FY3464 Quantum Field Theory Problemset 9



SUGGESTED SOLUTION

Problem 1

We wish to prove that

$$\bar{u}^{s}(-\boldsymbol{k})\gamma^{0}v^{r}(\boldsymbol{k}) = 0.$$
⁽¹⁾

Multiply the equation with 2m and use that since u(k) satisfies

$$(k'-m)u^s(k) = 0, (2)$$

then u(-k) satisfies

$$(k_0\gamma^0 + \boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\gamma} - m)\boldsymbol{u}^s(-\boldsymbol{k}) = 0. \tag{3}$$

We then obtain from the lhs of Eq. (1) that

$$2m\bar{u}^{s}(-\boldsymbol{k})\gamma^{0}v^{r}(\boldsymbol{k}) = 2m[u^{s}(-\boldsymbol{k})]^{\dagger}\gamma^{0}\gamma^{0}v^{r}(\boldsymbol{k})$$

$$= 2m\left([2m+k_{0}\gamma^{0}+\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\gamma}-m]u^{s}(-\boldsymbol{k})\right)^{\dagger}v^{r}(\boldsymbol{k})$$

$$= \left((k\!\!/+m)^{\dagger}\gamma^{0}\gamma^{0}u^{s}(-\boldsymbol{k})]^{\dagger}v(\boldsymbol{k})$$

$$= \bar{u}^{s}(-\boldsymbol{k})\gamma^{0}(k\!\!/+m)v^{r}(\boldsymbol{k}) = 0, \qquad (4)$$

since $(k + m)v^r(k) = 0$.

Problem 2

We start with:

$$S_{F}(x-y)_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \langle T\{\psi_{\alpha}(x)\bar{\psi}_{\beta}(y)\}\rangle = \int \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}2q^{0}} [\theta(x^{0}-y^{0})e^{-iq(x-y)}(q'+m)_{\alpha\beta} - \theta(y^{0}-x^{0})e^{iq(x-y)}(q'-m)_{\alpha\beta}].$$
(5)

Thus, the Fourier-transform is (dropping the subscript indices for brevity of notation):

$$\begin{split} \tilde{S}_{F}(k) &= \int d^{4}x \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}kx} S_{F}(x) \\ &= \int \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}2q^{0}} \int dx^{0} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}k^{0}x^{0}} [\Theta(x^{0})\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}q^{0}x^{0}}(2\pi)^{3} \delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})(\gamma^{0}q^{0}-\boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\mathbf{q}+m) \\ &- \Theta(-x^{0})\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}q^{0}x^{0}}(2\pi)^{3} \delta(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q})(\gamma^{0}q^{0}-\boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\mathbf{q}-m)]. \end{split}$$
(6)

FY3464 PROBLEMSET 9

Here, we used the integral over $\int dx$ to get some δ -functions in the integrand. The integration over q now forces q = k and therefore we must have $q^0 = \omega(k)$ since

$$q^{2} = m^{2} = (q^{0})^{2} - q^{2} = (q^{0})^{2} - k^{2}.$$
(7)

The fact that the integration variable $q^2 = m^2$ follows from the fact that the Dirac fields have to satisfy the Dirac equation. On the other hand, note that we have *not* used $k^2 = m^2$ anywhere.

Therefore, we have $(q^0)^2 = k^2 + m^2$, and we also have written in general the dispersion relation as $[\omega(k)]^2 = k^2 + m^2$. It then follows that $q^0 = \omega(k)$ upon doing the integral over the delta-functions. This gives

$$\tilde{S}_F(k) = \frac{1}{2\omega} \int dx^0 [e^{ix^0(k^0 - \omega)} \boldsymbol{\theta}(x^0)(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0 \boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{k} + m) - e^{ix^0(k^0 + \omega)}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0 \boldsymbol{\omega} + \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{k} + m)], \quad (8)$$

where $\omega = \omega(k)$. We can now perform the integration and obtain:

$$\tilde{S}_F(k) = \frac{1}{2\omega} (\gamma^0 \omega - \gamma \cdot \mathbf{k} + m) \frac{e^{i(k^0 - \omega + i\varepsilon)x^0}}{i(k^0 - \omega + i\varepsilon)} \bigg|_0^\infty - \frac{1}{2\omega} (\gamma^0 \omega + \mathbf{k} \cdot \gamma - m) \frac{e^{i(k^0 + \omega - i\varepsilon)x^0}}{i(k^0 + \omega - i\varepsilon)} \bigg|_{-\infty}^o.$$
(9)

As before, we let $\omega \rightarrow \omega - i\varepsilon$ in the integral in order to make it well-defined at asymptotic times (think of this as as an effective boundary condition that makes the Green function vanish at infinite times, as is physically reasonable). Continuing, we have:

$$\tilde{S}_{F}(k) = \frac{i}{2\omega} \left[\frac{[\gamma^{0}(\omega - k^{0}) + k' + m](k^{0} + \omega) + [\gamma^{0}(\omega + k^{0}) - k' - m](k^{0} - \omega)}{(k^{0})^{2} - \omega + i\epsilon} \right] \\
= \frac{i}{2\omega} \left[\frac{2\omega(k' + m) + \gamma^{0}(\omega - k^{0})(\omega + k^{0}) + \gamma^{0}(\omega + k^{0})(k^{0} - \omega)}{k^{2} - m^{2} + i\epsilon} \right] \\
= \frac{i(k' + m)}{k^{2} - m^{2} + i\epsilon}.$$
(10)

In the transition from the first to second line in the equation above, we used that $\omega^2 + k^2 + m^2$. Again, note that while $q^2 = m^2$ in the integral, we have not assumed anything about k^2 being on-shell $(k^2 = m^2)$.