$\Psi(q,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(t) \psi_n(q) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}E_n t/\hbar}.$$
(14)

To first order in time-dependent perturbation theory, we find for  $n \neq 1$  that:

$$a_n(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_0^t \langle n | (a + a^{\dagger}) | 1 \rangle V_0 e^{-t'/\tau} e^{i(E_n - E_1)t'/\hbar} dt'.$$
(15)

For the oscillator, we have that  $E_n - E_1 = (n-1)\hbar\omega$  and

$$\langle n | (a+a^{\dagger}) | 1 \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ for } n = 0 \\ \sqrt{2} \text{ for } n = 2 \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(16)

Therefore, evaluation of the integral gives

$$i\hbar a_0(t) = V_0 \frac{1 - e^{-[\tau^{-1} + i\omega]t}}{\tau^{-1} + i\omega}$$

$$i\hbar a_2(t) = \sqrt{2}V_0 \frac{1 - e^{-[\tau^{-1} - i\omega]t}}{\tau^{-1} - i\omega}$$

$$i\hbar a_n(t) = 0 \text{ for } n > 2.$$
(17)

From the relation  $\sum_n |a_n(t)|^2 = 1$ , we see that  $a_1(t) = 1 - O(V_0^2)$ . Let us show this in more detail. Since  $|a_1(t)|^2 = 1 - |a_0(t)|^2 - |a_2(t)|^2 = 1 - KV_0^2 + O(V_0^3)$  where K > 0 is a real positive constant, we can write in general that

$$a_1 = 1 + c_1 V_0 + c_2 V_0^2 + \mathcal{O}(V_0^3).$$
(18)

Here,  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are complex coefficients. It follows that

$$|a_1|^2 = 1 + (c_1 + c_1^*)V_0 + (c_2 + c_2^* + |c_1|^2)V_0^2 + \mathcal{O}(V_0^3).$$
<sup>(19)</sup>

Since we know that  $|a_1|^2 = 1 - KV_0^2$ , the first-order term in  $V_0$  has to be zero. This is accomplished in one of two ways. The first way is if we set  $c_1 = 0$ , and then we have proven that

TFY4205 PROBLEMSET 4 FALL 2022

PAGE 4 OF 4

 $a_1(t) = 1 - O(V_0^2)$ . The second way is if  $c_1 = -c_1^*$ , meaning that  $c_1$  is a purely imaginary number. In that case, we may write  $c_1 = iC$  where C is a real constant. It then follows that

$$a_1 = 1 + iCV_0$$
 (20)

to first order in  $V_0$  (same order as the coefficients in Eq. (17)). But if that is the case, then  $|a_1|^2 > 1$  which is not reasonable for a probability amplitude. Therefore, setting  $c_1 = 0$  ensures that the probability does not exceed 1. Thus, we have proven that the lowest order acceptable correction to  $a_1$  is of order  $O(V_0^2)$ .

Hence, the wavefunction is

$$\Psi(q,t) = a_0(t)\Psi_0 + \Psi_1 + a_2(t)\Psi_2 + O(V_0^2)$$
(21)

to first order in  $V_0$ .

2. When  $t \to \infty$ , the wavefunction above becomes

$$\Psi(q,t) = \frac{V_0}{\tau^{-1} + i\omega} \psi_0 e^{-i\omega t/2} + \psi_1 e^{-3i\omega t/2} + \frac{\sqrt{2V_0}}{\tau^{-1} - i\omega} \psi_2 e^{-5i\omega t/2} + O(V_0^2).$$
(22)

Hence, the three energy eigenvalues  $\hbar\omega/2$ ,  $3\hbar\omega/2$ ,  $5\hbar\omega/2$  are the most probable results of such a measurement. The respective probabilities P(E) are given by the absolute square of the coefficients in front of  $\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2$ .

If the absolute square of the coefficients are used uncritically, one obtains  $P(3\hbar\omega/2) = 1$ , correct only to first order of  $V_0$ . The value of  $P(3\hbar\omega/2)$  can be determined to second order in  $V_0$  by using that the sum of the probabilities is 1.